https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Refutation of
Waseem Rizvi's Book
"Muhammad"

THE GREAT MUHAMMAD

صلی اللہ علیہ وآلہ وسلم

Author

Maulana Muhammad Ibrahim Aasi

Principal Jamia Qadriya Ashrafia, Mumbai

Publisher
Tahaffuz-e-Namoose Risalat Board,
Mumbai

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Refutation of Waseem Rizvi's Book "Muhammad"

The Great Muhammad

(صلی اللہ علیہ وآلہ وسلم)

Author

Maulana Muhammad Ibrahim Aasi

Principal Jamia Qadriya Ashrafia, Mumbai

On the Desire of

Peer-e-Tariqat Hazrat Allama Maulana

Sayed Moinuddin Ashraf, Ashrafi Al-Jeelani

President: All India Sunni Jamiatul Ulama

Al-Haaj Muhammad Saeed Noori Saheb

(Founder Raza Academy)

-:Publisher:-

Tahaffuz-e-Namoose Risalat Board

Jamia Qadriya Ashrafia, Mumbai

Email: mdibrahimaasi@gmail.com

website: www.ibrahimaasi.com

Facebook: Ibrahim Aasi,

You Tube Channel: Mufti Ibrahim Aasi

WhatsApp: 9773243392

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

© All Rights Reserved By The Author.

Name of the Book : **The Great Muhammad** (Peace & Blessings be on him)

Subject : Refutal (Replies to the book, 'Muhammad', writtern by the cursed Waseem Rizvi)

Author : **Maulana Muhammad Ibrahim Aasi**

Reviewed By : Mufti Abdul Majid Khan Saheb Misbahi, Mufti Muhammad Shahnawaz Misbahi, Qari Raees Ahmed Wasti

Proof Reading: Janab Muhammad Ahmed Noori Sahab, Bareilly Sharif

Reference Quoted by : Maulvi Mehboob Raza, Maulvi Mujahid Raza

Composing : **Zubair Qadri**

AIDS : Rehan Anwar Dhorajiwala Sahab, Janab Aslam Lakha Sahab, Adv. Sultan Sahab, Janab Irfan Sahab, Janab Arif Rizvi Sahab

Books of the Other Religions Availed By : Anwar Husain, Rehan Warsi

Organized By : Jamia Qadriya Ashrafiya, Mumbai

Publisher : Tahaffuz-e-Namoose Risalat Board, Mumbai

Pages : 292

First Edition : August 2023.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

CONTENT

Sr No.	Details	Page No.
1	Event & its Reference	
2	Necessary Declaration	
3	Dedication	
4	Gratitude to the Lord	
5	Words of Prayer (MuinulMashaikh Ash-Shah Sayyad MuinuddinMuin Miya SahabQibla)	
6	Everything is to be sacrificed for the honour of Prophet-hood (Alhaaj Muhammad Saeed Noori Sahab Qibla)	
7	Advisory Council & Preparation for reply	
8	Admirable discourse	
9	Introduction	
10	A liar writer, a false book in the mirror of reality	
11	Explanation of references	
12	Venomous discourse	
13	The cursed Waseem Rizvi gripped by questions	
14	Arabic knowledge of the cursed Waseem Rizvi	
15	Differences between "Bin" & "Bint"	
16	Allegations without any evidence	
17	Contradictory Statements by the same person	
18	The cursed Waseem Rizvi whether legitimate or illegitimate	
19	Falsehood exposed	
20	Successive lies	
21	Who is unjust, who is a victim	
22	Deceptionfalsehood	

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

23	Prisoner	
24	Who is neglectful?	
25	Blabbing by the cursed Waseem Rizvi	
26	Filthy psychology of the cursed Waseem Rizvi	
27	False statements	
28	Who drinks the urine?	
29	Gandhiji is stupid to the sight of the cursed Waseem Rizvi	
30	Who is ugly?	
31	Allegations	
32	Why were the idols broken?	
33	Will a child drop from the sky?	
34	Now, falsehood is exposed	
35	Distance between the sky & the earth	
36	Reproach of Allah on a liar	
37	Meaning & substance of a Hadith	
38	Cascade of lies	
39	Explanations of the statements of the cursed Waseem Rizvi	
40	Conversation into graveyard	
41	A fabricated article in English	
42	Who is truthful, who is a liar	
43	Allegation of sexual lust	
44	Post mortem of the fabricated Hadith mentioned by the cursed Waseem Rizvi	
45	False exaggerations by the cursed Waseem Rizvi	
46	Facts behind the curtain	
47	Whose scheme is it	
48	The cursed Waseem Rizvi himself is sick	
49	A cocktail story of the cursed Waseem Rizvi	
50	The cursed Waseem Rizvi has set two feet in two boats	

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

51	Mosque, Temple, Church	
52	Not for God	
53	Dishonesty of the cursed Waseem Rizvi	
54	Mind of the cursed Waseem Rizvi needs to be settled down properly	
55	The cursed Waseem Rizvi is unconscious by mind & heart	
56	Who has turned Apostate?	
57	Before whom was the rape committed?	
58	Habit of interpolation	
59	The real face of the cursed Waseem Rizvi	
60	What is "Niyoga?"	
61	The cursed Waseem Rizvi lost his cognition	
62	What is D. N. A.?	
63	The cursed Waseem Rizvi is inclined to sex education	
64	What did Ravan say to Sita?	
65	Anal intercourse is forbidden in Islam	
66	Urine & dung of the cow	
67	The fruit is the same as a tree bears	
68	68 A major lie of the cursed Waseem Rizvi	
69	69 Reference of Manusmriti	
70	Lawful or unlawful	
71	Scams in writing the Article	
72	Shamelessness of the cursed Waseem Rizvi	
73	One woman with five husbands	
74	Mothers are forbidden	
75	Marriage of the mother of believers, Hazrat Aaisha	
76	What does medical science say?	
77	What is Paedophilia?	
78	Small age mothers	

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

79	Sita's age was 6 years at the time of marriage	
80	Plenty of wives	
81	A challenge to the cursed Waseem Rizvi, if he has in deed suckled his mother	
82	The cursed Waseem Rizvi is psychologically sick	
83	What is Hormone?	
84	Marriage & fornication	
85	The fire of prejudice	
86	Religious war or Jihad	
87	War & Jihad in the Hindu religion	
88	Islamic Jihad and War in the Hindu religion	
89	The Muslims don't hide the role of the Messenger; instead they print & publish it	
90	Prophet of Islam to the sight of Non-Muslims	
91	Dr, N. K. Sinh	
92	Rajendra Narayan Lal	
93	Swami Laxmi Shankar Acharya	
94	Thomas Karlail	
95	The emperor of France, Nepolian	
96	Swami Bhawani Dayal Sanyasi	
97	The foreign minister of Romanya, KastanVarlejJorjiyo	
98	Gandhiji	
99	Michal Hart	
100	The cursed Waseem Rizvi; open your eyes	
101	According to the report of Wikipedia	
102	The cursed Waseem Rizvi is a very big bull	
103	The merciful verses	

OOOOOO

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

EVENT & ITS REFERENCE

Sr. No.	BOOK's NAME	AUTHOR' NAME	PRINTED BY
1	The Holy Quran		
2	SahihulBukhari	Imamul Muhaddisin Muhammad bin Ismail Bukhari	Eteqad Publishing House, Sir Sayyad Ahmed Road, Dariyaganj, Delhi
3	Sahih Muslim	Imamul Muhaddis Abul Hussain Muslim bin Hajjaj Quraishi	Arshad Brothers, Suiwalan, New Delhi
4	Sunnane Abu Dawood	Imam Abu Dawood Suleman ibne Ashas	Arshad Brothers, Suiwalan, New Delhi
5	Jamia' Tirmizi	Imam Abu Isa Muhammad Bin Isa Tirmizi	Arshad Brothers, Suiwalan New Delhi
6	MusnadeImam Ahmed bin Hambal	Imam Ahmed bin Hambal	Darul Kutubul Ilmiya, Bairut, Lebnon
7	Sho'bul Imaan	Imam Abu Bakar Ahmed bin Hussain Baihaqi	Idara Isha'ate Islam, Deoband
8	Mishkatul Masabih	Muhammad bin Abdullah Khatib Tabraizi	Maktaba Islamiya, Urdu Bazar, Lahore
9	Ad-durul Mansoor	Imam Jalaluddin Suyuti	Ziyaul Qur'an Publication, Lahore

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

10	Attafsirul Kabir	Imam Muhammad Raji	Darul Fiqh, Bairut, Lebnon
11	Tafsir e Madarikut Tanzil	Allama Abdullah bin Ahmed Nasafi	Farid Book Stall, Urdu Bazar, Lahore
12	Tafsir e Khazin	Allama Ali bin Muhammad Ibrahim Bagdadi	Farid Book Stall, Urdu Bazar, Lahore
13	Tafhimul Qur'an	Sayyad Abul Aa'la Maududi	Idara Tarjamanul Qur'an, Lahore
14	Tabrani	Hafiz Suleman bin Ahmed Tabrani	Yusuf Market, Gazni Street, Lahore
15	Tarikhe Bagdad	Hafiz Ahmed bin Ali Khatib Bagdadi	Darul Kutubul Ilmiya, Bairut, Lebnon
16	Tarikh ibne Asakir	Imam Ibne Asakir Damishqi	Darul Kutubul Ilmiya, Bairut, Lebnon
17	Sirate Ibne Ishaq	Muhammad bin Ishaq bin Yasar	Maktaba Nabviya, Ganj Bakhsh Road, Lahore
18	Sirate Ibne Hisham (Arabi)	Abu Muhammad Abdul Malik bin Hisham	Maktaba Imdadiya Saharanpur, U.P.
19	Sirate Ibne Hisham (Mutarjam)	Abu Muhammad Abdul Malik bin Hisham	Eteqad Publishing House, Dariyaganj, Delhi
20	Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad (Arabi)	Allama Muhammad bin Sa'ad	Darul Kutubul Ilmiya, Bairut, Lebnon

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

21	Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad (Mutarjam)	Allama Muhammad bin Sa'ad	Hafizi Book Depot, Deoband, UP
22	Al-Asabah Fi Tamizis Sahabah	Hafiz ibne Hajar Asqalani	Maktaba Rehmaniya Model Town, Lahore
23	Madarijun Nubuwwah	Shaikh Abdul-haq Muhaddis Dehlvi	Aabi Duniya, Matia Mahal, Delhi
24	Rahmatul-Lil-Aalamin	Qazi Muhammad Suleman Salman MansurPuri	Eteqad Publishing House, Delhi
25	Fatawa Qazi Khan	Hasan bin Mansoor Qazi Khan	Hafiz Kutub Khana, Masjid Road, Queota
26	Raddul Muhtar	Allama Ibne Aabedin Shaami	Maktaba Zakariya Deoband, UP
27	Ihyaul Uloom	Imam Muhammad bin Gazali	Farooqiya Book Depot, Matia Mahal, Delhi
28	Comparative Study of Islam & the Hindu Religion	Dr. Muhammad Ahmed Naeemi	Kutub Khana Amjadiya, Matia Mahal, Delhi
29	Muhammad & The Qur'an	Dr. Rafeeq Zakariya	Inquilab Publication, Mumbai
30	Shrimad Valmiki Ramayan	Valmiki	Geeta Press, Gorakhpur
31	Manusmriti	Translator: Kripa Raam Sharma	Vaidik Dharm Press, Delhi

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

32	Manu Dharm Shashtra	Manu Ji	Nigarishtan Publication, Mazang Road, Lahore
33	Satyarath Parakash	Dayanand Saraswati	Aaryan Printing Publication, Trading Company, Lahore
34	The Hundred	Michael Hart	Karol Publication Group, United States
35	The Prophet of Islam in the Sight of Non-Muslims	Muhammad Yahya Khan	Nigaristan Publishers, Mazang Road, Lahore
36	Nisaiyaat	Dr. Sayyad Muhammad Abbas Rizvi	Taraqqi Urdu Beauru, New Delhi
37	Guinness World Record	-----	www.guinness worldrecord.com
38	BBC Report	------	
39	Wikipedia	------	
40	Mazameen.com		

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

Important Declaration

Respected Readers! The book being read by you entitled as "The Great Muhammad" (Peace & blessings be on him) is written as a reply to the book authored by Waseem Rizvi. To compose this book, we have extracted the references from the religious books apart from the Websites as well as the books of the other religions in order to present very powerful, comprehensive and substantial replies to his book. We have never intended to desecrate any religion at all out of writing this book or any reference thereof. We have presented the statements from the books of other religions merely as an illustration with a view to explaining to the readers that every religion entails its own personal and individual traditions and customs; and everyone is entitled to follow the traditions and customs of his/her own religion. Therefore, you are requested to consider any statement merely as an illustration. We never point a finger towards the traditions and customs of anyone's religion nor should anyone commit it. We have tried our full potential not to leave any error in quoting the references from the books, writing, composing, rectification and proof reading and printing and publication of this book. Yet, being a human if any error has crept in worth pardoning; you are requested to pardon it. Besides, kindly inform us about it so that it can be rectified in the subsequent editions of this book.

SEEKER OF DUAA

MUHAMMAD IBRAHIM AASI

JAMIA QADRIYA ASHRAFIYA, CHHOTA SONAPUR, MUMBAI

Email: mdibrahimaasi@gmail.com

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

DEDICATION

It is dedicated to the name of the great dignitary
who is the last & final Messenger of Allah,
who is a Mercy for both the Worlds,
who is a Messiah (Healer) for all the communities,
whom the world recognizes as Muhammad
(Peace & blessings be on him).

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

GRATITUDE TO THE LORD

BISMILLAHIRRAHMANIRRAHIM
NAHMADUHU VANUSALLI ALAA RASULIHIL KARIM

All praises are due to the Creator of the universe Who has created the whole universe by the commandment of the world 'Kun'. He sent His beloved last and final Messenger, Hazrat Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him), as a mercy for the whole of the universe who is a great Messiah for all of mankind. 'Hamd' (Praise) is for the Merciful Lord Who has revealed the sacred Qur'an for the offspring of Aadam so that the truth and falsehood can be distinguished with the help of its Light and it can be established as a lantern for guidance. Besides, He sent numerous Messengers and Apostles for the guidance of various nations so that they could recognize their real God. The success and prosperity of mankind lies only and exclusively in compliance with the commandments of the real God; those who complied with the commandments of Allah and His Messenger succeeded in both the worlds; and they would be in an utter ruin who detracted from the commandments of the God and decrees of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). Hostility against the great God, Almighty, &His Messenger (Peace & blessings be on him) is a cause of going astray.

■■■

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

WORDS OF PRAYER

'Pire-Tariqat' (Spiritual Saint),
'Rehbare-Shariat' (A guide of the Religious Laws),
'Qaide Qaum-O-Millat' (A Leader of the Community),
'Khandaane Ahle Bait ke Chashm-O-Chirag' (The Apple of Eyes of the Members of the holy family of Prophet Muhammad -PBUH), 'Shahzada-e-Huzoor Shaheede Rahe Madina' (The son of a martyr in the path to Madina)

HAZRAT ALLAMA MAULANA ASH-SHAH SAYYED MOINUDDIN ASHRAF ASHRAFI JILANI

('Sajjada Nasheen'-Seated on the Prayer-mat, 'Aastana Aaliya'- the eminent threshold, Kichhauchha, blessed & President All India Sunni JamiatulUlema)

NAHMADUHU VANUSALLI ALAA RASULIHIL KARIM!

Affection to the Messenger is a criterion of faith in Allah. It is individually obligatory to safeguard the honour of Prophet-hood for a slave of Mustafa. The eminent Hazrat Imam Ahmed Raza Alaihir rahmah war Rizwan says...

"AI ISHK TERE SADKE, JALNESE CHHUTE SASTE,
JO AAG BUZA DEGI, VAH AAG LAGAI HAI"

Of course, certain enemies of Islam and cheeky persons have been uttering and writing the unfair terms against the great dignity of the Messenger (Peace, blessings and salutations be on him) for the last fourteen hundred (1400) years. But, in the present time whatever indecent and nonsense terms and allegations have been charged

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

by the cursed and notorious Waseem Rizvi against the sacred and blessed personality of both the worlds (Peace & blessings be on him), the holy Qur'an& the Ialam religion in his book 'Muhammad' are unparallel in the past and forthcoming time.

ALHAMDU LILLAH; SUMM ALHAMDULILLAH! This book entitled "The Great Muhammad" contains very powerful, comprehensive and substantial replies to his book; on which all the staunch lovers of Mustafa (Peace & blessings be on him) experience the genuine and hearty pleasure because the book "The Great Muhammad" hits a very severe slap on the cheeks of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

The leader of the community, Baani Raza Academy, secretary of Naamoose Risalat Board, Janab Alhaaj Muhammad Saeed Noori Sahab desperately told to this insignificant person in a gathering held in Sunni Bilal Masjid that it is obligatory for the slaves of Mustafa to reply to such a venomous book. Subsequently, we strived to embark on preparing a perfect strategy of action to reply to his book. The insignificant person urged Maulana Muhammad Ibrahim Aasi (Administrator of JaamiaQadriyaAshrafiya, Mumbai) to write the replies to his book which was completed by him as a consequence of his extreme struggle day in and day out. May Allah, the Almighty, grant him the best rewards. The secretary of NaamooseRisalat Board, Alhaaj Muhammad Saeed Noori Sahab published this book under the supervision of TahaffuzNaamooseRisalat Board. May Allah, the Almighty, bestow him a longer life and may He cause him to strive for more religious tasks.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

This insignificant person invokes Allah in favour of all the slaves of Mustafa who strived their best for the completion of this book that may He bestow the pleasures to them in both the worlds at the altar of His beloved Prophet (Peace & blessings be on him) AAMIN

SEEKER OF DUAA
INSIGNIFICANT
SAYYED MOINUDDIN ASHRAF ASHRAFI ALJILANI

■■■

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

EVERYTHING IS TO BE SACRIFICED FOR THE HONOUR OF PROPHET-HOOD

The leader of AhleSunnat, Protector of the honour of Prophet-hood, the beloved of eminent Mufti ALHAAJ Muhammad SAEED NOORI SAHAB QIBLA (The founder of Raza Academy & Secretary of Tahaffuz Naamoose Risalat Board, Mumbai)

Esteemed Hazrat, Reviver of the Religion, Imam Ahmed Raza Alaihir rahmatu war rizwan says that....

"JAAN HAI ISHQE MUSTAFA, ROZ FUZU KARE KHUDA

JIS KO HO DARD KA MAZA, NAAZE DAWA UTHAI KYU

Let it be known that for a beloved to the Messenger, the safeguard of the honour of Prophet-hood is everything. He can tolerate every sort of loss and destruction; but he can never tolerate even a scintilla of disgrace to the honour of his beloved Prophet (Peace & Salutations be on him). A few months ago, a book entitled "Muhammad" was delivered to the office of Raza Academy, Mumbai through courier on my name bearing the name "Waseem Rizvi" as its author on it. Even the title of the said book is so ugly & detestable that no genuine Muslim would be at rest & peace having sighted that caption. Besides, even the index of chapters are also so abusive, humiliating and cheeky that every genuine beloved to the Messenger would prefer to die

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

out of dignity than to sight and read such cheeky chapters of the said book. I'm unable to represent my inner self, either in letters or expression, having read the said book. I haven't ever seen nor heard such unfair and unfit words against the dignity &majesty of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). Besides, I think that in the past 1400 hundred years of Islamic history, hardly anyone might have resorted to such a humiliating, disgraceful and abusive presentation of words against the dignity and majesty of Prophet-hood (Peace & blessings be on him). Having read this book, I couldn't help shed the tears from my eyes spontaneously; and I do claim that no eyes of any genuine lover of the Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) can be at rest and solace having read such humiliating statements of this book. Therefore, I thought that it is individually obligatory to reply to this book so that such fallacious allegations and accusations charged against the Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) can be vanished from the world. Hence, very forthwith, I consulted the Spiritual Leader, the Guide of the Islamic Laws, the Seated on Prayer mat, HazratAllamaMaulanaAshshahSayyedMainuddin Ashraf Ashrafi Al Jilani (The President of All India Sunni JamiatulUlema& Union President of NaamooseRisalat Board) to discuss with him on this book. Subsequently, it was concluded that the reply to this book should be brought on the open forum very immediately under the supervision of TahaffuzNaamooseRisalat Board so that we can render the comfort to our fellows and hit a slap on the others. Then, a meeting was held with the

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Ulema-E-Kiram (Clerics) to complete this job, a strategy was formulated and, eventually, it was declared to accomplish this task under the supervision of scholars.

A sound preparation was initiated to prepare a book as a reply to the nonsense and virulent blabbing of the cursed Waseem Rizvi; and its responsibility was assigned to the chief administrator of Jaamia Qadriya Ashrafiya, Maulana Muhammad Ibrahim Aasi. He completed the composition of this book in a remarkably brief span of time and, finally, a powerful, comprehensive and substantial reply to the book "Muhammad" written by the cursed Waseem Rizvi on the title of "The Great Muhammad" was formulated.

ALHAMDULILLAH! Now, such a powerful and invincible book has been prepared that in the time to come any unfortunate one will be compelled to ponder for hundreds of times prior to resorting to charging any allegations against the holy Qur'an, Islam religion and Mustafa (Peace & blessings be on him). We shall endeavor to get this book published in various languages so that this book as a reply to him can be made accessible to our fellows and others also, IN-SHA ALLAH.

Hazrat Maulana Muhammad Ibrahim Aasi has nailed such a strongest nail into the coffin of the liar and cursed author Waseem Rizvi on the strength of reliable references and historical evidence through this book that in future any unfortunate person will be compelled to contemplate on it prior to committing any such unfair act; and the eyes of the believers will be solaced as a consequence of this book in reply to his book.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

We invoke Allah that may He, the Almighty, bestow the benevolence and success in the world and the Hereafter to those who helped in the process of completion and publication of this book in any way and capacity. AAMIN BIJAHIN NABIYYAL AMINIL KARIM (MAY PEACE and BLESSINGS BE ON HIM).

THE SEEKER OF DUAA

THE BELOVED OF EMINENT MUFTI,

Muhammad SAEED Noori

RAZA ACEDAMY, MUMBAI

■■■

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

ADVISORY COUNCIL AND PREPARATION FOR REPLY

The world notorious and cursed Waseem Rizvi authored a book entitled "Muhammad"; in which he wrote very unfair and unhealthy words and statements against the dignity of the honour of Prophet-hood, magnificence of the holy Qur'an, greatness of Islam &the mothers of the believers (May Allah be pleased with them). When the said book reached the office of Raza Academy, no sooner did the founder of the Raza Academy, Al-haaj Muhammad Saeed Noori Sahab read it than he began to cry with the bloody tears. Then, he informed Hazrat Allama Maulana Al-haaj Sayyad Mainuddin Ashraf Ashrafi Al Jilani being a Spiritual Saint, a Guide of the Islamic Laws, the leader of Ahle-Sunnat who is the President of All India Sunni Jamiatul Ulema and counseled him to initiate a very determined action against this book. A consultative council was conducted in Jamia Qadriya Ashrafiya Sunni Bilal Masjid at Mumbai under the supervision of Tahaffuz Naamoose Risalat Board under the leadership of Muinul-Mashaikh (Holy Persons) presided by Al-haaj Saeed Noori which was attended by the intellectuals and wise persons; most particularly by Janab Rehan Dhorajiwala Sahab, Janab Aslam Lakha Sahab, Advocate Sultan Sahab, Janab Aarif Rizvi Sahab (Calco), Janab Irfan Shaikh Sahab, Maulana Anwar

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Bagdadi Sahab, Maulana Abbas Rizvi Sahab (Raza Academy), Maulana Khalilur Rahman Noori Sahab, Maulana Jafaruddin Rizvi Sahab, Maulana Muhammad Umar Sahab (Chief Administrator Jamia Qadriya Ashrafiya), Mufti Shah Nawaz Sahab, Maulana Hafiz and Qari Mushtaq Ahmed Tegi Sahab Maulana Abdur Rahim Ashrafi Sahab apart from the other Ulema (Clerics). Then, it was finalized to accomplish the task of writing and publishing this book under supervision of Tahaffuze Namoose Risalat Board at the earliest. This responsibility was assigned to me under the monitoring of the Ulema (Clerics) and intellectuals. Originally, this book was in Hindi language but subsequently it was translated in Urdu language in a very brief span of time. A full fledged team of Ulama (Clerics) and the concerned persons geared up to reply to his book. At the outset, we concentrated on the references quoted in the book "Muhammad". We prepared a complete list of books to search and study the references. Then, Janab Irfan Shaikh Sahab tremendously strived to access these books and h collected all these books from India &abroad. Then, he searched all the references to compile them all altogether followed by analyzing all the references very thoroughly.

It is very difficult to describe the disloyalty exercised by him in quoting the references in his book. He has exercised the disloyalty in quoting the references to such an enormous extent that even the words like "alteration" and "Manipulation" don't suffice it. For instance, a particular reference is given but its substance is missing. Besides, even the original text of references is so

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

meager like a pinch of salt into the flour.

I embarked on this task on 18th December, 2021. At the very outset, I studied the book of the cursed Waseem Rizvi entitled "Muhammad " in between the lines. I studied each and every sentence with a deep concentration and, consequently, thoroughly examined all the allegations and accusations stated therein. I separated all the references given in the book and prepared a complete list of them. I extracted the references from the books from which they were quoted followed by studying them on a comparative stand to analyze them. I marked the disloyalty exercised by him in quoting the references; which consumed 15 days to complete it. Then, I started the composition of this book. ALHAMDU LILLAH, This book "The Great Muhammad" was completed on 28th January, 2022, Hijri 24 Jamadil Ukhra 1443 bearing 230 references extracted from 36 books.

The rock solid truth and the flagrant fallacies were distinguished; consequently the false and fabricated wall which the cursed Waseem Rizvi tried to erect against the solid truth utterly collapsed. The mask was removed from his face. Hence, any just and fair minded person can judge him a very severe liar after reading the book "The Great Muhammad" (Peace & blessings be on him); and anyone can refute his allegations and accusations very easily. None will take time at all to judge him to be disloyal, deceitful, liar and illiterate.

I am very specially thankful to a great learned, a master of masters and clerics and the most wise Hazrat Allama Maulana Muhammad Ahmed Misbahi Sahab Qibla

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

(Al-Jamiatul Ashrafiya Mubarakpur); who studied the book "The Great Muhammad" very thoroughly and rectified it. May Allah, the Almighty, prolong his influence on the clerics for a long time.

Besides, I invoke Allah to bestow the greatest rewards to those who tried their best to complete this book. May Allah, the Almighty, grant us the passion to sacrifice our lives for the sake of the honour of Prophet-hood& grandeur of Islam. AAMIN. SAYYADIL MURSALIN SALWATULLAHI ALAIHI- wa- AALIHI -wa- ASHABIHI AJMAIN

SEEKER OF DUAA

Muhammad IBRAHIM AASI

JAMIAA QADRIYA ASHRAFIYA, MUMBAI
Email: mdibrahimaasi@gmail.com

■■■

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

BISMILLAHIRRAHMANIRRAHIM

ADMIRABLE DISCOURSE

The Author of numerous books, an Expert of Knowledge & Skills' **Hazrat Allama Maulana Mufti Abdul Majid Khan Misbahi** being a preacher & Imam of Madina Masjid, Juhu Gali, Andheri, Mumbai.

Respected Readers and the Intellectuals!

May my life and everything be sacrificed for the sake of highly blessed Sayyadna Muhammad Arabi (Peace & blessings be on him). I have been desperately restless for and on behalf of the believers for the last few months. Whereas on one side, the blessed remembrance of Sayyadina Muhammad Arabi (Peace & blessings be on him) is being persistently reverberated in each and every corner of the globe on the pulpits, in the gatherings of Milaad, in the books and sermons, certain crafty and cunning persons have been pouring the disgrace to the Prophet-hood.

From amongst such cunning and mischievous persons, the most virulent tendency is contributed by the internationally notorious and cursed Waseem Rizvi from Lucknow. He authored a book entitled "Muhammad"; wherein he flagrantly levelled the allegations against Allah and His beloved Messenger (Peace & blessings be on him). He spewed out his anger and aggressions by

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

writing the diverse allegations and accusations in his book as he possesses a filthy psychology. He showed extreme disloyalty and dishonesty in quoting the sacred Quranic verses, Ahadith, history and biography of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) and in the books of "Fiqah" (Islamic Jurisprudence) of the Fiqah Group. Hence, any upright and straightforward person will definitely reproach the cursed Waseem Rizvi on reading his book.

In the context of this book, all the institutions came forward individually and collectively to exhibit their agony and anger through various forms viz. writing, publication, expression, instructions and education. Consequently, they declared that now their patience has touched its brimming level. They finalized that at the outset, we need to write its reply based on study and research so that we can present it in the Supreme Court in order to prove that the book-"Muhammad" is absolutely false and baseless; followed by the other actions to be taken. We felt a necessity of such a person to compose this book who is conversant with the secular knowledge also concurrently with the knowledge of Islam religion apart from being familiar with the current affairs of the world at large being inclined towards the writing and research. There are plenty of clerics but Allah, the Almighty, utilizes a man of His Choice for the service and dedication to His religion; and to protect the honour of Prophet-hood. Finally, HazratAllama Mufti Muhammad Ibrahim Aasi (A teacher of Jamia Qadriya Ashrafiya) proved to be effective enough for the task of writing this book. It's my

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

personal opinion about him that he is 'A Natural Scholar and Researcher'.

Every teacher has numberless students. Similarly, a "Shaikh" (Saint) has numberless disciples. But out of all these students and disciples, certain students and disciples stand to be exceptionally beloved and intimate to their teachers and saints due to their good fortune and submissive obedience. Hence, the same is the benevolent fate of my beloved disciple namely Hazrat Allama Mufti Muhammad Ibrahim Aasi. I am tremendously proud of his being my disciple. There are abundant illustrations weighing the significance of the great personalities against the silver; but if I have the gems and pearls, I would weigh his significance against it. Having read the book in question entitled "Muhammad" written by the cursed Waseem Rizvi, any layman would lament that though he has quoted the sacred verses of the holy Qur'an, the statements of Ahadith, history, Fiqah& biography of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) with the due references, why is he the most infamous, misguided and cursed person today in the world as a whole?

I would like to urge each and every inhabitant of the world at large that if you sincerely go through the book entitled "The Great Muhammad" as a reply to the book "Muhammad" written by the cursed Waseem Rizvi, it would be very naturally apparent that the bungalow built up of glass based on the nude fallacy, hypocrisy, craftiness and lie has been shattered down to dust by AllamaAasi as the grains are crushed into the machine. Besides, the cursed one has been minutely exposed by

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

him as a consequence of leveling the false allegations and accusations on Islam and the preacher of Islam by giving a very substantial and truth-based reply to his each and every sentence that he has mentioned in his book. As and when any liar, defiant and mischievous person in India attempted to tamper with the holy Qur'an, Islam, the Messenger of Islam and the Islamic Laws, then the "Ulama-e-Sunnat" (The clerics bearing the convictions in Prophetic Traditions) always silenced them for ever with the help of pen. Indeed, AllamaAasi also has already engrained his personal identity in such a perennial historical records. If the cursed Waseem Rizvi has at least a minute level of shame and modesty, he will definitely get plunged into the tears of lamentation after reading the book "The Great Muhammad"; and consequently, he will never dare write anything at all.

I have gone through this book very solemnly and in between the lines. Then, I have replied to his book very honestly imparting justice and fairness. The sentences of my replies are very simple and lucid but they serve as the handcuffs for the defiant and liar. The facts descend in the mind of a reader like a seamless flow of sweet water. Hence, the honourable writer has discharged the liabilities on behalf of the whole of the group.

AllamaAasiSahab is a very natural scholar and researcher possessing an expert degree of knowledge and skills apart from being a cultured master and teacher. There isn't any parallel in the field of "IlmulFaraiz" (The Knowledge of Islamic Laws, Legislations and Inheritance). He is a reliable and influential preacher on

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

the chapters of current affairs, (Whose sermons have got printed and published in twenty editions in a brief span of time and have been gladly accepted by the clerics and students), he is a famous and accepted writer and an effective administrator of the religious suggestions and proposals. MAYYASHA DHALIK FADLULLAHI YUTAI

I, the insignificant one, express very solemn and hearty immense congratulations to HazratAllama Mufti Muhammad Ibrahim Aasi Zaid Majhadu on behalf of the whole group. Moreover, the Spiritual Saint Hazrat Maulana Sayyad Muin Ashraf Ashrafi Jilani Sahab Kichhochchvi (President of All India Sunni Jamiatul Ulema), Alhaaj Muhammad Saeed Noori Sahab-the leader of Ahle-Sunnat (Founder of Raza Academy) are also worth getting congratulations at whose behest this book has come into existence. May Allah, the Almighty, grant them the bounties, blessings, benevolence in both the worlds as a reward for their dedications. AAMIN

WA SALLLALHA TA'ALA ALAI KHAIR KHALQEHI SAYYADNA Muhammad WA AALAH VA ASHAABIHI AJMAIN

ABDUL MAJID KHAN ALMISBAHI

28, Jamadil Aakherah, 1443 Hijri-1 February, 2022

■■■

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

INTRODUCTION

DISRESPECT TO THE PROPHET-HOOD, SEDITION OF APOSTASY; AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE MUSLIMS.

Allah, the Almighty, continued the mechanism of sending the Apostles and Messengers for the guidance and right path of mankind; who, after arrival in the world, imparted the teachings of "Shariah" (Islamic Jurisprudence) of Allah, the Almighty, in order to salvage the stranded mankind from the deception and misguidance of "Shaitan" (Devils); and, consequently, drew them towards the Oneness of the Lord Who is radically Singular without any partner of Him. The arrival of these absolutely sacred personalities persisted right from Hazrat Aadam (Alaihis Salam) till the last and final Prophet, the mercy for the whole of humanity, the seal of Prophet-hood, the beloved to the Lord of both the worlds, Mustafa, the epitome of mercy, Hazrat Muhammad Mustafa (Peace & blessings be on him). Then, Allah, the Almighty, sealed the arrival of Prophet on the last and final Messenger and declared it very clearly in His blessed scripture as follows...

Translation: Muhammad is not the father of any of your men, but is the Messenger of Allah and the seal of the prophets. And Allah has perfect knowledge of all things.

(Surah Ahzab, Verse: 40)

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Similarly, the beloved Messenger (Peace & blessings be on him) also declared it very clearly in his blessed Hadith that....

ANA KHATAMUNNABIYYIN LA NABIY BAA'ADI

Hence, even after such flagrant declarations, certain unfortunate Muslims emerged in the world on whom the "Shaitan" (Devils) gripped their dominance and, resultantly, they plunged into such deepest pit of misguidance that they were clutched by the evil designs of the persons who falsely claimed the Prophet-hood; which led them to the sedition of apostasy. However, the sacred Qur'an & Ahadith of the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) had already predicted, informed and cautioned the nation of Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) on each and every step of life pertaining to the ensuing sedition of apostasy in the eras to come; but those, in whose destiny there was no true guidance, have already got plunged into the deep layers of darkness of misguidance and apostasy. They used to recite the holy Qur'an but it didn't go down their throat as a means of guidance; they used to get enlightened and rejoiced by the brilliance of the Ahadith of the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him); but the darkness of ignorance didn't quit them at all. Consequently, some persons falsely claimed the Prophet-hood; and some persons did even obey those liars which led to the utter ruin of their life in the world and the Hereafter. Besides, there were and would be certain persons who hurled and will hurl the humiliation to the Majesty &Honour of Allah, the Almighty or at least favored such humiliators. Furthermore, there were

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

certain persons who denied the status &honour of the beloved Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him). But such liars &defiant are being defeated in each and every era of time by those true believers; and consequently, they exposed the honour& truth of the Apostles and Messengers of Allah to the world at large. Moreover, they flagrantly presented to the world the messages of the holy Qur'an, the commandments & Sunnat of the Islam religion.

There exists an exhaustive list of the warriors of Islam who strived enormously to chase after the liars &defiant to destroy them who committed the tampering with the status &honour of the Messenger of Islam, Janab Muhammadur Rasoolullah (Peace & blessings be on him). Such warriors of Islam exhibited such love and intimacy with our Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) that they sacrificed their lives, wealth, children and even their shelters for the sake of protection of the honour of Prophet-hood (Peace & blessings be on him) which, finally, led them to either success or they ruined such immoral humiliators. My spiritual guide and mentor also exhibited his immense passion and pleasure of his 'Imaan' by reciting the following "Naat" entered as

"SAFINA-E-BAKHSHISH"

NABISE JO HO BEGAANA USE DIL SE JUDA KARDEIN
PIDAR, MADAR, BiRADAR, MAAL-V-JAA'N UN PAR FIDA KARDEIN

Allah, the Almighty, has naturally instilled the elements of aggression and sensation in human psychology. Hence, as there are the positive and constructive

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

elements of passion, love, affection and solidarity; on the contrary, there do prevail the negative and destructive elements of hatred, animosity, envy and vengeance also in human psychology. As and when any negative and destructive elements emerged in the human society against the individual or integral feelings and sensation, it is very obvious that the state of disturbances, restlessness, rebellion, chaos and retaliation does appear as a direct reaction to any negative and destructive element. Hence, as and when any humiliation was hurled or any dishonoring action was committed against any beloved and intimate dignitary or any blessed spiritual dignitaries, it is very obvious that the lightings of shock strike on their followers who are always inclined to sacrifice everything for the sake of their love and affection to them. Concurrently, it is also very obvious that they turn out to be extremely restless and writhing which eventually compels them to initiate the befitting actions in their reactions as an instinctive need of hour.

Allah, the Almighty, says in the sacred Qur'an that....

Translation: And to Allah belongs (All) honor, and to His Messenger, and to the believers, but the hypocrites do not know. (SuraH-e-Munaafiqun: Verse: 08)

The Islam religion considers the love and affection to Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) as an indispensable essence of Imaan (Belief in Allah). It is mentioned in Ahadith that when the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) was asked as to what is Imaan. Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) replied that love and affection to your Prophet is

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Imaan. So, it is humanly very instinctive that one can never tolerate even a hairline degree of humiliation against him or his attributes to whom he loves. Therefore, as soon as Jitendra Tayagi alias the cursed Waseem Rizvi uttered very virulent words against the status &honour of beloved Messenger of Allah, the core of Imaan of the believers and the merciful Mustafa (Peace & blessings be on him) in a form of a book, the liver of every believer was pierced through. Whosoever happened to sight the filthy and humiliating statements of the book of that cursed person couldn't help but shed the bloody tears. It is because such and so much humiliating statements are mentioned by that cursed man namely Tayagi alias the cursed Waseem Rizvi that are even beyond any imagination. It was necessary to reply to his book which deeply hurt the religious feelings of the believers so that certain innocent believers can be protected from ignorance and irreligiousness. Besides, it was also necessary to present the clean and untarnished image of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) in front of Non-Muslims.

"Imaan" (Faith in Allah) is such a valuable and palatable asset that once its taste is experienced by the fortunate believers, they would always be prepared to sacrifice anything for the sake of its regular sustenance. They try their level best to safeguard and sustain the honour& status of their Prophet (Peace & blessings be on him) depending upon the prevalent circumstances and times. The "Qazi" (Islamic Jurist) and the Muslim Rulers did invoke the Islamic Rulings against those who dishonored the Prophet-hood in the Islamic Governance; similarly

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

the Muslim Rulers did eliminate such unfortunate persons in accordance with the guidelines given by the clerics of the nation. Hence, we can conclude that the affectionate believers used to conduct the affairs wisely and thoughtfully under the Islamic Rulings and Leadership. They made the humiliators of the status of Prophet-hood realize their worthless positions and, thereby, revived the real Islamic teachings. In the contemporary time, under the democratic system of government, it is highly essential for the Muslims to exercise prudence and pondering over any action. Under the democratic system of governance, whereas it entertains the leniency to others under the shadow of 'freedom of speech', it does encourage the anti-Islamic forces also to point the finger of accusations against the Islam religion, Muslims and the blessed Islamic personalities under the same mask of 'Freedom of Speech'. The current system of government has constrained the hands of faithful believers. Of course, here we are absolutely allowed to practice our religious obligatory deeds but in certain affairs the democratic values and principles bear supremacy over the Islamic laws and legislation.

Amidst such severe circumstances of sedition, the clerics of the nation and community have to discharge double responsibilities that they do have to guide the general Muslims also to establish the supremacy of Islamic commandments, apart from the establishment of fairness and justice by producing the humiliators of the Prophet-hood as guilty in the courts of the present government. It is the only reason that in our country,

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

instead of establishing the Islamic Rulings in accordance with the Islamic commandments against the humiliators of the dignity of Prophet-hood or the blessed religious personalities, the Muslims in our nation attempt to progress on the strength of the basic constitution of our state. From amongst such attempts, it is also an attempt to give a substantial reply to the extremely humiliating book entitled "Muhammad" written by the cursed Waseem Rizvi alias Jitendra Tayagi. Hence, Maulana Ibrahim Aasi Sahab (Principal Jamia Qadriya Ashrafiya, Mumbai) has successfully tried to hurl the most powerful and invincible replies to the alleging book of the cursed Waseem Rizvi alias Jitendra Tayagi. The book entitled "The Great Muhammad" authored by Maulana Ibrahim Aasi and his team as a consequence of tremendous labour is not only worth admiring but also worth following. Hence, the writer of words, Razvi Salim Shahzad and beloved friend Dr Raees Ahmed Rajvi express very solemn and hearty congratulations for discharging the liabilities on behalf of the Muslim Community of the world at large to Sayyad Muin Miya Ashrafi Al Jilani Sahab qibla- "Janashine Makhdoom Simna" (The Successively Served), "Sahibe Sajjada" (Those praying) "Muinul- Mashaikh" (An Aid to the Saints) and Al Haaj Muhammad Saeed Noori Sahab qibla- "Qaide Millat" (The leader of community), "Asire Mufti-e-Aazjam" (Being imprisoned by the affection to great Mufti). Allah, the Almighty, says in the holy Qur'an in Surah Tauba, Verse 24 that..

Translation: "Say, If your fathers and your sons and your brothers and your spouses and your clan and the

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

wealth you have earned and the trade you apprehend will recede and the homes you like are dearer to you than Allah and His Messenger and Jihād in His way, then, wait until Allah comes with His command and Allah doesn't guide the defiantly disobedient people".

Commenting on this verse of the holy Qur'an, a leader of the best people, Maulana Sayyed Moinuddin Muradabadi Alaihir rahmah says in his book of Tafsir "Khazainul Irfan" that it is apparently proven from this verse of the holy Qur'an that it is obligatory for the Muslims to tolerate the pains and troubles of the world in order to safeguard the Islam religion. Besides, the worldly attachments are of no significance at all when compared with the obedience to the commandments of Allah and His Messenger; and the love of Allah and His Messenger is a basic essence of "Imaan" (Belief in Allah).

It is invoked that May Allah, the Almighty, grant the acceptance to the service and dedication of Hazrat Maulana Muhammad Ibrahim Aasi Sahab, his whole team of clerics, well to do people and the rest of the aids; and May He cause this service and dedication a cause of attaining the blessings and rewards for them in both the worlds. AAMIN BIJAHINNABIYYAL AMINIL KARIM (Peace & blessings be on him).

RAZVI SALIM SHAHZAD, M.A. B.Ed.

■■■

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

A LIAR WRITER, A FALSE BOOK IN THE MIRROR OF REALITY

The venomous book written by the world notorious cursed Waseem Rizvi which has flared up the fire of hatred is very unparalleled and unprecedented in the past and in future as well. This book is nothing but "A MajmuatulAqazin" (A heap of lies); and its writer is no more than "MuazzimulQazibin" (A master of liars). The most powerful, invincible, indomitable and substantial reply to his book entitled "Muhammad" is available in the book entitled "The Great Muhammad. On reading the book entitled "Muhammad ", written by the cursed Waseem Rizvi, anyone can easily judge that he is extremely unaware of the discipline and principles of writing skills. Besides, it is also flagrantly apparent that he is absolutely unaware with regard to the quotation of references. He has written some matters in his book as his own views and some of the matters are written with references with the name of the book, page numbers and chapters also. He has written a long list of References also at the end of his book which contains 350 references in aggregate; which mentions the names of the books, newspapers, Websites. On the contrary, inside the whole book, one finds only 37 books, 3 newspapers, 2 magazines and 2 websites as his references. Hence, the cursed Waseem Rizvi is asked where are 350 references which he has written in the

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

List of References? Thus, himself being a massive liar and crafty is proved from this controversial lie itself. He mentions 350 references as against only 121 references extracted from 26 books, 5 magazines and newspapers. Therefore, any literary man, a writer, a composer of books of any language will conclude him to be a liar and cunning man. In fact, he desires to project himself to be a great researcher, a great thinker and a scholar by falsely mentioning three hundred fifty references in his book. In fact, it is a very plain reality that the dimensions of his knowledge are not equivalent to even a hand's palm at all. He is like a frog in a well which thinks that I have toured the whole universe just upon moving a single round inside the well. But it is a proven fact that his book carries the references from no more than only 31 books, magazines and newspapers. I am going to present the explanation of his references also before the readers so that the white lie of the cursed Waseem Rizvi can be blatantly exposed. Hence, let's consider the explanation also of his references quoted in his whole book.

EXPLANATION OF REFERENCES

70 references from the Qur'an, 14 references from Sahih Bukhari, 4 references from Sahih Muslim, 2 references from Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad, 2 references from Abu Dawood, 3 references from IbneIshaq, 1 reference from Al-Baihaqi, 2 references from Ibne Hisham, 1 reference from Kitabul Agani, 1 reference from Futuhul Baldan, 1 reference from Ibne Khalqan, 1 reference from Moa'tta Imam Maalik, 1 reference from Tabri, 1 reference from Musnade Ahmed, 2 references from Tirmizi, 1 reference

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

from Tafsire Jalalain, 1 reference from Ihyaul Uloom, 1 reference from Tafsir Ibne Kasir, 1 reference from Durre Mansur, 1 reference from Al-Istikhraaj, 1 reference from Adurrul Mukhtar, 1 reference from Fatawa Qazi Khan, 1 reference from Esarut tafaasir, 1 reference from Satyarth Prakash, 1 reference from an English Article, 1 reference from Taimur Lang ki Khud Navishat, 1 reference from Pengbil Temraaj Index, 1 reference from the German Newspaper, Welt Em Songet, 1 reference from the H of Secret Terror, 1 reference from the Times Magazine.

These are the total references in his book; and no any other reference is found in his whole book except what have been mentioned above. Hence, now it is left to the readers to judge as to how there are three hundred fifty references in his book as he claims. Consequently, if any wise literary man reviews his references, he will definitely mourn over his stupidity. In fact, the cursed Waseem Rizvi tries to prove that his reading is very vast by quoting the false references. But it is a reality that his position is no more than a bubble of water.

At the beginning of his book, he writes under the heading "Announcement" that the writer has no intention at all to hurt any particular religion or its preacher or to flare up any religious conflict or any religious feelings by writing any chapter or representation in his book "Muhammad".

VENOMOUS DISCOURSE

Here, I am going to present some illustrations to prove how massive liar the cursed Waseem Rizvi is in his talks. Consequently, the readers will judge by themselves as to

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

whether or not the just and straightforward persons will be hurt by his writings.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book:

(1) Muhammad was a leader of a team of dacoits. (Reference 09) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(2) Khadija happened to find a young boy for the illegitimate sexual relationship. (Reference: 24). I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(3) Khadija used to be always ready for sexual relationship with Muhammad. (Reference: 25) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(4) The Prophet by name Muhammad used to trust on violence, loot, rape, and massacre. (Reference: 34) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(5) Muhammad used to be so crafty that he connected the acts of loot, terror, fornication with the women and killing with the Name of Allah very smartly. (Reference: 52) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(6) Muhammad relished full-fledged sex with the beautiful women received from the Islamic wars as booty. (Reference: 59) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(7) He used to enjoy having sex with Aaisha also very much. (Reference: 62) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(8) Muhammad didn't recite "Kalima" at the point of time of death. (Reference: 62) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(9) Muhammad died of having excessive sex.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

(Reference: 62) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(10) When the Prophet died, his dead body became stiff. (Reference: 63) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(11) Muhammad committed numerous heinous crimes; and from amongst them the most shameful one was sexual harassment to a 9 years old girl. (Reference: 69) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(12) They drink the urine of the camels because Muhammad drank it. (Reference: 71) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(13) Muhammad had to commit rape with his minor daughter. (Reference: 73) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(14) Muhammad Sahab used to regard the sexual intercourse with the women to be a fair act at the behest of the holy Qur'an in order to gratify his sexual lust. (Reference: 74) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(15) Muhammad Sahab gratified his sexual lust at the behest of the Qur'an. (Reference: 75) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(16) The first woman who was a victim of the sexual lust of Muhammad Sahab was "Khaula Bint Hakim Al Salmiya". (Reference: 75) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(17) In fact, Muhammad Sahab went to fornicate Umme Hani. (Reference: 77) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(18) Fornication and rape is prevalent all around due to the same teachings of the Qur'an. (Reference: 80) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(19) The beloved wife of Muhammad-Aaisha- used to be

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

shameless in imparting the sex education to the companions of Muhammad. (Reference: 81) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(20) Ibne Umar said that the Messenger permitted to have the anal sexual intercourse with the women in this verse; and sodomy is considered as permissible. (Reference: 85) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(21) It is lawful in Islam to marry one's mother or to marry such a woman who has already been married. (Reference: 87) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(22) It is Islam to commit the rape and to get raped. (Reference: 91) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(23) The more a Muslim is devout the more bad characters he is. (Reference: 91) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(24) Islam, which is brought into being through Muhammad, is an outcome of an arrogant, luxurious, psychologically sick person's imaginative thoughts. (Reference: 102) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(25) When Muhammad saw the army semi-nude, he got his intention bad. (Reference: 103) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(26) Muhammad used to use the intoxication also at the time of luxury. (Reference: 107) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(27) Muhammad was a man who used to enjoy the sex with the psychologically ill women. (Reference: 107) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(28) In fact, Muhammad had no good characters at all. (Reference: 128) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

(29) Muhammad used to suffer from the epileptic fits in the childhood. (Reference: 128) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(30) None but Muhammad was the first Islamic terrorist of the world. (Reference: 128) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(31) One more thing that Muhammad was ugly by face. (Reference: 129) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(32) Women used to hate him. Perhaps, it was the reason why he committed the rape with them. (Reference: 129) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(33) Though Muslims used to regard Muhammad as a Messenger and a great man, he was, in fact, an oppressor and a rapist man. (Reference: 131) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(34) Muhammad didn't die a natural death. He was killed by poison. (Reference: 131) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(35) He got "Kinana Bin Al Rabi" killed; and then he married his wife Safiya forcefully. (Reference: 132) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(36) Due to excessive and regular births of children, the vaginas of their wives become so loose that their husbands can insert their heads and look into it. (Reference: 137) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(37) Islam is not worth even to be considered as a religion let alone it being a true religion. (Reference: 139) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(38) Muhammad Sahab is called even to the extent of "A Superman of Sex" in the matter of sex. (Reference:

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

140) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(39) The Messenger used to have sexual intercourse with his wives constantly day and night. (Reference: 140) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(40) Muhammad Sahab might have got bored as a result of the sagging breast of his wives. (Reference: 141) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(41) Muhammad and his debauchery Companions used to be lasciviously attracted to the women of Hawazin Tribe. (Reference: 143) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

(42) In fact, it was a systematic scheme of Muhammad to arrest the women and commit a gang rape with them. (Reference: 146) I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH

Readers! Now, you have to judge how piercing through the hearts each and every above mentioned venomous statement of the cursed Waseem Rizvi is! Even apart from the Muslims, every just and fair minded person having a heart of humanity will spontaneously declare that these statements are extremely unfair, unfit and intolerable. Hence, any just and straightforward judge of the world at large will definitely judge that the writer of such statements is guilty deserving the punishment for it. Such a person is nothing but a fistula for the society and an elite human environment who can flare up and disseminate the fire of hatred, animosity and hostility but can never spread love, affection and solidarity in the society at all. Such a book which triggers the partition and hostility among different religions can worsen the environment of peace and solidarity.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI GRIPPED BY QUESTIONS

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page 1 that....

> "Small age Muslim children need to be distanced from the teachings of Madrasa. Muslims measure their success with the help of the weapons of terrorism due to the teachings of the Qur'an".

Now, I want to raise a question to the cursed Waseem Rizvi that on 13th April, 1919, hundreds of innocent Indian nationalists were massacred in Amritsar Punjab, Jalaiwala Bag. So, from which Madrasa did those killers get the education? Which of the Quranic teachings was imparted?

On 30th January, 1948, Mohan Das Karam Chand Gandhi (Gandhiji) was assassinated by Natthu Ram Godse in a broad day light. So, from which Madrasa did Natthu Ram Godse get the education? Which of the Quranic teachings did he implement?

On 31st October, 1984, the Prime Minister of India, Indira Gandhi, was assassinated by the cascade of bullets. So, from which Madrasa did that killer get the education? Which Qur'an did he implement on?

On 21st May, 1991, Rajiv Gandhi, the former Prime Minister and husband of Sonia Gandhi, was blown off by a bomb. So, from which Madrasa did that killer get the education? Who had read the Quran?

Supporting the Nazi Government, Hitler had slain around sixty Jews by holocaust. So, from which Madrasa did

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

those blatant killers get the education? By which Quranic teachings were they influenced? Does the cursed Waseem Rizvi have any answer to these questions? If he has any level of sense and understanding, he must not have blabbed such stupid things. Here, I have presented just a few illustrations as against hundreds of thousands of such other illustrations.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 5 that...

> "He introduced the custom of 'Parda' (Veil) from Allah in Islam so that no other man could access the wives as he had many wives".

Now, that ignorant person should know that the Messenger (Peace & blessings be on him) mandated the wearing of a veil not only for his wives but for all the Muslim women to come till the Last Day of the world. But the unknown and ignorant cursed Waseem Rizvi is not aware as to for whom is the decree of Parda (Veil) invoked. The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 6 that.

> "According to the historians, eight crores Hindus were massacred by the Islamic swords only in India."

Who can be such a severe liar like the cursed Waseem Rizvi? He has cited the references even for negligible matters which relate to the Islam, the Quran and Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him); but he hasn't cited any name of any historian nor any book of history nor any reference at all while mentioning such

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

a huge matter that eight crores Hindus were massacred. Here, can he tell us as to what was the number of population of India at that time when such a huge massacre took place? Can he tell us as to how many crores of attackers were there that they could kill eight crores Hindus at that time? Whereas the population in India during the British Rule in 1872 was only twenty crores sixty one lakh, the population in India before the British Rule must be less than that. Hence, the above statement of the cursed Waseem Rizvi is meant for nothing but to undermine the passion of the Hindu brethren and to enrage them. But, the cursed Waseem Rizvi will never succeed in his mission, INSHA ALLAH.

ARABIC KNOWLEDGE OF THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 12 that...

> "I only read the holy Quran in Arabic language without understanding its meanings. But a few months ago when I started to understand the meanings of the holy Quran, I concluded that the terrorism prevalent in the world is the consequence of the same scripture".

Here, I want to ask the cursed Waseem Rizvi that for how many months did he get the Arabic education to understand the Quran? Since the Quran is in Arabi language it is essential to know the Arabi language to understand the meanings and substance of the Quran.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

As it is mentioned in his book under the heading of "A brief introduction of the author", the cursed Waseem Rizvi had served in a hotel for a few months in Saudi Arabia. So, perhaps he might think himself to be a great "Aalim" (A learned man) ofArabi language as a result of a few months passed in Saudi Arabia. If it were possible, then every shepherd of the goats and camels in the Arab nation must have been an "Aalim". Readers! Now let's see how learned and knowledgeable the cursed Waseem Rizvi is in the matter of understanding the Quran, Hadith, history and Arabic language.

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN "BIN" AND "BINT"

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 58 that....

"Zubairiya Bin Al Haris", in fact, "Zuvairiyah" is the name of a woman. So, the term "Bin" can't be used for a female name because the term "Binte" is applied for any female gender of name instead of "Bin". Now, it is very astonishing that a person who doesn't know the basic grammatical difference between even "Bin" and "Binte" has come out to interpret and understand the meanings of the Quran &Ahadith and to explain to others. Hence, one can lucidly calculate how liar and crafty the cursed Waseem Rizvi is.

ALLEGATIONS WITHOUT ANY EVIDENCE

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 8 regarding the Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) that....

"To establish the sexual relations with the slaves"

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

But he hasn't cited any Hadith nor any historical book nor any name of any magazine or newspaper in his whole book regarding the sodomy nor has he mentioned any statement of anyone.

Though there isn't any reference pertaining to the sodomy in his whole book, he relates the act of sodomy towards Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). So, who else can be more virulent alleger than him?

However, the Prophet of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) himself cursed on an act of sodomy.

Reference: Jamia Tirmizi, Volume One, Page No 597, Abvabur Ra'aza, Hadith No 1165

> "Hazrat Ibne Abbas (Raziyallahu Anhu) narrates that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) said: Allah, the Almighty, doesn't even look with the merciful sight at those who commits an unnatural affair with any man or woman".

CONTRADICTORY STATEMENTS BY THE SAME PERSON

Dear Readers! Let me mention for you two statements of the rejected Waseem Rizvi which are very apparent to prove that how severe liar and traitor Waseem Rizvi is. He writes contradictory in his speech; and it is very obvious that a liar happens to speak contradictory in his version.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No. 49 that

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

> "The Quran is not a scripture of Allah; instead it is an outcome of the thoughts of a mentally distracted person".

He writes in his book on page No. 12 that

> "The holy Quran is not a scripture of Allah".

Furthermore, the cursed Waseem Rizvi mentions in his book on page No. 93 regarding the status of Hazrat Fatima Zahra (Raziyallahu Anha) that....

Hazrat Fatima (A sacred woman) was taken care of and brought up by Muhammad in the same house where the Messages of Allah used to be revealed and, thus, the Quran was sent down."

Our any amount of mourning on the ignorance, senselessness and stupidity of the cursed Waseem Rizvi doesn't suffice. He himself says that the Quran is not a Heavenly Scripture nor is a Scripture of Allah. On the contrary, he writes about the greatness of Hazrat Fatima (Raziyallahu Anha) that

> "It is the greatness of Fatima that she was nurtured in the house where the Quran used to be revealed."

Now, readers themselves have to judge whether he has any mental balance or not. He himself says that the Quran is a Scripture of Allah; and on the contrary he himself says that it is not a Scripture of Allah. Besides, let's consider his two more strange sentences on page No 4 of his book that

> "She was absolutely wicked due to the over affection of her grandfather and uncle. She

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

was not taught any lesson of morality, culture and discipline".

Readers! Now consider the other contradictory sentences written on page No. 93 of his book that....

"Muhammad taught his daughter Fatima (A sacred woman) so wonderfully that all the attributes of humanity developed in her".

Readers! Now, yourself have to judge that how can a man, who himself is wicked due to the absence of any culture, discipline, morality and control, impart the cultured upbringing to his children that too such a wonderful upbringing that she develops all the noble qualities of life? So, here does the cursed Waseem Rizvi possess any justification to this question? In fact, a swindler can never have any justification to the false matters.

IS THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI LEGITIMATE OR ILLEGITIMATE?

Let's consider the worst mentality, hoax, deceitfulness and ignorance of the rejected Waseem Rizvi. Somewhere in his whole book, he writes that Aaisha is the wife of Muharram, somewhere he writes that Muhammad married Aaisha, somewhere he writes that Muhammad married with the daughter of Abu Bakar, Aaisha.

It is mentioned on page No. 60 for once, on page No. 61 for 4 times, on page No. 64 for 1 time, on page No. 64 for 1 time, on page No. 65 for 2 times, on page No. 3 for 3 times, on page No. 66 for 1 time, on page No. 67 for 3 times, on page No. 68 for 1 time, on page No.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

69 for 1 time, on page No. 81 for 2 times, on page No. 87 for 1 time and on page No. 88 for 1 time.

Hence, in aggregate there are 21 places where he admits that Hazrat Aaisha (Raziyallahu Anha) is a wife of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). Yet, that ignorant person mentions the intercourse of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) with Hazrat Aaisha (Raziyallahu Anha) an act of adultery with her. Thereafter, let's take into consideration such a contradictory statement of that unfortunate person.

He writes in his book on page No. 73 that....

> "Abu Bakar was faithful to Muhammad from the beginning itself. Muhammad had to commit rape with the innocent daughter of Abu Bakar so that he could establish the friendship with him."

But, the ignorant and cursed Waseem Rizvi isn't conscious enough to acknowledge the fact that there isn't any act of adultery between a husband and his wife.Marriage is solemnized in compliance with the rites & rituals of all personal religions. Every religion entails the personal and individual customs and traditions of marriage. Hence, the transformation of relation between a man and woman into a husband and wife performed in accordance with customs and traditions of every individual religion is called marriage. Subsequently, the sexual relationship between them is called sexual intercourse or sharing the bed. If the relation is not solemnized and converted into marriage, it is called rape or illegitimate sexual relation.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Here, I would like to ask the cursed Waseem Rizvi whether or not his father by name Muhammad Zaki had sexual intercourse with his wife. Of course, he must have had sexual intercourse with her; and it is the consequence that the cursed Waseem Rizvi is born. Now, if it is an act of fornication between Muhammad Zaki and his wife; then isn't the child born out of fornication is known as an illegitimate child in the society? Here, the readers themselves have to judge that isn't the cursed Waseem Rizvi is an illegitimate child given his own statement and not according to us?

FALSE HOOD EXPOSED

Let's consider one more lie. The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 20 that

> "When Muhammad was handed over to a vagabond couple in a desert for his rearing his age was only 6 years".

Now, let's consider the customs of the other religions with regard to bread feeding to the children.

Dayanand Saraswati writes in his book Satyarth Prakash Samlas Chhata that

> "When a child is born, he/she should take the breast feeding of his/her mother for six days and on the sixth day woman should come out having engaged a wet nurse for the breast feeding to her child".

This statement proves that in the rest of the religions also a wet nurse is engaged for the breast feeding to a child.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

After mentioning the senseless blabbing by the cursed Waseem Rizvi, here I am going to present the real scenarios of history. The age of Huzure Aqdas (Peace & blessings be on him) was not six years at all; instead just after a few days after his birth Hazrat Halimah Sa'adiyah took him with her. Qazi Muhammad Suleman Salman Mansurpuri writes in his famous book of the current era namely "Rahmatul Lil-Aalamin" on page No 41 that.

> "It was a custom of the noble people of Macca that when a child used to get eight days of age, they used to send the child to a venue of the pleasant atmosphere handing over the child to the wet nurses. In line with the same prevalent custom Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) was also handed over to Halimah Sa'adiyah."

Here, the blatant lie of the cursed Waseem Rizvi stands exposed.

SUCCESSIVE LIES

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes a false thing in his book on page No 25 that

> "Khadija convinced Muhammad that an angel appeared to her and he has been chosen to designate him to be a Messenger. Khadija named that picture as Jibraeel".

But, it is a very blatant lie that Hazrat Khadija (Raziyallahu Anha) named that angel as Jibraeel. There is a descriptive Hadith in Bukhari Shreef. Let's read its gist in brief.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Bukhari, Volume One, Kitabul Vahi, Hadith No 03, Page No 95

> When the "Vahi" (Divine Revelation) commenced to be revealed to him, having sighted its initial state, Hazrat Khadija took Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) to her cousin brother namely Varaqah Bin Nofil and said "O, my cousin brother! Listen to your nephew, Muhamme". He narrated the whole story. Then, Varaqah Bin Nofil said "This is the same Honour which was descended on Musa (Alaihis Salam) by Allah, the Almighty. I wish I was young. I wish I was alive at a time when your tribe will expel you from the city".

It is narrated in Ibne Hisham, Volume One, Chapter 41, Page No 267 that..

> "Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) introduced Jibraeel to Hazrat Khadija. Ibne Hisham said that I had been narrated by a person on whom I trusted that Jibraeel came to Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) and told him to convey the 'Salaam' (Blessings & Salutations) to Khadija of her Lord. The Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) said that 'Khadija, this is Jibraeel, he conveys the 'Salaam' of your Sustainer to you'. Hazrat Khadija said: Allah Himself is Salaam, all attain peace from Him and May peace be on Jibraeel also".

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

From the above authentic narration, you can judge how severe liar the curse Waseem Rizvi is.

This Hadith proves that Varaqah Bin Nofil informed that he is "Naamus" (An Honour) Here, "Naamus" implies to be an Angel. It clearly indicates that Hazrat Khadija didn't mention the name of the Angel. Hence, it is nothing but an accusation of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

WHO IS UNJUST? WHO IS A VICTIM?

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 31 that...

> "We find no any evidence pertaining to the atrocities and persecutions on Muhammad or on the Muslims in Mecca".

Furthermore, the cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 32 that

> "Muhammad feighed to be a victim"

Furthermore, he writes that

> "We don't find any evidence pertaining to the atrocities on Muhammad even in the historical books authored by the Muslims".

Here, I present some Ahadith to you to substantiate his lie, fraud and deception; which will convince you how rigorous atrocities & persecutions were inflicted on Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) and Muslims in Mecca.

It is mentioned in Sahih Bukhari, Volume Two, Kitabul Manakib, Page No 446, Hadith No 1033.

> "Hazrat Khabbab (Raziyallahu Anhu) says that

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

when I went to the service of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him), he was sitting in the shadows of 'Khana-e-Kaaba' (The House of God) leaning against a blanket. The infidels used to inflict atrocities and persecutions on us during those days".

It is mentioned in Sahih Bukhari, Volume Two, Kitabul Manakib, Page No 446, Hadith No 1035

"Hazrat Abdullah bin Mas'ood (Raziyallahu Anhu) says that once Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) was in a position of prostration. Some of the persons from the tribe of Quraish were present around him at that time. Aqbah bin Abi Mueet came there carrying the entrails of a camel and left it on the blessed back of him. Consequently, he couldn't get up from the position of prostration due to it till Hazrat Fatima arrived there and removed it from his blessed back".

It is mentioned in Sahih Bukhari, Volume Two, Kitabul Manaqib, Page No 447, Hadith No 1037 that...

"Once when Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) was offering Namaz in Ka'aba, Iqbal bin Abi Mueet came there. He threw a cloth around his neck and then resorted to strangulate him with his full potential of strength. Hazrat Abu Bakar Siddiq (Raziyallahu Anhu) arrived there and clutched him to separate him from Prophet

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). Then, he said: Do you intend to assassinate such a man who declares that my Lord is Allah?"

It is mentioned in Sirat Ibne Hisham, Volume One, and Page No 350 that...

> "Ibne Ishaq says that the infidels inflicted the extreme atrocities and persecutions on those Companions who accepted Islam and followed Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him); and every tribe attacked on the Muslims of respective tribe, they imprisoned them, beat them, compelled them to be hungry and thirsty and they used to persecute them by laying them down flat on the scorching land. Consequently, some of the martyrs couldn't tolerate such persecutions".

Ibne Hisham further writes that....

> "The condition of the freed slave of Hazrat Abu Bakar (Raziyallahu Anhu) namely Hazrat Bilal (Raziyallahu Anhu) was that he was a fostered slave of a person from the tribe of Bani Jamha. His name was Bilal bin Ribah, his mother's name was Hamamah. He was a solemn and sincere hearted person believing in the truthfulness of Islam. When it used to grow very scorching heat in the afternoon, Umayyah bin Khalaf would take him out and used to lay him down flat on the stony place

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

> of Mecca. Then, he used to instruct someone to bring a big sheet of stone which used to be put on his chest. Then, he used to threaten him saying that you would stay in the same state till you die or denounce Muhammad and turn to worship Laat-v-Uzza. But, Bilal continued declaring "Ahad", "Ahad" (Allah is only One, Allah is only One)".

It is mentioned in Sirat Ibne Hisham, Volume One, Page No 352 that..

> "Ibne Ishaq said: "Bani Makhzum, Ammar Bin Yasir set out accompanying their parents who all believed in Islam. When the temperature rose in the afternoon to a peak level, they used to be persecuted on the scalding land of Mecca. I came to know that when Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) used to pass by them, he used to console them by saying O, family members of Yasir! Have patience, you are promised Paradise".

Apart from the above stated Ahadith, there are many other Ahadith and historical stories available in the books pertaining to the torture and persecutions on Muslims. But here only a few have been presented as an illustration in order to prove the falsehood of the cursed Waseem Rizvi who claims that there weren't any atrocities and persecutions on the Muslims in Mecca. Notwithstanding the fact that such excruciating atrocities and persecutions were inflicted on them, when Mecca was conquered the Master of both the worlds (Peace & blessings be on him) forgave all of them though the

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

infidels of Quraish had been trembling thinking of their dire consequences. What else can be an illustration of the mercy of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) other than this one?

DECEPTION and FALSEHOOD

The cursed Waseem Rizvi conceals the atrocities and persecution inflicted by the infidels of Quraish and Abu Jahal; and writes in his book on page No 29 that

> "Many stories are being narrated regarding the infliction of atrocities on the Muslims. A story is being narrated on the name of Sumayya that extreme atrocities were imposed on Muslims. Ibne Sa'ad is the only one historian who said that Sumayyah was martyred by Abu Zahal. Al-Baihaqi writes quoting the reference of Sa'ad: Abu Zahal pierced through the vagina of Sumayya by a knife. If it were a true story of her martyrdom, every writer who appreciates Muhammad must have banged on this story and Muslim traditions must have glorified this martyrdom. This is an illustration of how the Muslims present the fabricated story from the inception".

Here, the cursed Waseem Rizvi wants to say that the martyrdom of Hazrat Sumayya (Raziyallahu Anha) is false; and no atrocities were imposed on her. On the contrary, the cursed Waseem Rizvi mentions the reference of Ibne Sa'ad that this story is stated by Al-Baihaqi. Now, here I am going to present numerous

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

references from the books of history in order to expose the lie of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

Shaikh Abdul Haq Muhaddis Dehlvi writes in his book Madarijun Nubuwwah on page No 63, Volume Two that..

> "The unfortunate Abu Zahal martyred Sumayyah, mother of Ammar, by hitting a spear in her vagina followed by killing her father. This is the first martyr in Islam. It is mentioned in Tarikh Ibne Asakir, Volume Two that once Abu Zahal abusing Hazrat Sumayyah (Raziyallahu Anha) hit a spear under her naval so vigorously that she fell down unconscious with the flood of blood. She earned the honour of being the first Muslim woman martyr."

Imam Ibne Hazar Asqalani writes in his book Al-Asabah Fi Tamizi Sahabah discussing about Sumayyah that

> "Abu Zahal martyred Hazrat Sumayyah- the mother of Ammar -very cruelly. So, this was the first martyrdom in the history of Islam which took place in the cause of Allah with the quality of perseverance and stability"

It is mentioned in Sirat Ibne Hisham, Volume One, Page No 352 that

> "Ibne Ishaq said: Bani Makhjum Ammar bin Yasir set out with his parents who all believed in Islam. When the temperature rose in the afternoon to a peak degree, they used to be persecuted on the scalding land of Mecca. They did kill the mother of Ammar".

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

Readers! You are requested to consider that though many historians have already discussed this story, it is denied by the cursed Waseem Rizvi. So, it is nothing but the ignorance and lie of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

PRISONER

Let's consider one more lie of the cursed Waseem Rizvi who writes in his book on page No 29 that

> "It is mentioned in a Hadith that when Umar didn't become a Muslim, he imprisoned his sister".

It is an absolute lie that she was made a prisoner. Let it be known that this entire story is mentioned in Sirat Ibne Hisham, Volume One, Page No 378 that

> "When Umar (Raziyallahu Anhu) reached near the house, he happened to listen to the recitation of the Qur'an by Khabbab. When he went inside the house, he asked who was humming which I heard? His sister and brother-in-law argued that you hadn't heard anything. Hazrat Umar argued why is it that I hadn't heard it? He swore by Allah that I had heard it and I had also come to know that you both have followed the religion of Muhammad. Thereupon, his sister and brother-in-law admitted that we had accepted the Islam religion. Now, it is up to you to do what you want. Then, Hazrat Umar assaulted his sister and brother-in-law causing the injuries to them. When Umar happened to see the blooding of his sister, he repented on

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> what he had committed; and restrained himself from beating her. Thereafter, Hazrat Umar accepted the Islam religion".

Except what has been narrated above, it is nowhere found that Hazrat Umar imprisoned his sister. But it appears that the cursed Waseem Rizvi has taken an oath to tell a lie every now and then on every matter and to allege the Muslims. Readers! Now, it is very flagrant from the references that Hazrat (Raziyallahu Anhu) didn't imprison his sister.

WHO IS NEGLECTFUL?

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 58 that

> "The Messenger of Allah attacked Banu Mustaliq at a time when they were neglectful watering their animals. The Messenger killed them and the survivors were held captives".

This is also an absolute lie. Now, I am going to present the facts about it on the strength of references mentioned in Sirat Ibne Hisham.

> "This story commences from page No 348 in Sirat Ibne Hisham, Volume Two and ends on page No 353. But nowhere is it mentioned that they were neglectful watering their animals. It was a stream of water where Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) stayed; and its name was Masiria which is situated around Qudaid towards the seashore. The confrontation also took place

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> at the same location". Let's consider the fraud and deception of the cursed Waseem Rizvi that as soon as he heard the name of a stream of water, he falsely fabricated the story of watering the animals; and he writes that they all were unaware. In fact, they weren't unaware at all; instead they all were preparing for war against the Muslims. Now, here I am going to present the story in a nutshell.

Ibne Hisham writes with the reference of Ibne Ishaq. Ibne Ishaq says....

> "The Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) came to know that Bani Mustalaq had been gathering the people with a view to confronting against the Muslims. Their leader was Haris bin Abu Zarrar who was the father of Hazrat Zubairiyah-the mother of the believers. Therefore, he (Peace & blessings be on him) set out to confront against them and camped at a stream of water whose name was Banam Marisia. Eventually, the confrontation also took place at the same place which led to the blood shedding. Subsequently, Allah, the Almighty, defeated Banu Mustalaq. Some of their fellows were killed and the rest of the men and women were held captives".

Now, the cursed Waseem Rizvi is asked: who was preparing for confrontation? Wasn't there a confrontation from both the sides? Then, how were they

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

unaware? Besides, so long as the confiscation of their goods and imprisonment of the people is concerned, it is a rule of confrontation that the conqueror of the fight captures all these things. If Banu Mustalaq had been the conqueror, would they have garlanded the Muslim army? In fact, the literary reading of the cursed Waseem Rizvi is drastically poor as he is not aware of the consequences of wars of the recent past, the ensuing future and the contemporary time. It is very obvious that when two armies fight against each other, the conquering army does imprison the defeated army. The conquering nation takes the defeated nation under its control. Hence, doesn't the cursed Waseem Rizvi know that the Britishers captured India and, consequently, ruled over the Indians for two hundred years having invoked their personal laws and legislations in India?

BLABBING BY THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI:

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 58 that

> "Juwairiya was a beautiful woman. The teammates of Muhammad killed her husband. Then, Juwairiya was taken away by them as a sex slave and she was compelled to become a Muslim".

Readers! This is nothing but a sheer blabbing of the cursed Waseem Rizvi. Hence, to know the ground realities of the story in question, here I am going to present the verbatim story from Sirat Ibne Hisham.

It is mentioned in Sirat Ibne Hisham, Volume Two, on page No 351 that...

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

"Ibne Hisham said: When he (Peace & blessings be on him) was returning from the battle of Mustalaq accompanying Juwairiya Binte Haris and as he was busy in mobilizing the army, he kept Juwairiya with an Ansar (Helper) as a trust with an instruction to keep her diligently and he returned to Madina. Subsequently, Haris Bin Abu Zarrar came there to ransom his daughter. When he reached Aqiq, he looked at the camels he brought for the ransom. He got greedy for two camels out of all the camels brought there. So, he hid those two camels in the valley of Aqiq. Then, he approached the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) and said: O, Muhammad! You have brought my daughter here and this is the ransom for her. The Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) asked: where are those two camels which you have hidden in a particular valley of Aqiq? Having heard it, Haris said:

ASH HADU ALLAILAH ILLALLAAHU V ANT Muhammad DURR RASULULLAH.....

I bear witness that there is no God but Allah and that you-Muhammad- is a Messenger of Allah. I swear by the Lord that none knew about this thing except Allah. Then, Haris, his two sons and some of the fellows of his tribe accepted Islam; and he ordered a man to bring those two camels; and his daughter,

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

> Juwairiya, was returned. She too accepted Islam. Thereafter, he sent a proposal for marriage to his father. He married Juwairiya on the stipulation of four hundred Dirham 'Maher' (Cash gift to a bride given on the event of the wedding)".

Ibne Hisham writes in Sirat Ibne Hisham on the reference of Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anhu) that..

> "As a consequence of accepting Juwairiya by Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) in his wifehood, people set free hundred captives of Banu Mustalaq who belonged to the family of Banu Mustalaq. I don't find any other woman who proved herself to be a cause of so much blessings for her tribe i.e. a hundred captives were set free because of her".

Now, those who tend to justice and fairness have to judge whether or not the cursed Waseem Rizvi is a liar. Was Juwairiya compelled to become a Muslim under any force? Was Juwairiya compelled to become a slave so that sexual relations could be built up with her? Hence, all these matters are fabricated by the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

FILTHY PSYCHOLOGY OF THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 61 that

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

"He enjoyed having sex with Aaisha".

It is an utter lie. It has nothing to do with the facts and realities at all as no reference has been quoted to substantiate it. Therefore, it is his own fabrication. All the wives were equal to the sight of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) and, therefore, Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) used to fix the turns for all the wives in a chronic order irrespective of herself being of higher or lower age. Here, I am going to present a Hadith in support of it.

Abu Dawood, Kitabun Nikah, Volume Two, Page No 140, Hadith No 367.

> "Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha) says that the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) used to fix the turns on a just basis".

Abu Dawood, Volume Two, Kitabun Nikah, Page No 141, Hadith No 368.

> "Urvah is reported to have been said by Hazrat Aaisha: O, Nephew! The Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) doesn't prioritize one over the other. He used to visit each wife during the period of our turns but he would not touch her till he reached the wife whose turn was due with whom he would pass the night".

This Hadith proves that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) was so just and outright that he fixed the turns of wives even in the matter of spending

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

the night with the wives. He wouldn't prefer one over the other. Hence, it is proved here that what the cursed Waseem Rizvi says is based on nothing but a lie.

FALSE STATEMENTS

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 62 that..

"Muhammad died of the excessive sex"

I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH; AND, AGAIN I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH FOR HUNDREDS OF TIMES.

Here, he presents the name of Ibne Hisham as a reference for it.

Ibne Hisham narrates the sickness and demise of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) running on 20 pages; but nowhere does he write that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) died of having excessive sex intercourse at all, I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH. Hence, it is very radically a lie. Those who are just and fair ought to study Ibne Hisham by himself/herself to conclude that the cursed Waseem Rizvi is extremely a liar.

It is mentioned in Sirat Ibne Hisham, Volume Two, Page No 789 that

> "Hazrat Aaisha (Raziyallahu Anha) narrates that the headache of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) aggravated. He used to stay with his wives in sequence at that time. When his condition deteriorated further, he was in the house of Hazrat Memuna (Raziyallahu Anha). He called all the

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> respected wives and sought their permission to pass his period of illness in my house. So, all the respected wives permitted him".

Indeed, it is a severe fearlessness and injustice to provide the false quotation for what is not stated in the book and to relate such matters to the book and its author. Hence, every just and straightforward person will conclude it to be a tyranny and lie to relate something to the author which is not stated by Ibne Hisham at all. Therefore, it appears that the lie and fraud is a part and parcel of his inborn nature.

WHO DRINKS THE URINE?

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 71 that

> "They drink the urine of the camel also because Muhammad drank it".

The cursed Waseem Rizvi is cunningly very smart to talk about falsehood. He says that the Muslims drink urine. This matter is found neither in any historical book nor in any Hadith nor in the holy Quran nor in any book of Sirat (Biography of Prophet Muhammad-Peace and blessings be on him) nor has he mentioned any reference to support his claim. In fact, Muslims consider the urine an impurity and a filthy thing. If it gets onto the cloth, he/she cleans it very forthwith as he/she can't perform Namaz without washing it off. However, it is not warranted here to mention that a particular community considers the urine of the cow to be holy and pure; and encourages others to drink it showing hundreds of benefits in utilising it. But, I didn't name that particular

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

community here. However, if the cursed Waseem Rizvi is intelligent enough, he would definitely understand which community I am talking about here. Therefore, the cursed Waseem Rizvi needed to study the books of other religions also prior to speaking about urine.

It is mentioned in Manusmriti, Chapter 11, Shalok (Verse) 212 with regard to urine that

> "Mix up and drink the urine of cow, its dung, milk, ghee and water; and keep a fast on the following day. This is known as 'Santapan Karchhar'. On the otherwise way, if one consumes each thing as mentioned above on each day; and keeps the fast on the seventh day, it is known as 'Saha Sant Panchhar".

Now, the cursed Waseem Rizvi himself has to state that which religion acknowledges drinking of urine. I'm very much surprised on his saying that "Muhammad drank it", I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH. Alas, very sad. There isn't any evidence available to support his allegation at all from any source of reference to prove that Muhammad drank wine; I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH. Hence, it is a very blatant lie from his part. It is found in a Hadith that once Prophet Muhammad (Peace and blessings be him) prescribed it to some people as a treatment.

Sahih Bukhari, Volume Three, Kitabut Tib, Page No 254, Hadith No 646.

> "Kata'ada is reported to have been said by Hazrat Anas (Raziyallahu Anhu) that some persons didn't find the climate of Madina

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> suitable for them. Therefore, Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) instructed them to approach the shepherd engaged by them to graze their camels; and drink the milk and urine of camels there. They continued drinking milk and urine there till they got healthy".

This narration is found in Sirat Ibne Hisham, Volume Two, and Page No 786 also.

Hence, now the cursed Waseem Rizvi has to admit here that isn't the allegation levelled against Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) regarding his drinking of urine is false and baseless? Each just and equitable person admits that it is nothing but a blatant lie. The cursed Waseem Rizvi can never present any Hadith nor any historical book wherein it is mentioned that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) drank urine.

GANDHIJI IS STUPID TO THE SIGHT OF THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 71 that

> "Mahatma Gandhi married his wife when they both were ten years old. The objective of such type of marriage was to let the couple grow at a time simultaneously, to establish intimacy between them and to fasten them by a special bond of relationship. This was a stupid faith in the ancient times".

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

Here, the cursed Waseem Rizvi wants to say that Gandhiji followed a stupid practice by wedding at ten years of age; and it is very obvious that whoever follows a stupid practice is stupid and senseless. The parents, mother-in-law and father-in-law were happy with such a stupid faith. So, were they also stupid and senseless? According to the cursed Waseem Rizvi, they were stupid.

Dr Muhammad Ahmed Naeemi writes in his book "Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religion", in Volume Two, on Page No 544 with the reference of Samiriti that....

> "The marriage of Eight years old girl is the best one. The parents and her brother who do not marry the girl before ten years of age will go to Hell".

Now, here the cursed Waseem Rizvi himself has to clarify that whether or not those who have faith in what is mentioned in Samiriti are stupid and senseless. Besides, I am going to present very exhaustive discussions and references from other religions under the topic of 'Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa's Marriage (Raziyallahu Anha), INSHA ALLAH.

WHO IS UGLY?

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 129 that

> "One more thing that If we believe Hadith, Muhammad was ugly by face". I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH; AND AGAIN I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH!

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

Hardly can we access to anyone else more severe liar than him. He relates the matter to a Hadith without quoting any reference at all because there isn't any such Hadith with such a matter. On the contrary, one can produce hundreds of Ahadith vouching for the beauty, glory and handsomeness of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). As evidence, here I am going to present some Ahadith so that the lie and fraud of the cursed Waseem Rizvi can be exposed.

Sahih Bukhari, Volume Two, Kitabul Ambiya, Page No 341, Hadith No 763.

> "Hazrat Bara Bin Aazib (Raziyallahu Anhu) says that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) was of a middle stature. I saw him clad in a ruddy complexion; and never ever had I seen anyone more glorious and handsome than him".

Bukhari Sharif, Volume Two, Page No 341, Hadith No 764, Kitabul Ambiya.

> "Hazrat Bara (Raziyallahu Anhu) was asked: Was the blessed face of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) twinkling like an edge of a sword? He replied, No, instead it was twinkling like the moon".

Jamia Tirmizi, Volume Two, Abvabul Manaqib, Page 683, 684, Hadith No 1582.

> "Hazrat Abu Hurairah (Raziyallahu Anhu) narrates that I haven't seen anything more beautiful than the Messenger of Allah (Peace

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

& blessings be on him), it was as if the sun were floating on his face".

The famous poet of Arab, Hassan Bin Saabit, writes that

WA AHSANA MINKA LAM TARAQAT AINEE

WA AJMALU MINKA LAM TADIN NISAAI

Translation: O, Messenger of Allah! My eyes haven't sighted anyone more handsome than you. No woman has ever given a birth to anyone more handsome than you.

Now, the readers themselves have to conclude that whether or not the above Ahadith prove it that my Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) was awefully hansome and glorious; and on the contrary the cursed Waseem Rizvi is terribly liar and despicably infamous.

ALLEGATIONS

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 129 that

"Whoever drew his picture was deported by Muhammad".

This matter is also based on a lie.

It is not mentioned in Sirat Ibne Ishaq, Sirat Ibne Hisham, Sahih Bukhari, Sahih Muslim etc. that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) deported the person who drew the pictures. Therefore, the allegation levelled by the cursed Waseem Rizvi is based on his lie. Let's read the Hadith related to drawing a picture said by the beloved Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

be on him).

Sahih Bukhari, Volume Three, Page No 333, Kitabul Libas, Hadith No 903

> "Hazrat Ibne Abbas (Raziyallahu Anhu) says that I heard the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) saying whosoever draws the picture in the world will be compelled to instil the soul into it on the Day of Resurrection but he would never be able to do so".

Let's read one more Hadith related to drawing a picture.

Sahih Bukhari, Volume Three, Page No 332, Kitabul Libas, Hadith No 899.

> "Hazrat Anas (Raziyallahu Anhu) says that a curtain used to be hanging on the side of the residence of Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha) on the floor. Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) instructed her to remove it because its drawings happen to be in front of me while I offer Namaz".

Apart from the above Ahadith, there are many Ahadith found on the prohibition of drawing the pictures. But we don't find a single Hadith which describes that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) deported the person who drew the pictures. Therefore, it is nothing but an allegation charged by the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

WHY WERE THE IDOLS BROKEN?

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

130 that...

> "Why did Muhammad break down the idols in the Ka'aba after the conquest of Mecca?"

I shall reply on this question as to why the idols were broken down; but I instruct the cursed Waseem Rizvi to ask Amr Bin Luhai: first of all why did he bring the idols from Mulke Sham (Damascus country) and put them in the "Khana-e-ka'aba" (The House of God) after hundreds of years which was constructed by Hazrat Ibrahim (Alaihis Salam)? Does the cursed Waseem Rizvi have any answer to this question? Khana-e-Ka'aba (The House of God) was constructed by Hazrat Ibrahim (Alaihis Salam) with the company of his son, Hazrat Ismail (Alaihis Salam). Let's read a Hadith related to the construction of "Ka'aba.

It is mentioned in Sahih Bukhari, Volume One, Page No 591, Kitabul Manakib.

> "Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha) narrates that: The Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) said that if the era of your community was not near the era of ignorance, I would instruct to include the separated portion of Ka'aba into it which has been separated while re-constructing it afresh; and I would flatten its chair to the ground; I would get one gate fixed towards the East direction and one gate fixed towards the West direction; and I would get its base built up according to the base of Ibrahim."

This Hadith proves that "Khana-e-Ka'aba (The House of

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

God) was constructed by Ibrahim (Alaihis Salam). Let's read one more Hadith.

Sahih Bukhari, Volume One, Page No 590, Kitabul Manasik, Hadith No 1482

> "Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha) narrates that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) told her: You don't know that when your community constructed the "Ka'aba", it was reduced from the base of Ibrahim".

The Quran also bears a witness that Hazrat Ibrahim (Alaihis Salam) constructed the "Ka'aba. (Surah No. 02, Verse No. 127)

> "And when Ibrahim raised up its base; and Ismail said, 'O, our Lord! You accept it from us."

The Quran and Hadith prove that Hazrat Ibrahim (Alaihis Salam) constructed the "Khana-e-Ka'aba (The House of God). After hundreds of years subsequent to its construction, the leader of the tribe of Khuza'ah namely Amr Bin Luhai brought an idol from Mulke Sham (Damascus country) and located it in Khana-e-Ka'aba (The House of God).

Sirat Ibne Hisham, Volume One, Page No 108

"Ibne Hisham said that certain learned men informed Muhammad that Amr Bin Luhai went to Mulke Sham (Damascus country) and reached at the place of Balqa. There he saw that people were worshipping the idols. Amr Bin Luhai asked them: Won't you give me an idol

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

from them? So, they gave him an idol which is known as 'Habal' which was brought to Mecca and located in Khana-e-Ka'aba (The House of God)".

Now, here I am going to reply as to why Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) broke down the idols on the day of the conquest of Mecca.

Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) is from the descendants of Hazrat Ibrahim (Alaihis Salam).

It is mentioned in Sirat Ibne Hisham, Volume One, and Page No 31 that

> "Abu Muhammad Abdul Malik bin Hisham Annahvi said that this book describes the blessed 'Sirat' (Biograph) of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him); and his genealogy is as follows
>
> "(1) Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) (2) son of Abdullah (3) son of Abdul Muttalib (4) son of Hashim (5) son bin Abd Munaf (6) son of Qusai (7) son of bin Qilaab (8) son of bin Murrah (9) son of bin Qa'ab (10) son of bin Galib (11) son of bin Luvai (12) son of bin Fahar (13) son of bin Malik (14) son of bin Bazar (15) son of bin Kinanah (16) son of Khuzaimah (17) son of bin Mudraqah (18) son of bin Ilyas (19) son of bin Muzar (20) son of bin Nizar (21) son of Ma'ad (22) son of bin Adnan (23) son of bin Udd (24) son of bin Muqavvim (25) son of bin Nahur (26) son of bin Tirah (27) son of bin Ya'arab (28) son of bin Yasrab (29) son

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

> of bin Nabat (30) son of bin Ismail (31) son of bin Ibrahim".

The above genealogy proves that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) is a descendant of Hazrat Ibrahim (Alaihis Salam) down the line. 'Khana-e-Qaba' (The House of God) was constructed by Hazrat Ibrahim (Alaihis Salam) and, hence, 'Khana-e-Qaba' (The House of God) has been constructed by the father of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). Whereas Hazrat Ibrahim (Alaihis Salam) had kept 'Khana-e-Qaba' cleansed of the idols, Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) also cleansed the house of his father of the idols. Therefore, it's not a matter of any astonishment at all.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 130 that...

> "Why is it not allowed to construct a temple or a church in Saudi Arabia?"

The cursed Waseem Rizvi ought to be aware that every state has its own individual rules and code of governance of the state. Here, will the cursed Waseem reply why is it prohibited in India to slaughter the cow? Of course, there are numerous non-Muslim countries in the world where the cow slaughtering is not prohibited. Hence, does the cursed Waseem Rizvi have any convincing answer to this question?

WILL A CHILD DROP FROM THE SKY?

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 131 that...

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

"Why is the contraception prohibited?"

The cursed Waseem Rizvi ought to be aware that the nation can be served only as a consequence of the delivery of the child. Consequently, one will become a doctor to serve the patients, one will become a judge to impart justice in the Courts, one will become a scientist to engineer the missiles and one will become a military man to safeguard the borders of the nation by confronting the enemy neighbouring countries with the indomitable spirit. Now, if a mother adopts the contraceptive measure, will a child drop from the sky? If it is so, who will protect the borders of the country? But, the cursed Waseem Rizvi can't stretch his thinking up to that horizon at all.

■■■

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

NOW, FALSEHOOD IS EXPOSED

Readers! You have already studied in the preceding pages that how virulently the master of liars namely the cursed Waseem Rizvi has related the false and fabricated matters to Ialam religion, Muslims and Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). Now, here I am going to lift the curtain from those false and fabricated presentations. Let it be kindly known that wherever he has quoted the reference of Hadith, you can read and judge that he has committed the breach of trust as a consequence of manipulation in the original text of Hadith from his own side. I am going to mention here the fabricated Hadith followed by the real text of Hadith and further followed by its explanation and interpretations in order to lift the curtain from the falsehood. Now, read the same.

DISTANCE BETWEEN THE SKY and THE EARTH.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 63 with the reference of Sahih Bukhari, Volume 7, Chapter No. 62, Hadith No 144.

> "Aaisha said that when Allah caused the death of the Messenger, his head was close to my neck and he was kissing me. His spite was getting mixed with that of mine. I hold myself responsible for his death. I was of a minor age and innocent at that time. I wanted to stimulate the Prophet further by contributing him in foreplay. But since I didn't

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> have any experience, I wasn't aware about the dire consequences of having a wild sex by an aged and sick person with a young woman; else I would have restricted him.

I didn't find it appropriate to restrict him at the climax point of pleasure of sex and, therefore, I kept on looking at him; and he leaned down on my breasts. Aaisha said that the Prophet didn't recite Kalima at the point of time of death because his tongue was in my mouth at that time".

Let's read the lie of the cursed Waseem Rizvi from his own reference.

He writes in his book on page No 134 with the same reference:

The same Sahih Bukhari, the same Volume No seven, the same chapter No 62, the same Hadith No 144. But Hadith is changed. He writes.

> "Aaisha said that it was my turn to share the bed with the Messenger on that day; the Messenger was with me but Allah caused his death. His head was in between my two breasts at the time of death; his saliva mixed with my spite and rolled down off my neck".

There is as much difference between these two Hadith as is the distance between the sky and the earth; but according to the reference of the cursed Waseem Rizvi both the Ahadith are one and the same; which is absolutely wrong. Now, let's read the difference between these Ahadith.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

The first Hadith says that his head was close to my neck. Whereas in the second Hadith, he writes that his head was between my two breasts. In the first Hadith, he writes that his spite was getting mixed with that of mine. Whereas he writes in the second Hadith that his spite got mixed with that of mine and rolled down off my neck.

All these matters have been supplemented in a Hadith of Sahih Bukhari from his own side. Now, here I am going to present the real Hadith of Sahih Bukhari in Arabic language with its translation. Kindly read it.

Sahih Bukhari, Volume Two, Kitabul Magaji, Page No 700, Hadith No 1571

حَدَّثَنِيْ مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ عُبَيْدٍ حَدَّثَنَا عِيْسَى بْنُ يُوْنُسَ عَنْ عُمَرَ بْنِ سَعِيْدٍ قَالَ أَخْبَرَنِي ابْنُ أَبِيْ مُلَيْكَةَ أَنَّ أَبَا عَمْرٍو ذَكْوَانَ مَوْلَى عَائِشَةَ أَخْبَرَهُ أَنَّ عَائِشَةَ كَانَتْ تَقُوْلُ إِنَّ مِنْ نِعَمِ اللهِ عَلَيَّ أَنَّ رَسُوْلَ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ تُوُفِّيَ فِيْ بَيْتِيْ وَفِيْ يَوْمِيْ وَبَيْنَ سَحْرِيْ وَنَحْرِيْ وَأَنَّ اللهَ جَمَعَ بَيْنَ رِيْقِيْ وَرِيْقِهِ عِنْدَ مَوْتِهِ دَخَلَ عَلَيَّ عَبْدُ الرَّحْمٰنِ وَبِيَدِهِ السِّوَاكُ وَأَنَا مُسْنِدَةٌ رَسُوْلَ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ فَرَأَيْتُهُ يَنْظُرُ إِلَيْهِ وَعَرَفْتُ أَنَّهُ يُحِبُّ السِّوَاكَ فَقُلْتُ آخُذُهُ لَكَ فَأَشَارَ بِرَأْسِهِ أَنْ نَعَمْ فَتَنَاوَلْتُهُ فَاشْتَدَّ عَلَيْهِ وَقُلْتُ أُلَيِّنُهُ لَكَ فَأَشَارَ بِرَأْسِهِ أَنْ نَعَمْ فَلَيَّنْتُهُ فَأَمَرَّهُ وَبَيْنَ يَدَيْهِ رَكْوَةٌ أَوْ عُلْبَةٌ يَشُكُّ عُمَرُ فِيْهَا مَاءٌ فَجَعَلَ يُدْخِلُ يَدَيْهِ فِي الْمَاءِ فَيَمْسَحُ بِهِمَا وَجْهَهُ يَقُوْلُ

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

لَا إِلَهَ إِلَّا اللهُ إِنَّ لِلْمَوْتِ سَكَرَاتٍ ثُمَّ نَصَبَ يَدَهُ فَجَعَلَ يَقُوْلُ فِي الرَّفِيْقِ الْأَعْلَى حَتَّى قُبِضَ وَمَالَتْ يَدُهُ۔

Translation: "Abu Amr Zakwan (The freed slave of Hazrat Aaisha) informed Ibne Abi Mulaikah that Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha) had said: One of the bounties of Allah, the Almighty, is that the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) breathed his last in my residence, on the day of my turn and in a state that he had been leaning against my chest. Besides, Allah, the Almighty, made my spite and the spite of his mouth mixed up before his demise. In fact, it happened that Hazrat Abdurrahman came to me carrying a 'Miswaak' (A wooden brush) in his hand while I was serving my support to the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him). When I noticed that he had been gazing at the 'Miswaak', I deciphered that he wanted to do 'Miswaak'. Therefore, I asked should I take it from him for you? So, he nodded in affirmative by his blessed head. When I touched the 'Miswaak', I found it rather tough enough. So, I again asked him: Should I soften it for you? So, he nodded in affirmative by his head. Therefore, I chewed it up and softened it. Besides, there was a vessel in front of him containing water in it; he used to dip his blessed hand into it followed by moving the hand on his blessed

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

face saying: LAIILAH.....

Indeed, death is flooded with predicament. Then, he raised his hand upward and said: FIRRAQIL AA'LAA till he breathed his last; and consequently his blessed hand fell down."

Let's read the second Hadith.

Sahih Bukhari, Volume Two, Page No 700, Kitabul Magani, Hadith No 1572.

حَدَّثَنَا إِسْمَاعِيلُ قَالَ حَدَّثَنِي سُلَيْمَانُ بْنُ بِلَالٍ حَدَّثَنَا هِشَامُ بْنُ عُرْوَةَ أَخْبَرَنِي أَبِي عَنْ عَائِشَةَ رَضِيَ اللهُ عَنْهَا أَنَّ رَسُولَ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ كَانَ يَسْأَلُ فِي مَرَضِهِ الَّذِي مَاتَ فِيهِ يَقُولُ أَيْنَ أَنَا غَدًا أَيْنَ أَنَا غَدًا يُرِيدُ يَوْمَ عَائِشَةَ فَأَذِنَ لَهُ أَزْوَاجُهُ يَكُونُ حَيْثُ شَاءَ فَكَانَ فِي بَيْتِ عَائِشَةَ حَتَّى مَاتَ عِنْدَهَا قَالَتْ عَائِشَةُ فَمَاتَ فِي الْيَوْمِ الَّذِي كَانَ يَدُورُ عَلَيَّ فِيهِ فِي بَيْتِي فَقَبَضَهُ اللهُ وَإِنَّ رَأْسَهُ لَبَيْنَ نَحْرِي وَسَحْرِي وَخَالَطَ رِيقُهُ رِيقِي ثُمَّ قَالَتْ دَخَلَ عَبْدُ الرَّحْمٰنِ بْنُ أَبِي بَكْرٍ وَمَعَهُ سِوَاكٌ يَسْتَنُّ بِهِ فَنَظَرَ إِلَيْهِ رَسُولُ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ فَقُلْتُ لَهُ أَعْطِنِي هَذَا السِّوَاكَ يَاعَبْدَ الرَّحْمٰنِ فَأَعْطَانِيهِ فَقَضِمْتُهُ ثُمَّ مَضَغْتُهُ فَأَعْتَيْتُهُ رَسُولَ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ فَاسْتَنَّ بِهِ وَهُوَ مُسْتَنِدٌ إِلَى صَدْرِي۔

Translation: Hisham bin Urvah narrates that I had been told by Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha) that: The Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) said

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

during his sickness leading to death that: Which house shall I stay in tomorrow? Whom shall I be with tomorrow? Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) waited for the turn of Hazrat Aaisha and, therefore, his chaste wives permitted him to stay with whomever he desired. He stayed in the house of Hazrat Aaisha till he died. Hazrat Aaisha says: Even otherwise, it was my turn on the day when he died. His blessed head was touching between my neck and chest. This is the point of time when Allah, the Almighty, caused my spite and his spite of mouth mixed up. It happened that Hazrat Abdurrahman bin Abu Bakar came there carrying a 'Miswaak'. The Messager of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) gazed at it. So, I said: O, Abdurrahman! Give that 'Miswaak' to me. When he gave it to me, I chewed it up to soften it and then gave it to the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him). He used the 'Miswaak' while learning against my chest."

Apart from the above two Ahadith, there are many other Ahadith available in Sahih Bukhari related to the demise of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). But there isn't any such nonsense blabbing and stupidity in any Hadith which is stated by the cursed Waseem Rizvi in his book. Hence, he has fabricated the matter from his own self. From the way and expertise of the cursed Waseem in manipulating even a plain and decent

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

story by the false content of sex, it appears that he has pursued any course of sexuality. Kindly consider how cunningly he manipulates even a decent and clear Hadith also by certain fabricated words of sexuality from his end. I think, nobody will be born till the Last Day of the world like him. His words are as follows which are manipulated in a Hadith.

"He was kissing me".

"I was young at that time".

"I wanted to stimulate the Prophet sexually".

"I wanted to support him in the foreplay ".

"What might be the dire consequences if an aged and sick person has sex with a young woman?

"I didn't find it appropriate to restrict him at the climax point of sexual pleasure".

"The Prophet didn't recite Kalima at the point of time of death".

"His tongue was in my mouth at that time ".

"His spite mixed up with that of mine and rolled down off my neck".

"His head was in between my two breasts".

Such are the nonsensical statements which have nothing to do with Hadith at all; because none of these statements is found in any of Hadith at all. I have already provided the original Arabic text in this book as a reference, so if you go through each and every word of it, you would not find any such sentences as claimed

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

by him. He writes...

> "His head was in between my two breasts". The words of Hadith for it are as follows.

It is mentioned at one place as BAINS SEHRI WA NEHRI ....., it is mentioned at another place as MASTANDA ....It is mentioned as HAQATANI....

The first sentence means 'between my neck and chest'; the second sentence means 'he was leaning against my chest'; the third sentence means 'it was touching my clavicle and chin'. Now, here the cursed Waseem Rizvi is asked: Which word means 'in between the two breasts?'

A breast is called SADAH; and two breasts are called SADIN in Arabic language. If you go through each and every word of Hadith, you will not find the word BAIN DADAIN. But, the cursed Waseem Rizvi wants to relate every matter to the element of sex and, therefore, he has translated the Arabic words 'BAIN SAHRI V NAHRI' as 'between my two breasts'.

The liar and cursed Waseem Rizvi writes that

> "Aaisha said that the Prophet didn't recite Kalima at the point of time of death".

Now, here read the original Arabic text. It is written as ALAA ALLAH..... Prophet Muhammad said: LA ILAHA ..... Indeed, death is flooded with predicaments. Now, the readers themselves have to judge whether or not the Prophet of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) recited the Kalima at the last moment of life. If someone claims that he recited only LAILAH ILLALAAH without adding MuhammadURRASULULLAH. One should

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

understand it very clearly that Muhammad himself is the Messenger of Allah. So, why should he recite MUHAMMADU RSSULULLAH? Hence, it is very apparent that the cursed Waseem Rizvi is a terrible liar; and he wants to degrade the status of the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) by writing a false Hadith. But, be it known that even if hundreds of thousands of people get together like the cursed Waseem Rizvi, they can never degrade the status of my Prophet at all.

He refers a lie to Hazrat Aaisha that...

> "His spite mixed up with that of mine and rolled down off my neck".

To understand its real sense, let's read the Arabic text.

Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha) says: wa Khalit Reeqah wa reeqi. Now, the readers themselves have to find as to where it is mentioned that 'the spite rolled down off my neck'. The cursed Waseem has to prove it; but he can't at all. I have already presented the original text of Hadith, now you have to distinguish between the truth and falsehood.

> Then, he raised his hand upward and said SAM NASHIB .....til the time he breathed his last and consequently his hands fell down".

The falsehood of the cursed Waseem Rizvi is proven from the above text that the tongue of the Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) wasn't in the mouth of Hazrat Aaisha because he was appealing in the Court of his Lord FIRRAQIL AA'LAA by raising his hand.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

Reproach of Allah on a Liar.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 107 that

> "Muhammad used to use intoxication also at the time of debauchery".

I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH, I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH for infinite times.

He writes the manipulated Hadith on the reference of Sahih Bukhari by twisting and turning certain false and baseless sentences from himself. At the outset, let's read his false Hadith; and then I am going to present the original Arabic text with its implications.

Page No 106, he writes:

> "There is a Hadith with the reference of Aaisha that Aaisha said: The Messenger used to drink a kind of wine-"Magafir"- stealthily at the residence of Jahash's daughter, Jainab (Who was the wife of an adopted son, Jaid) on the pretext of taking honey at her residence. Therefore, I and Hafsa planned to investigate about it that if he drank the wine, its smell would prove it and subsequently it turned out to be true. Whe the Messenger was caught up; he swore not to commit it again and sought the commitment from them not to reveal it to anyone".

Now, let's read the original Arabic text of Hadith.

Sahih Bukhari, Volume Three, Page No 120, Kitabuttalaq, Hadith No 248.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

حَدَّثَنِي الْحَسَنُ بْنُ مُحَمَّدِ بْنِ صَبَّاحٍ حَدَّثَنَا حَجَّاجٌ عَنِ ابْنِ جُرَيْجٍ قَالَ زَعَمَ عَطَاءٌ أَنَّهُ سَمِعَ عُبَيْدَ بْنَ عُمَيْرٍ يَقُوْلُ سَمِعْتُ عَائِشَةَ رَضِيَ اللهُ عَنْهَا أَنَّ النَّبِيَّ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ كَانَ يَمْكُثُ عِنْدَ زَيْنَبَ بِنْتِ جَحْشٍ وَيَشْرَبُ عِنْدَهَا عَسَلًا فَتَوَاصَيْتُ أَنَا وَحَفْصَةُ أَنَّ أَيَّتَنَا دَخَلَ عَلَيْهَا النَّبِيُّ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ فَلْتَقُلْ إِنِّيْ أَجِدُ مِنْكَ رِيْحَ مَغَافِيْرَ أَكَلْتَ مَغَافِيْرَ فَدَخَلَ عَلَى إِحْدَاهُمَا فَقَالَتْ لَهُ ذٰلِكَ فَقَالَ لَا بَلْ شَرِبْتُ عَسَلًا عِنْدَ زَيْنَبَ بِنْتِ جَحْشٍ وَلَنْ أَعُوْدَ لَهُ فَنَزَلَتْ يَا أَيُّهَا النَّبِيُّ لِمَ تُحَرِّمُ مَا أَحَلَّ اللهُ لَكَ إِلَى إِنْ تَتُوْبَآ إِلَى اللهِ۔ سورۃ التحریم آیۃ ۱۴ لِعَائِشَةَ وَحَفْصَةَ وَإِذْ أَسَرَّ النَّبِيُّ إِلَى بَعْضِ أَزْوَاجِهِ سورۃ التحریم آیت ۳ لِقَوْلِهِ بَلْ شَرِبْتُ عَسَلًا۔

Translation: "Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha) said that it was a regular habit of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) to stay with Hazrat Jainab Binte Jahash to drink the honey there. Therefore, I and Hafsa mutually agreed to ask Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) if he had taken 'Magafir' as it smelt from his blessed mouth when he came to meet any of us. So, when Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) came to any one of us, the same was asked to him. He replied: 'It is not so, instead I have drunk honey from Jainab Binte Jahash;

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

and I shall not drink it in future. Thereupon, the following sacred verse was revealed.

From INTATUBA.... up to YA AYYUHANNABIYO.....

Translation: 'O, Prophet! Why do you make it forbidden what is declared lawful for you'....till IN TATUBA.....

Translation: If they both surrender to Allah. This is addressed to Aaisha and Hafsa (Raziyallahu Anha).

VA IDHASARRN.....

The implication of this Hadith reflects that I haven't taken 'Magafir'; instead I have drunk honey.

Readers! Here you have read the original Hadith. Kindly read the original text of Hadith repeatedly and compare it with the senseless blabbing of the cursed Waseem Rizvi. Now, I am going to reckon his lie as follows.

LIE NO 01

"He used to drink 'Magafir' secretly on the pretext of drinking honey.

LIE NO 02

"The Messenger said: I swear".

LIE NO 03

"You promise me not to reveal it to anyone".

Here, you have already read the entire Hadith. Do you find that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) went there secretly? Instead, it was his routine habit to go there to drink honey. It's not mentioned

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

anywhere in the entire Hadith that the Messenger swore for it; besides, it isn't mentioned anywhere in the entire Hadith that he sought a promise from them not to reveal it to anyone. It is nothing but a blatant lie and a reflection of an animosity of the cursed Waseem Rizvi against the Messenger.

Now, let's lift the curtain from the falsehood of the cursed Waseem Rizvi. He is so foolish and unknown that he doesn't even know what is called 'Magafir'.

Is it a thing to eat or drink?

Is it lawful or unlawful?

Is it an intoxicant or not?

He says: "Magafir' is a foul smelling wine".

O, stupid man! It is not a wine nor does it cause any intoxication nor is it a thing to drink.

There are certain edible things which are not prohibited to eat nor are they intoxicants but it smells bad. For instance, onion and garlic in India smells bad.

The word used in Sahih Bukhari for 'Magafir' is "AKAL" and not the word "SHARAB".

It is mentioned in Sahih Bukhari as AKALAT....., it means the word AKALAT is used for 'Magafir' and SHARABAT is used for honey. How come it is possible for him to understand Ahadith who doesn't even know the words of edible things? For instance, if an English man comes to India not knowing what bread is, he will say I shall drink bread. The same is the pitiable condition of the cursed Waseem Rizvi who says that the

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

Messenger used to drink 'Magafir'. In fact, 'Magafir' is a kind of edible thing like an edible gum which is eaten and not drunk.

It is the explanation of Hadith that neither did the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) swear nor did he request them not to reveal it to anyone; instead he just said that now I shall not drink even honey also. Thereupon, a decree of Allah was descended as: O, Prophet! Why do you forbid for you what is lawful; and Hazrat Hafsa and Aaisha were (Raziyallahu Anha) were exhorted to surrender to the Lord of Allah. Having studied this Hadith, it is very explicit that all the matters of the cursed Waseem Rizvi are lie and baseless.

He didn't use 'Magafir' at all; further there isn't any element of intoxication in 'Magafir' at all. Hence, isn't it a very lie as he says that Muhammad used to use intoxication.

MEANING and SUBSTANCE OF A HADITH

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 76 with the reference of Sahih Bukhari upon the name of Hazrat Khaulah as follows; but it is based on nothing but a blatant lie. He writes....

> "Hisham's father said that Khaula was such a woman who presented herself before the Messenger for the sexual intercourse. Therefore, Hazrat Aaisha questioned her: Didn't you feel ashamed of presenting yourself before a strange man? Thereupon, the Messenger read out the verses 30, 35 of

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

Surah Ahzab to them which say: O, Prophet! You can avoid the turns of your wives for sexual intercourse. Aaisha said on it that: I think, your Allah permits you to enjoy more".

LANATULLAHI..... MAY THE CURSE OF ALLAH BE ON THE LIARS

Now, read the original Arabic text of Hadith.

Sahih Bukhari, Volume Three, Page No 76, Kitabun Nikah, Hadith No 102.

حَدَّثَنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ سَلَامٍ حَدَّثَنَا ابْنُ فُضَيْلٍ حَدَّثَنَا هِشَامٌ عَنْ أَبِيهِ قَالَ كَانَتْ خَوْلَةُ بِنْتُ حَكِيمٍ مِنَ اللَّائِيْ وَهَبْنَ أَنْفُسَهُنَّ لِلنَّبِيِّ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ فَقَالَتْ عَائِشَةُ أَمَا تَسْتَحِي الْمَرْأَةُ أَنْ تَهَبَ نَفْسَهَا لِلرَّجُلِ فَلَمَّا نَزَلَتْ تُرْجِئُ مَنْ تَشَاءُ مِنْهُنَّ قُلْتُ يَارَسُوْلَ اللهِ مَا أَرَى رَبَّكَ إِلَّا يُسَارِعُ فِيْ هَوَاكَ۔

Translation: "Hisham narrated to his father Urva that Khaula Binte Hakim is one of those women who had surrendered her heart to Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). Hazrat Aaisha (Raziyallahu Anha) said: Doesn't a woman feel ashamed to surrender her heart to a man. Thereupon, this verse was revealed: MIN HUNN..... So, I said: O, Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him)! I think that your Lord hastens to fulfil your desire".

Readers! Kindly read the original Arabic text of Hadith

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

repeatedly. Is it anywhere stated in the above Hadith that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) had a sexual intercourse with Khaulah, I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH?

The original words of Hadith are: VA HABN..... which means that Hazrat Khaulah surrendered her heart.

Here, the cursed Waseem Rizvi ought to know that "HIBAH" doesn't mean to have sexual intercourse. Besides, it is also not proved from this Hadith that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) accepted "HIBA" when she offered it to him.

Let's read the lie of the cursed Waseem Rizvi at the outset.

THE LIE NO 01

"She presented herself before the Messenger for sexual intercourse".

THE LIE NO 02

"She presented herself before a strange man".

THE LIE NO 03

"Your Allah permits you to have more enjoyment ".

The words of the Hadith are as follows...

Yu Saariu Fee Hawaaka which means Allah, the Almighty, fulfils your desire promptly.

But, the cursed Waseem Rizvi has presented the meaning and substance of the verse of the Quran wrongly. The verse of the Quran being TARJI

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

TASHA..... (Surah Ahzab: 51).

Of them you may put off any of them you wish, and you may take any of them whom you wish.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes...

> "O, Messenger! You can postpone your turns for the sexual intercourse".

Let's read the interpretation of this verse from the renowned book of Interpretation of the Quran

Tafsire Madarik, Surah Ahzab, Verse No 51, Page No 947.

> "Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha) says that: This verse was revealed in relation to those women who surrendered their lives to Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him); and it was left to the disposal of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) to accept any of them of his choice to marry her and to deny any whom he wants".

Readers! The interpretation of the verse and related Ahadith have proved that the cursed Waseem Rizvi is extreme liar that he charges an allegation to Hazrat Khaulah of presenting herself for the sexual intercourse with the help of the word 'HIBAH'.

CASCADE OF LIES

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 133 that...

> "Muhammad was scared of death".

He quoted the reference of Sahih Bukhari. Let's read

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

that reference given by him. He writes:

> "Aaisha said that on that day (On the day of his death), it was my turn to share the bed with the Messenger. The Messenger said I don't know where I shall go. Where I shall sleep? And who will be with me? I replied though it is my turn today, you can sleep with anyone. I wasn't aware that the Messenger was talking about the next world".

Now, let's read the original Arabic text of Hadith.

Sahih Bukhari, Volume Three, Page No 103, Kitabun Nikah, Hadith No 201.

حَدَّثَنَا إِسْمَاعِيلُ قَالَ حَدَّثَنِي سُلَيْمَانُ بْنُ بِلَالٍ قَالَ هِشَامُ بْنُ عُرْوَةَ أَخْبَرَنِي أَبِي عَنْ عَائِشَةَ رَضِيَ اللهُ عَنْهَا أَنَّ رَسُولَ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ كَانَ يَسْأَلُ فِي مَرَضِهِ الَّذِي مَاتَ فِيهِ أَيْنَ أَنَا غَدًا أَيْنَ أَنَا غَدًا يُرِيدُ يَوْمَ عَائِشَةَ فَأَذِنَّ لَهُ أَزْوَاجُهُ يَكُونُ حَيْثُ شَاءَ فَكَانَ فِي بَيْتِ عَائِشَةَ حَتَّى مَاتَ عِنْدَهَا۔

> Translation: Hisham bin Urwah said that his father had informed him that Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha) had narrated that the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) asked: With whom shall I stay tomorrow during the sickness leading to his death? With whom shall I stay tomorrow? He was asking it though it was a turn of Hazrat Aaisha. Therefore, his wives permitted him to

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

stay with whomever he desired; hence he died in the house of Hazrat Aaisha.

Now, after reading the Hadith, the readers themselves have to conclude how virulent liar the cursed Waseem Rizvi is!

Now, consider the senseless blabbing of him.

LIE NO 01

I don't know where I shall go.

LIE NO 02

Where I shall sleep

LIE NO 03

Who will be with me

LIE NO 04

It was a turn to sleep with the Messenger.

LIE NO 05

You can sleep with anyone.

LIE NO 06

I didn't know that the Messenger was talking about the Hereafter.

Kindly read the entire Hadith repeatedly and find out, are such sentences mentioned anywhere in the Hadith? No, it is not mentioned at all, then how is such a lie stated in it? In fact, the liar does mention nothing but a lie.

Let's read the words of Hadith wherein the Messenger said:

"AIN ANAA.... what does it mean? The subsequent

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

words indicate YARID YAUM.....which means he was asking about it as it was the turn of Aaisha that 'Where have I to stay tomorrow?' FAAZAN....which means all the wives permitted him.

Hence, the lie of the cursed Waseem Rizvi has been proved here.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi misunderstood from the words AIN ANAA that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) was scared of death. In fact, it's meaning is YARID .... Here, the cursed Waseem Rizvi has incorporated six false matters in this Hadith which are read by you as above. Hence, you can judge by yourself how crafty, cunning and liar the cursed Waseem Rizvi is.

EXPLANATIONS OF THE STATEMENTS OF THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 133 that

"The state of the death of Muhammad": He further writes that he wanted to tell something by raising his hand but his hand fell down. He quotes the false reference of Sahih Bukhari as follows

> "Aaisha said that the health of the Messenger was not well; I went there with water; having watered him, smeared it on his face. The Messenger wanted to tell something by raising his hand but his hand fell down".

Now, let's read the original Arabic text of Hadith in order to analyse the lie of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

Sahih Bukhari, Volume Two, Page No 679, Kitabul

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

Magani, Hadith No 1567.

وَكَانَتْ عَائِشَةُ زَوْجُ النَّبِيِّ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ تُحَدِّثُ أَنَّ رَسُوْلَ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ لَمَّا دَخَلَ بَيْتِيْ وَاشْتَدَّ بِهِ وَجَعُهُ قَالَ هَرِيْقُوْا عَلَيَّ مِنْ سَبْعِ قِرَبٍ لَمْ تُحْلَلْ أَوْ كِيَتُهُنَّ لَعَلِّيْ أَعْهَدُ إِلَى النَّاسِ فَأَجْلَسْنَاهُ فِيْ مِخْضَبٍ لِحَفْصَةَ زَوْجِ النَّبِيِّ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ ثُمَّ طَفِقْنَا نَصُبُّ عَلَيْهِ مِنْ تِلْكَ الْقِرَبِ حَتَّى طَفِقَ يُشِيْرُ إِلَيْنَا بِيَدِهِ۔

Translation: "Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa, the wife of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him), said that when the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) appeared in my house, his sickness further deteriorated; and he instructed us to pour seven leather bags of water on him of which the openings are not opened up. Perhaps, I can tell as wills to the people; so we helped him sit in a vessel belonging to Hazrat Hafsah; and we poured down water from the leather bags on him till he stopped us by the gesture of his hand".

Sahih Bukhari, Volume Two, Page No 700, Kitabul Magani, Hadith No 1571

حَدَّثَنِيْ مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ عُبَيْدٍ حَدَّثَنَا عِيْسَى بْنُ يُوْنُسَ عَنْ عُمَرَ بْنِ سَعِيْدٍ قَالَ أَخْبَرَنِي ابْنُ أَبِيْ مُلَيْكَةَ أَنَّ أَبَا عَمْرٍو ذَكْوَانَ مَوْلَى عَائِشَةَ أَخْبَرَهُ أَنَّ عَائِشَةَ كَانَتْ تَقُوْلُ إِنَّ

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

مِنْ نِعَمِ اللهِ عَلَيَّ أَنَّ رَسُوْلَ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ تُوُفِّيَ فِيْ بَيْتِيْ وَفِيْ يَوْمِيْ وَبَيْنَ سَحْرِيْ وَنَحْرِيْ وَأَنَّ اللهَ جَمَعَ بَيْنَ رِيْقِيْ وَرِيْقِهِ عِنْدَ مَوْتِهِ دَخَلَ عَلَيَّ عَبْدُ الرَّحْمٰنِ وَبِيَدِهِ السِّوَاكُ وَأَنَا مُسْنِدَةٌ رَسُوْلَ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ فَرَأَيْتُهُ يَنْظُرُ إِلَيْهِ وَعَرَفْتُ أَنَّهُ يُحِبُّ السِّوَاكَ فَقُلْتُ آخُذُهُ لَكَ فَأَشَارَ بِرَأْسِهِ أَنْ نَعَمْ فَتَنَاوَلْتُهُ فَاشْتَدَّ عَلَيْهِ وَقُلْتُ أُلَيِّنُهُ لَكَ فَأَشَارَ بِرَأْسِهِ أَنْ نَعَمْ فَلَيَّنْتُهُ فَأَمَرَّهُ وَبَيْنَ يَدَيْهِ رَكْوَةٌ أَوْ عُلْبَةٌ يَشُكُّ عُمَرُ فِيْهَا مَاءٌ فَجَعَلَ يُدْخِلُ يَدَيْهِ فِي الْمَاءِ فَيَمْسَحُ بِهِمَا وَجْهَهُ يَقُوْلُ لَا إِلٰهَ إِلَّا اللهُ إِنَّ لِلْمَوْتِ سَكَرَاتٍ ثُمَّ نَصَبَ يَدَهُ فَجَعَلَ يَقُوْلُ فِي الرَّفِيْقِ الْأَعْلٰى حَتّٰى قُبِضَ وَمَالَتْ يَدُهُ۔

Let's read the final words of this Hadith.

ثُمَّ نَصَبَ يَدَهُ فَجَعَلَ يَقُوْلُ فِي الرَّفِيْقِ الْأَعْلٰى حَتّٰى قُبِضَ وَمَالَتْ يَدُهُ۔

Translation: "Then, he raised his hand upward and said: To the companionship of the Lord till the point he breathed his last and then his blessed hand fell down".

Now, here I am going to reckon the lies of the cursed Waseem Rizvi as follows.

LIE NO 01

I brought water

LIE NO 02

I drank water to the Messenger.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

LIE NO 03

I started sprinkling water on the face

LIE NO 04

The Messenger wanted to tell something by raising hand

If you read the above stated Hadith repeatedly, you will not find any such senseless blabbing in it. Consider the lies as he writes that

> "The Messenger wanted to tell something by raising hand; but his hand fell down".

Hadith proves that what he wanted to tell by raising hand was FI ARRAFIQIL AALA".

Yet, the liar claims that what he wanted to tell, he couldn't. Really, this is the third degree of lie. All four lies have been exposed by the above Hadith.

CONVERSION INTO GRAVEYARD

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on page No 133 with the reference of Sahih Bukhari that:

> "Ibne Abbas said that the day the Messenger died, he told me to expel all the non-believers, Christians& Jews from whole of Arab; demolition their places of worship and convert them into the graveyard".

Readers! All these matters are false and blabbing. Here, I am going to present the real text of Sahih Bukhari as follows so that the lies of the cursed Waseem Rizvi can be explicitly exposed.

Sahih Bukhari, Volume Two, Page No 697, Hadith No

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

1567.

"أَنَّ عَائِشَةَ وَعَبْدَاللهِ بْنَ عَبَّاسٍ رَضِيَ اللهُ عَنْهُمْ قَالَا لَمَّا نَزَلَ بِرَسُوْلِ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ طَفِقَ يَطْرَحُ خَمِيْصَةً لَهُ عَلَى وَجْهِهِ فَإِذَا اغْتَمَّ كَشَفَهَا عَنْ وَجْهِهِ وَهُوَ كَذَلِكَ يَقُوْلُ لَعْنَةُ اللهِ عَلَى الْيَهُوْدِ وَالنَّصَارٰى اتَّخَذُوْا قُبُوْرَ أَنْبِيَائِهِمْ مَسَاجِدَ يُحَذِّرُ مَا صَنَعُوْا۔"

Translation: "Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa and Ibne Abbas (Raziyallahu Anhu) said that the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) used to hide his blessed face into the blanket during the days of his sickness. When he felt worried by heart, he used to open his blessed face saying: May the curse of Allah be on the Jews and Charistians; who converted the graves of the Apostles into the mosques". He used to tell this to protect him from their such evil"

Kindly read the original text of Hadith repeatedly and recognise his blatant lie. Now, I am going to reckon the lies of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

LIE NO 01

Ibne Abbas told that he was telling me on the day he died.

LIE NO 02

Expel all the non-believers, Christians& Jews from whole Arab.

LIE NO 03

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Demolish their places of worship.

LIE NO 04

Turn them into the graveyard.

This is the cascade of lies of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

But, no where is it mentioned in this Hadith to expel the Christians & Jews; instead he begged for the curse of Allah on those who turned the graves of the Apostles into the mosques. Let's read the words. LANATULLAHI.....

The cursed Waseem Rizvi says that: Turn their places of worship into the graveyard. In fact, the words of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) are: ATTAKHDHU.... which means: who made the graves of their Apostles Mosques.

Kindly ponder over it that this Hadith neither has any word for Arab nor has any matter to expel them nor is there any word to demolish the places of worship.

Hence, such is a lie of the cursed Waseem Rizvi. How far can one reckon his lies?

Now, I am going to present the Hadith in which it is mentioned regarding the expulsion from the island of Arab; to whom it is addressed and how it is addressed. Let's read the Arabic text of Hadith.

Sahih Bukhari, Volume Two, Page No 693, Kitabul Magani, Hadith No 1557.

"حَدَّثَنَا قُتَيْبَةُ حَدَّثَنَا سُفْيَانُ عَنْ سُلَيْمَانَ الْأَحْوَلِ عَنْ
سَعِيْدِ بْنِ جُبَيْرٍ قَالَ قَالَ ابْنُ عَبَّاسٍ يَوْمُ الْخَمِيْسِ وَمَا

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

يَوْمُ الْخَمِيْسِ اشْتَدَّ بِرَسُوْلِ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ وَجَعُهُ فَقَالَ ائْتُوْنِيْ أَكْتُبْ لَكُمْ كِتَابًا لَنْ تَضِلُّوْا بَعْدَهُ أَبَدًا فَتَنَازَعُوْا وَلَا يَنْبَغِيْ عِنْدَ نَبِيٍّ تَنَازُعٌ فَقَالُوْا مَا شَأْنُهُ أَهَجَرَ اسْتَفْهِمُوْهُ فَذَهَبُوْا يَرُدُّوْنَ عَلَيْهِ فَقَالَ دَعُوْنِيْ فَالَّذِيْ أَنَا فِيْهِ خَيْرٌ مِمَّا تَدْعُوْنِيْ إِلَيْهِ وَأَوْصَاهُمْ بِثَلَاثٍ قَالَ أَخْرِجُوا الْمُشْرِكِيْنَ مِنْ جَزِيْرَةِ الْعَرَبِ وَأَجِيْزُوا الْوَفْدَ بِنَحْوِ مَا كُنْتُ أُجِيْزُهُمْ وَسَكَتَ عَنِ الثَّالِثَةِ أَوْ قَالَ فَنَسِيْتُهَا۔

Translation: Saeed bin Jubair narrates that Hazrat Ibne Abbas (Raziyallahu Anhu) said: Oh Thursday! And how is the day of Thursday? On that day, the illness of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) assumed severity. He said: Bring me the things used for writing so that I can write down for you such things that you can never go astray after me. Some people resorted to dispute; however it was not fair to dispute in the court of the Prophet. Some persons opined that he is saying it due to illness. So, when they went there again to ask, he said: Let it go, the condition in which I am now is better than the condition towards which you are calling me".

He expressed three wills to them.

(1) To expel the infidels from the island of Arab.

(2) To behave morally nicely with the representatives as

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

I do.

(3) He became SILENT from the third will or the narrator says that I forgot it.

Readers! Read this Hadith diligently. This Hadith also no where mentions to expel the infidels, Christians& Jews nor does it mention anywhere to demolish the places of their worship nor has this Hadith any mention to convert them into the graveyard. It has only the following:

أَخْرِجُوا الْمُشْرِكِيْنَ مِنْ جَزِيْرَةِ الْعَرَبِ۔

Readers! Now, yourselves have to measure how expert the cursed Waseem Rizvi is in a phenomenon of speaking lie.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 132 that:

> "Safiyah mixed up the poison in the food of Muhammad".

I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH; He wants to prove that the Mother of Believers- Hazrat Safiyah (Raziyallahu Anha) poisoned Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). In fact, it is his filthy psychology that the wife of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) herself tried to kill him by poisoning him. He quotes two fabricated Hadith of Sahih Bukhari as an evidential reference.

> "Abdur Rahman bin Abu Bakar said that the Messenger slaughtered a lamb; and sent it to Safiyah to cook it. So, Safiya cooked it".

The second reference he quotes is:

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

"Anas bin Malik said that a Jew wife of the Messenger cooked a lamb which contained poison. The Messenger ate that meat dished out in a plate".

Readers! Let's consider his lies in both the above references.

LIE NO 01

A lamb

LIE NO 02

The Messenger slaughtered it.

LIE NO 03

A Jew wife

LIE NO 04

The Messenger sent it to Safiyah.

All these matters are founded on lies. It was not a lamb but it was a she-goat. The original words are: SHAAT FIHASM which means the meat of she-goat contained poison. The Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) didn't slaughter it; instead it was gifted to him. The original words are: AHDIYAT LIRASOOLULLAH SALLAL LAHU ALAIHI WA SALLAM."

Hence, I am going to present the real story in original Arabic text regarding the mixing of poison with a view to lifting the curtain from the falsehood.

Sahih Bukhari, Volume Two, Page No 617, Kitabul Magani, Hadith No 1392.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

حَدَّثَنَا عَبْدُاللهِ بْنُ يُوْسُفَ حَدَّثَنَا اللَّيْثُ حَدَّثَنِيْ سَعِيْدٌ عَنْ أَبِيْ هُرَيْرَةَ رَضِيَ اللهُ عَنْهُ قَالَ لَمَّا فُتِحَتْ خَيْبَرُ أُهْدِيَتْ لِرَسُوْلِ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ شَاةٌ فِيْهَا سُمٌّ۔

Translation: "Hazrat Abu Hurairah (Raziyallahu Anhu) says that on the conquest of Khaibar, the meat of a she-goat was served to the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) as a gift to him which contained poison".

Let's read the exhaustive original Arabic text of Hadith regarding the mixing of poison.

Sahih Bukhari, Volume Three, Page No 282, Babul Magani, Hadith No 724.

حَدَّثَنَا قُتَيْبَةُ حَدَّثَنَا اللَّيْثُ عَنْ سَعِيْدِ بْنِ أَبِيْ سَعِيْدٍ عَنْ أَبِيْ هُرَيْرَةَ أَنَّهُ قَالَ لَمَّا فُتِحَتْ خَيْبَرُ أُهْدِيَتْ لِرَسُوْلِ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ شَاةٌ فِيْهَا سَمٌّ فَقَالَ رَسُوْلُ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ اجْمَعُوْا لِيْ مَنْ كَانَ هَاهُنَا مِنَ الْيَهُوْدِ فَجُمِعُوْا لَه فَقَالَ لَهُمْ رَسُوْلُ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ إِنِّيْ سَائِلُكُمْ عَنْ شَيْءٍ فَهَلْ أَنْتُمْ صَادِقِيَّ عَنْهُ فَقَالُوْا نَعَمْ يَاأَبَا الْقَاسِمِ فَقَالَ لَهُمْ رَسُوْلُ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ مَنْ أَبُوْ كُمْ قَالُوْا أَبُوْنَا فُلَانٌ فَقَالَ رَسُوْلُ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ كَذَبْتُمْ بَلْ أَبُوْ كُمْ فُلَانٌ فَقَالُوْا صَدَقْتَ وَبَرِرْتَ فَقَالَ هَلْ أَنْتُمْ صَادِقِيَّ عَنْ شَيْءٍ إِنْ سَأَلْتُكُمْ عَنْهُ فَقَالُوْا نَعَمْ يَاأَبَا الْقَاسِمِ وَإِنْ

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

كَذَبْتَنَاكَ عَرَفْتَ كَذِبَنَا كَمَا عَرَفْتَهُ فِيْ أَبِيْنَا قَالَ لَهُمْ رَسُوْلُ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ مَنْ أَهْلُ النَّارِ فَقَالُوْا نَكُوْنُ فِيْهَا يَسِيْرًا ثُمَّ تَخْلُفُوْنَنَا فِيْهَا فَقَالَ لَهُمْ رَسُوْلُ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ اخْسَئُوْا فِيْهَا وَاللهِ لَا نَخْلُفُكُمْ فِيْهَا أَبَدًا ثُمَّ قَالَ لَهُمْ فَهَلْ أَنْتُمْ صَادِقِيَّ عَنْ شَيْءٍ إِنْ سَأَلْتُكُمْ عَنْهُ قَالُوْا نَعَمْ فَقَالَ هَلْ جَعَلْتُمْ فِيْ هَذِهِ الشَّاةِ سَمًّا فَقَالُوْا نَعَمْ فَقَالَ مَا حَمَلَكُمْ عَلَى ذَلِكَ فَقَالُوْا أَرَدْنَا إِنْ كُنْتَ كَذَّابًا نَسْتَرِيْحُ مِنْكَ وَإِنْ كُنْتَ نَبِيًّا لَمْ يَضُرَّكَ۔

Trant: Hazrat Abu Hurairah (Raziyallahu Anhu) says that on the conquest of Khaibar, the meat of a she-goat was served to Muhammad- the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) which contained poison. The Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) said: Summon all the Jews present here. So, they all were produced before him. The Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) addressed them that I am going to ask you about a matter. Would you tell me the truth? They replied: O, Abul Qasim! Yes. He asked them: Who is your forefather? They replied that our forefather is so and so. The Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) said: You relied on a lie; in fact, your forefather is so and so. They told that you have spoken the truth and dealt with the

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

truthfulness. Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) said: If I ask you something, would you tell me the truth? They replied; O, Abul Qasim! Yes. If we tell a lie, you would definitely come to know the truth about it as you have already come to know about our forefather.

Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) said: Tell me who are to be in the Hell? They replied we would stay there for some days and then you would be there in our place. Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) said: O those; destined to be humiliated in it! I swear by the Lord that we shall never replace you. Then, he asked: If I ask you something, would you tell me the truth? They replied: Yes. He asked: Did you mix up the poison in this she-goat? They replied in positive. He asked: What enticed you to perpetrate such an act? They replied that if you are a liar, we would get rid of you; and if you are a true Prophet, you would not suffer".

Let's read one more reference.

Sirat ibne Hisham, Volume Two, Page No 404"When the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) was convinced, Jainab Binte Haris, who was the wife of Salam bin Mushkim, served him a roasted she-goat as a gift. Jainab had already asked as to which portion of she-goat, Prophet Muhammad

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

(Peace & blessings be on him) liked the most; and she had already been informed that he liked shoulder cut mutton. Jainab had mixed up the poison in the whole meat of the she-goat, but shoulder cut mutton contained more in quantum. She brought the meat of she-goat and was put in front of him and he ate it. He chewed up the first morsel and wanted to swallow it but he couldn't. Bishn Bin Bara Bin Ma'arur also accompanied him. He too took up and ate the morsel as was done by the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) and swallowed it. But the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) ejected it out and said: The bone reflects that the meat is ridden with poison. Then, Jainab was called there and on asking her, she confessed it. The Messenger of Allah (Peace and blessings be him) asked her: MA HAMALAK.....What fascinated you for it? She replied it is not anyway hidden for you regarding the extent you have already reached pertaining to my community. I thought if you are a king, I would be at rest and solace on killing you; and if you are a Prophet you would definitely come to know about it. The Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) quit it".

A FABRICATED ARTICLE IN ENGLISH

Readers! If it is very clear from the references of Sahih Bukhari and Sirat Ibne Hisham that all the discourse of

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

the cursed Waseem Rizvi is nothing but lies. He wrote these lies from an English Article "Aaisha Ahmed" as he was prevailed upon by that Article. Since that topic itself is based on the lies, the cursed Waseem Rizvi also built up his castle on the strength of those lies. You are also requested to study that topic. The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 63 that...

> "This Article is based on an English Article named "Aaisha Ahmed". Subsequent to killing all the males of a Jews tribe and looting all their merchandise with the women, when it was informed to Muhammad that a smart and young woman by name "Safiyah" is being taken away by someone, "who is fit for you", the Order to allow someone else to take away Safiyah was changed and, consequently, Safiyah was included into Haram. As a consequence of mixing up the poison by Safiyah into the food, the health of Muhammad deteriorated to such an extent that right from the chain of Jibraeel, all the Angels of Allah, all Jinns, Hakim (A physician treating with traditional remedies), sorcerers couldn't failed to treat; whereas Safiyah innocently continued telling that "If you are a true Prophet you will not die of poison; and if you are a liar your death will be a recompense for the killings of my family members".

This is the false Article which has nothing to do with reality. The entire Article is based on nothing but a lie.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

This way, the cursed Waseem Rizvi dived into the ocean of lies and then rained the lies here.

The coffin of this English Article has been set out with the help of the references of Sahih Bukhari and Sirat Ibne Hisham.

Now, I am going to reckon the lies of the cursed Waseem Rizvi in the light of this Hadith.

LIE NO. 01

The name of the poisoner is not Safiyah but her name is Jainab Binte Haris who is the wife of Salam bin Mushkim.

LIE NO 02

After poisoning, Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) neither got him treated by anyone nor was exorcised.

LIE NO 03

The poisoner was not the wife of the Prophet.

LIE NO 04

The family members of Hazrat Safiyah-The mother of believers-were alive; and her father appeared in the audience hall of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him).

LIE NO 05

Safiyah continued saying it innocently.

LIE NO 06

If you are a Prophet, you will not die.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

LIE NO 07

Killings can be compensated only by your death.

All these matters have been proved to be lies with the help of the references of Sahih Bukhari and Sirat Ibne Hisham.

WHO IS TRUTHFUL; WHO IS A LIAR.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 82 that..

"Aaisha considered Muhammad a liar".

He quotes a reference from 'Ahyaul Uloom' in order to prove the Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) a liar, I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH. The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes:

> "Once a dispute took place between the Messenger and Aaisha on an issue. Then, Aaisha designated her father- Abu Bakr- as a judge to judge the matter. Aaisha sought a commitment from the Messenger not to tell a lie and you will tell nothing but the truth. Thereupon, Abu Bakar slapped Aaisha so severely that it led to bleeding from her mouth".

Readers! The futile and baseless attempt made by the cursed Waseem Rizvi to prove Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) a liar is, in fact, no more potential than a bubble of water. Let's read the original Arabic text of Hadith to understand its real implementation.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

It is stated by Imam Gajali. The Author of Bagdad states in his book "Tarikhe Bagdad" (Volume No 11, Page No 239, Darul Kutubul Ilmiya, Bairut Lebnon).

Let's read the original Arabic text of Hadith as follows.

"عن عائشة قالت كان بيني وبين رسول الله كلام اترضين بأبي بكر قلت نعم فبعث اليه فجاء فقال رسول الله صلے الله عليه وسلم اقض بيني وبين هذه قال انا يارسول الله؟ قال نعم فتكلم رسول الله صلے الله عليه وسلم فقلت له اقصد يارسول الله قالت فرفع ابوبكر يده فلطم وجهي لطمة بدر منها انفي ومنخر اى دما وقال لا ام لك فمن يقصد؟ واذا لم يقصد رسول الله صلے الله عليه وسلم۔"

Translation: Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha) narrates it. She says that once a dispute occurred between me& Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). So, Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) said: Do you consent that I call Hazrat Abu Bakar to judge this matter? I replied in affirmative. The Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) sent for Hazrat Abu Bakar. When Hazrat Abu Bakar arrived, he instructed him AQADH .., :Judge the matter between myself and Aaisha. Hazrat Abu Bakar was astonished and said ANA YA RSSULULLAH, O, Prophet of Allah; should I judge the matter? He said: Yes, then Prophet Muhammad (Peace &

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> blessings be on him) began to discuss the matter. Hazrat Aaisha requested AQSAD..., to adopt the moderation. Thereupon, Hazrat Abu Bakar slapped on the face of Hazrat Aaisha which led to bleeding. He said: FAMAYYAQ....
>
> Translation: "Who else will adopt moderation, if the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) doesn't adopt it?"

Readers! It is now very apparent from the Arabic text of Hadith that the allegation to the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) levelled by the cursed Waseem Rizvi with the reference of Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha) as liar is nothing but a blatant lie, blabbing and fabrication. Kindly read the statement of the cursed Waseem Rizvi again.

> "Commit to me that you will not tell a lie; and you will speak nothing but the truth".

At the outset, let me clarify the words; and then I am going to hit the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

Hazrat Aaisha said: "AQSAD...," which means O, Messenger of Allah! Adopt moderation or be on a medium level; but to wrongly translate AQSAD YA as "Commit to me not to tell a lie; and you will speak nothing but the truth" is an extreme degree of breach of trust and craftiness. It is because the cursed Waseem Rizvi is highly expert in that faculty.

The Arabic words for 'Truth' and 'False' are SADAQ and KADHAB respectively; but we don't find the words

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

'SADAQ' and 'KADHAB' in the whole of the statement at all. Hence, what else is it other than the craftiness of the cursed Waseem Rizvi to translate the words 'Truth' and 'False'?

Now, I want to ask the cursed Waseem Rizvi that does the emphatic instruction by the Magistrate or a Lawyer in the court of law to someone 'to tell the truth and not to tell anything except truth' prove that the Magistrate or a Lawyer has considered him a liar? Of course, none will acknowledge that the Magistrate or a Lawyer has considered him a liar; but the above mentioned dishonesty of the cursed Waseem Rizvi reflects that the stupid person like the cursed Waseem Rizvi will consider that person a liar.

Let's read one more Hadith.

Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad, Volume Eight, Page No 95

> "Hazrat Ibne Zubair (Raziyallahu Anhu) narrates that I swear by Allah! Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha) can never associate any lie to Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him)".

The above narration makes it very apparent that the discussion of Hazrat Aaisha never proves Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) to be a liar. I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH; and I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH infinitely. Now, I hope all the general and special categories of the people must have understood the fact very well.

AN ALLEGATION OF SEXUAL LUST

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

75:

> "The name of the first victimized woman of the sexual lust of Muhammad Sahab was "Khaulah Binte Hakim Al Salmiya". He further writes: "Khaulah was a biological maternal aunt of Muhammad. Muhammad Sahab made her his 'Sahabi' (A companion). Muhammad carried Khaulah also with Aaisha while migrating to Madeena. This incident took place at a time when this woman surrendered herself to Muhammad for debauchery".

The cursed Waseem Rizvi quotes a fabricated Hadith from Musnade Ahmed to prove his baseless point of presentation.

> "This matter is presented in Musnade Ahmed in a way that "Khaulah Binte Hakim" asked the Messenger what should a woman do if she suffers from a disease of ejaculation in a dream? The Messenger replied: She should share the bed with me. Then, Khaulah shared the bed with Muhammad; and Muhammad had sexual intercourse with her". (I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH; and I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH infinitely).

POST MORTEM OF THE FABRICATED HADITH MENTIONED BY THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI

Readers! Here I am going to perform the post mortem of the fabricated references, fabricated Hadith mentioned by the cursed Waseem Rizvi so that the lie of the cursed Waseem Rizvi can be exposed before the

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

audience.

The very first and foremost lie is that Khaulah Binte Hakim Assalamiyah is not the kin material aunty of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) but the cursed Waseem Rizvi relates her as the maternal aunt of the Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). The family lineage of Hazrat Khaulah is Khaulah Binte Hakim bin Umayyah bin Harisa; and the name of the mother of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) is Aamina Binte Wahab Bin Abde Manaf who bore lineage with the tribe of Banu Zohra; whereas the lineage of Khaula belonged to the tribe of Banu Sulaim. Then, how come it is possible that Khaulah was a kin material aunty of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). It appears from the fabricated story of the cursed Waseem Rizvi that his eyes have been wrapped up with a strap of lies.

How audaciously the cursed Waseem Rizvi states that "the name of the first victimized woman of the sexual lust of Muhammad is Khaulah Binte Hakim Assalamiyah".

Let's consider the reference he has mentioned as Musnade Imam Ahmed, Volume 11, Musnadunnisa, Page No 195, Hadith No 27869.

حَدَّثَنَا عَبْدُاللهِ حَدَّثَنِي أَبِي حَدَّثَنَا أَبُو الْمُغِيرَةِ قَالَ حَدَّثَنَا الْأَوْزَاعِيُّ قَالَ حَدَّثَنِي إِسْحَاقُ بْنُ عَبْدِاللهِ بْنِ أَبِي طَلْحَةَ الْأَنْصَارِيُّ عَنْ جَدَّتِهِ أُمِّ سُلَيْمٍ قَالَتْ كَانَتْ مُجَاوِرَةَ أُمِّ سَلَمَةَ زَوْجِ النَّبِيِّ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ فَكَانَتْ تَدْخُلُ عَلَيْهَا فَدَخَلَ النَّبِيُّ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

وَسَلَّمَ فَقَالَتْ أُمُّ سُلَيْمٍ يَارَسُوْلَ اللهِ أَرَأَيْتَ إِذَا رَأَتِ الْمَرْأَةُ أَنَّ زَوْجَهَا يُجَامِعُهَا فِي الْمَنَامِ أَتَغْتَسِلُ فَقَالَتْ أُمُّ سَلَمَةَ تَرِبَتْ يَدَاكِ يَاأُمَّ سُلَيْمٍ فَضَحْتِ النِّسَاءَ عِنْدَ رَسُوْلِ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ فَقَالَتْ أُمُّ سُلَيْمٍ إِنَّ اللهَ لَا يَسْتَحْيِ مِنَ الْحَقِّ وَإِنَّا إِنْ نَسْأَلُ النَّبِيَّ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ عَمَّا أَشْكَلَ عَلَيْنَا خَيْرٌ مِنْ أَنْ نَكُوْنَ مِنْهُ عَلَى عَمْيَاءَ فَقَالَ النَّبِيُّ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ لِأُمِّ سَلَمَةَ بَلْ أَنْتِ تَرِبَتْ يَدَاكِ نَعَمْ يَا أُمَّ سُلَيْمٍ عَلَيْهَا الْغُسْلُ إِذَا وَجَدَتِ الْمَاءَ فَقَالَتْ أُمُّ سَلَمَةَ يَارَسُوْلَ اللهِ وَهَلْ لِلْمَرْأَةِ مَاءٌ فَقَالَ النَّبِيُّ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ فَأَنَّى يُشْبِهُهَا وَلَدُهَا هُنَّ شَقَائِقُ الرِّجَالِ۔

Translation: Hazrat Umme Sulaim narrates that once he asked Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him): What is the religious injunction if a woman also dreams as a man dreams? The Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) replied: If a woman dreams like it which leads to the ejaculation, she should take a bath. Hazrat Umme Salmah (Raziyallahu Anha)-The mother of believers-said: Umme Sulaim! May your hands be rubbed with dust! You have humiliated all the women before the Prophet. Umme Sulaim said: Allah, the Almighty, doesn't feel ashamed of the real matter. Hence, it is preferable for us to seek the explanation regarding a particular doubtful

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

phenomenon from the Prophet than to be unaware of it. The Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) replied: O, Umme Salmah! May your hands be rubbed with dust! Yes, Umme Sulaiman! If a woman happens to see such a dream then it is mandatory for her to take a bath. Hazrat Umme Sulaim said: O, Messenger of Allah! Does a woman also have a seminal fluid? The Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) replied: How come it happens that a child resembles a woman. Women are the pairs for the men".

Respected Readers! Now, here I am going to hit the cursed Waseem Rizvi. At the outset, the Hadith which he relates to Hazrat Khaulah Binte Hakim Salamiyah is not, in fact, narrated by her at all. In reality, this Hadith is narrated by Umme Sulaim like that narrated by Musnade Ahmed of Musnadunnisa. The Hadith of Khaula binte Hakim starts at a point where this Hadith ends; and let's read the Hadith narrated by Khaulah Binte Hakim also.

Musnade Imam Ahmed, Volume 11, Hadith No 27881 under Musnadunnisa.

"عَنْ خَوْلَةَ قَالَتْ سَمِعْتُ النَّبِيَّ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ قَالَ مَنْ نَزَلَ مَنْزِلًا فَقَالَ أَعُوْذُ بِكَلِمَاتِ اللهِ التَّامَّةِ مِنْ شَرِّ مَا خَلَقَ لَمْ يَضُرَّهُ شَيْءٌ حَتَّى يَظْعَنَ مِنْهُ۔"

Translation: "Hazrat Khaulah narrates that I heard Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

be on him) saying if a person recites A'oozu Bikalimatil laahit-Taammati Min Sharre Maa Khalaq ... while lodging in a place, nothing can cause any trouble to him till the time he quits that place".

Let's consider the lies of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

LIE NO 01

He considered Khaulah Binte Hakim as the first woman to falsely charge an allegation to her for the sexual lust.

LIE NO 02

He levelled a false allegation of sexual intercourse to Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him); I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH.

LIE NO 03

He associated the Hadith narrated by Umme Sulaim as to have been narrated by Khaulah Binte Hakim with the false and fabricated narrations.

As the cursed Waseem Rizvi wanted to falsely allege the Prophet, he generated a fabricated matter from his side.

Respected Readers! Now, you are requested to study the original Arabic text of Hadith repeatedly and kindly analyse that is it anywhere stated that Khaulah or Umme Sulaim shared the bed with Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him)? Hence, kindly consider the falsehood of the cursed Waseem Rizvi pertaining to that fabricated story.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

LIE NO 01

Hazrat Khaulah is not a biological maternal aunt of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him).

LIE NO 02

A woman who suffers from a disease of ejaculation

LIE NO 03

The Messenger said she should share the bed with me.

LIE NO 04

Khaulah shared the bed with Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him).

LIE NO 05

Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) had a sexual intercourse with Khaulah. (I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH; and I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH, infinitely).

It is the severest lie because this Hadith doesn't belong to Khaulah Binte Hakim at all; instead it is narrated by Umme Sulaim. Besides, if you study the entire Hadith, you would definitely recognise the self- fabricated matters of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

When Hazrat Umme Sulaim (Raziyallahu Anha) asked: What should such a woman do? Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) replied: ALAIHA.... which means she should take a bath. The liar and cursed Waseem Rizvi writes that the Messenger replied: 'She should share the bed with me'. (I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH).

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

This Hadith is found in Sahih Bukhari also apart from Musnade Ahmed. Let's read this Hadith also.

Sahih Bukhari, Volume One, Page No 193, Kitabul Gusl, Hadith No 275

"حَدَّثَنَا عَبْدُاللهِ بْنُ يُوْسُفَ قَالَ أَخْبَرَنَا مَالِكٌ عَنْ هِشَامِ بْنِ عُرْوَةَ عَنْ أَبِيْهِ عَنْ زَيْنَبَ بِنْتِ أَبِيْ سَلَمَةَ عَنْ أُمِّ سَلَمَةَ أُمِّ الْمُؤْمِنِيْنَ أَنَّهَا قَالَتْ جَائَتْ أُمُّ سُلَيْمٍ امْرَأَةُ أَبِيْ طَلْحَةَ إِلَى رَسُوْلِ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ فَقَالَتْ يَارَسُوْلَ اللهِ إِنَّ اللهَ لَا يَسْتَحْيِي مِنَ الْحَقِّ هَلْ عَلَى الْمَرْأَةِ مِنْ غُسْلٍ إِذَا هِيَ احْتَلَمَتْ فَقَالَ رَسُوْلُ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ نَعَمْ إِذَا رَأَتِ الْمَاءَ۔"

Translation: "Umme Salmah (Raziyallahu Anha) -the mother of believers-said that the wife of Abu Talha namely Umme Sulaim came to Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). She said: O, Messenger of Allah! Allah, the Almighty, doesn't feel ashamed in representing the true matter, is it necessary for a woman to get a bath if she has a night discharge? Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) replied: Yes, if she finds fluid".

This Hadith is narrated by Imam Muslim also.

Sahih Muslim, Volume One, Page No 271, Kitabul Haiz, Hadith No 404

"حَدَّثَنِيْ زُهَيْرُ بْنُ حَرْبٍ حَدَّثَنَا عُمَرُ بْنُ يُوْنُسَ الْحَنَفِيُّ حَدَّثَنَا عِكْرِمَةُ بْنُ عَمَّارٍ قَالَ قَالَ إِسْحَقُ بْنُ أَبِيْ طَلْحَةَ

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

حَدَّثَنِيْ أَنَسُ بْنُ مَالِكٍ قَالَ جَائَتْ أُمُّ سُلَيْمٍ وَهِيَ جَدَّةُ إِسْحَقَ إِلَى رَسُوْلِ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ فَقَالَتْ لَهُ وَعَائِشَةُ عِنْدَهُ يَارَسُوْلَ اللهِ الْمَرْأَةُ تَرَى مَايَرَى الرَّجُلُ فِي الْمَنَامِ فَتَرَى مِنْ نَفْسِهَا مَايَرَى الرَّجُلُ مِنْ نَفْسِهِ فَقَالَتْ عَائِشَةُ يَاأُمَّ سُلَيْمٍ فَضَحْتِ النِّسَاءَ تَرِبَتْ يَمِيْنُكِ فَقَالَ لِعَائِشَةَ بَلْ أَنْتِ فَتَرِبَتْ يَمِيْنُكِ نَعَمْ فَلْتَغْتَسِلْ يَاأُمَّ سُلَيْمٍ إِذَا رَأَتْ ذَاكِ۔"

Translation: Hazrat Anas bin Malik (Raziyallahu Anhu) narrates that the grand Mother of Ishaq-Umme Sulaim (Raziyallahu Anha) came to the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) when Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha) also was sitting with the Prophet. She asked the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him): O, Messenger of Allah! If a woman dreams as a man dreams, what should she do? Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa said, O, Umme Sulaim! May your hands be rubbed with dust! You have made the women ashamed. The Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) said to Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa: Instead, may your hands be rubbed with dust. Then, he addressed to Umme Sulaim: O, Umme Sulaim! When a woman happens to dream such a dream, she should take a bath".

Respected Readers! Now, here it is radiantly apparent that it is nothing but an excruciating allegation against

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Hazrat Khaulah; and correspondingly it is a baseless allegation against the Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) also as it has nothing to do with the Hadith at all; be it a Hadith of Musnade Ahmed or that of Sahih Bukhari or that of Sahih Muslim. Hence, all these are the blatant fabrications of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

FALSE EXAGGERATIONS OF THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 105 that:

> It is a very interesting story relating to the current chapter that subsequent to the cancellation of the tradition to adopt a child, Abu Huzaifa and his wife Sahla came to Muhammad. This couple also had an adopted child by the name Salim. Salim was a freed slave of Abu Huzaifa who was, then, adopted by him. Sahla told Muhammad: O, Messenger! Salim lived with me in our residence; he is now a grown up male and, consequently, has a maturity to understand the sex related issues. Muhammad intelligently instructed her to breast feed him. Having heard this reply, Sahla was stunned; and asked: how can I breast-feed him as he is already grown up. Muhammad smiled and then said: Yes, I am aware that he is already a grown up man. In fact, Salim was a phenomenally grown up man who had participated in the battle of Bad also. It is

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

stated in one more Hadith that Muhammad busted to laugh having heard Sahla. Muhammad suggested her to breast feed him with the implied aim that if Sahla breast fed Salim, the relationship of being an adopted son must have got nullified because Salim was a young man".

Respected Readers! You have studied the stupid blabbing of the cursed Waseem Rizvi; which is mentioned without the substantiation of any reference at all. Do you know what is the reality and story about it? Here, I am going to present the real Arabic text of Hadith in order to expose the falsehood of the cursed Waseem Rizvi which will manifest the degree of falsehood& stupidity of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi has mentioned the story without any reference. But, here I'm going to present the reference to you regarding the same.

Abu Dawood, Volume Two, Page No 115, Kitabun Nikah, Hadith No 293.

"فَجَاءَتْ سَهْلَةُ بِنْتُ سُهَيْلِ بْنِ عَمْرٍو الْقُرَشِيِّ، ثُمَّ الْعَامِرِيِّ، وَهِيَ امْرَأَةُ أَبِي حُذَيْفَةَ، فَقَالَتْ يَارَسُوْلَ اللهِ إِنَّا كُنَّا نَرَى سَالِمًا وَلَدًا، وَكَانَ يَأْوِي مَعِي وَمَعَ أَبِي حُذَيْفَةَ فِي بَيْتٍ وَاحِدٍ، وَيَرَانِي فُضْلًا، وَقَدْ أَنْزَلَ اللهُ عَزَّ وَجَلَّ فِيْهِمْ مَا قَدْ عَلِمْتَ، فَكَيْفَ تَرَى فِيْهِ؟ فَقَالَ لَهَا النَّبِيُّ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ أَرْضِعِيْهِ، فَأَرْضَعَتْهُ خَمْسَ رَضَعَاتٍ۔"

Translation: Sahlah Binte Suhail Ibne Amr

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> Qureshi Aamiri, who was the wife of Hazrat Abu Huzaifa, came to Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) and said: O, Messenger of Allah! We consider Saalim our son. He lives with me and Hazrat Abu Huzaifa in the same residence who happens to see me in a personal domestic state of mine. Allah, the Almighty, has already decreed the commandments pertaining to it which is adequately known to Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). Hence, what do you enjoin about it? Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) replied: Bread feed him; so she breast fed him five draughts".

Let's read one more reference so that I can split open the falsehood of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad, Volume Eight, Page No 349.

> "Yazid Bin Haroon who was informed by Abdul Aziz Bin Abdullah Bin Abi Salmujahhari who said that Hazrat Sahla asked Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him): O, Messenger of Allah! We consider Saalim our son. He frequents to our residence. I am wearing the domestic dress and he happens to see me in that state. Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) said: You breast feed him five draughts so that he can frequent your residence without any hesitation".

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad, Volume Eight, Page No 349, 350.

> "Muhammad bin Umar informed it to whom Hadith was narrated by Muhammad bin Abdullah, who narrates it from his father that Hazrat Sahla used to bring out a draught of her milk; and Saalim used to drink it. He drank it for five days. Saalim often used to come to her when she didn't have a scarf on her head. This exemption was granted to Hazrat Sahla by the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him)".

Respected Readers! Now, the genuine implications of this story has been presented before you with the references of Abu Dawood and Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad which distinguishes between what is the truth and what stands to be the baseless stupidity of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

Now, here I am going to reckon the false matters as stated below.

LIE NO 01

He is now in a position to understand the sexual relations.

LIE NO 02

Muhammad replied very intellectually.

LIE NO 03

She breast fed from her breasts.

LIE NO 04

Sahla was stunned having heard it.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

LIE NO 05

Muhammad bursted to laugh having heard the talking of Sahla

LIE NO 06

If she breast feeds him, the relationship of an adopted son stands nullified.

But, now it is very naturally apparent to the readers that all such groundless matters are not found in either Abu Dawood or Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes...

"Breast feed him from your breasts".

Let's read the original Arabic text of Hadith as FARDAAT.... In Arabic, RADAA word is used to drink milk to someone in general terms. Further, if we take the milk in a vessel to drink it to someone through it, then also the word RADAA is used. The word KHAMS RADA...makes it very clear that five draughts of milk were drunk as is narrated by Ibne Sa'ad. Even after such an explicit version, if one says that it was breast feeding, it is a virulent allegation. Hence, it is nothing but a self fabricated story. It is also a baseless falsehood that breast feeding to someone was a rule to nullify the relation of an adopted son. Instead, she was instructed to breast feed him because the commandment of 'Parda' (Veil) was revealed; and that too was specially meant for Hazrat Sahla because if she breast feeds him, she would be considered as a foster-mother of Saalim and, consequently, the injunction pertaining to 'Parda' (Veil) can be dispensed

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

with.

If someone is instructed to milk someone, does it mean that he/she has to be breast fed; because it can be drunk even with the help of a bottle or through any vessel also. Today, we have a system of Milk Bank also. Women collect the milk in the Milk Bank and provide it to the needy children from it. Hence, if someone says that the children are given the milk of various women; does it imply that the children drank the milk from the breasts of those women? No, it is never so at all. Nowadays, maid nurses are employed to look after the children and one's house. As and when required, such maid nurses give baths to the children, feed them, facilitate them to take snacks, give them milk. So, does facilitating someone in drinking the milk imply that the maid nurse breast feed them? No, it is never so at all.

Nevertheless, the dim-witted, liar and stupid person like the cursed Waseem Rizvi will definitely mistake it as to have been breast fed.

FACTS BEHIND THE CURTAIN

The cursed Waseem Rizvi bursts one more cracker of falsehood; and that one is bigger than the Himalayan Mountain. Readers! At the outset, let's read his blabbing, self-fabricated and false statements; and then I'll produce the real story with the help of the historical references to be further followed by enumerating his lies.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 143 under the topic of "Rape at Hunain".

"There was a valley between Mecca and Taif

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> which was occupied by a nomadic tribe namely "Hawajin; being a part of Quraish. Even the women of that tribe were healthy enough due to their physical exertion; and those women's breasts were dilated. Muhammad projected an excuse that these women are infidels as he wanted to attack them. In fact, Muhammad and his debauchery companions cherished the lascivious target on the women of the Hawazin tribe and, therefore, they assaulted on them at night itself because this scam was perpetrated in the narrow valley of Sakri from which it was tough to exit and, eventually, the nomadic people were defeated. According to the historian, Ibne Ishaq, the battle of Hunain bears a great significance in Islam because it was the only battle after which the Muslims accessed to the right to have the sexual intercourse with the women captured from the battle or from anywhere; though the Muslims consider the fight of Hunain as a battle.

In fact, it is not fair and justified to consider it a battle because on one side of the confrontation, there were 12 thousands skilled dacoits of Muhammad to have been equipped with the weapons; and on the opposite direction of confrontation there were only 6 thousands ordinary nomadic comprising women, aged, sick and children. This event took place in Shawwal, on 10th i.e. 8th May AH i.e. it's a tragedy dated 630 AD. According

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

to Ibne Ishaq, no booty was captured except 6000 women".

Respected Readers! This is the narration of the cursed Waseem Rizvi ridden with the extreme blabbing and falsehood. A man of justice and fairness would definitely curse him repeatedly on hearing such a baseless matter.

At the outset, I am going to present before you the thorough story of Hunain with the reference of a historian, Ibne Ishaq so that the lingering clouds of falsehood can be ripped apart and, consequently, truth can be set apart from the falsehood in order to prove the craftiness of the cursed Waseem Rizvi. Thereafter, I shall enumerate the false matters of the cursed Waseem Rizvi. The historian, Ibne Ishaq writes the battle of Hunain very in detail. He writes on Page No 670 on the chapter of the battle of Hunain.

> "Subsequent to the conquest of Mecca, the Quraish of Mecca turned out to be obedient to Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). The great, famous and well organized Hawazan tribe of Arab was very famous due to its audacity, courage and masculinity which had not surrendered to Islam till the conquest of Mecca. Its leader was Malik bin Auf Nasri. When he came to know that Mecca and the surrounding provinces of Mecca had already surrendered to the obedience of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him), he thought that we should attack Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) well prior to

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

his attack on us. Therefore, he assembled the people of his tribe; apart from those people belonging to the surrounding provinces of Yaman who had already taken an oath with the tribe of Hawazin. He united them by urging them to support them. Thus, he had mobilized a tremendous army for battle; for whom he managed for the arms and equipment in order to gear up for war against Prophet Muhammad. On this occasion of battle, he instructed the people of his tribe to carry the materials, women and children with them.

Duraid Bin Summah- a leader of another tribe- also accompanied that army. However,he had already grown very aged and feeble but he was well conversant with the strategies of war. He had already experienced numerous occasions of fights. A protector for him was managed on a camel; and he was taken with them to gain the benefits of his personal experiences of fight. In fact, Duraid was not aware of the instructions passed by Malik bin Auf to the people of his tribe to carry the women and children along with the requisite materials. When this army proceeded further and reached "Autas" for a stay, Durai Bin Summah inquired about the place they had reached. When he was informed that they had reached the valley of Autas, Duraid

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

appreciated that place as the land was neither too hard for the horses to run nor was it too soft that their legs got plugged into it. Subsequently, when Duraid Bin Summah heard the voice of goats, other animals, women and children, he questioned them as to why and who had carried these materials, women and children. Then, Duraid Bin Summah was intimated that Malik bin Auf ordered the people of the tribe of Hawazin to carry the women and children as well along with the materials. Having heard about it, Duraid asked: Where is Malik bin Auf? Call him here. Since Duraid used to be honoured and esteemed in society, his opinions used to be respectfully obeyed; they acknowledged Duraid's order and conveyed the message that Duraid wanted you. Therefore, Malik bin Auf appeared before Duraid and asked him: if he wanted him. Then, Duraid said: O, Malik, what is it that you have carried women, children and the herd of animals. You have plunged the women &children into the plight. Malik bin Auf replied: I have carried them all here for the purpose that the people of my tribe -Hawajan- fight against the enemies with full valour and courage for the sake of protection to their women, children and materials during the battle; and they can't retreat while confronting them. Having heard such a justification, Duraid bin Summah slapped him and retorted that you are fit to

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

be a shepherd of the animals and not to be a leader of the people in the battle. Malik bin Auf asked him as to why his action is not appropriate. Thereupon, Duraid explained to him that the eventual consequences of the battle for which we have set out would be either our triumph against the enemies and such triumph would be secured by the swords of the male participants and not by the stampede of women and children or second consequence would be our defeat in the battle; and in the latter consequence, the men can flee away from the battle for their lives but your materials, women and children would be left at the mercy of the enemies; which will be an extreme humiliation of us.

Malik bin Auf was very arrogant, boasting of his audacity. He told the people that such an explanation of Duraid bin Auf is out of his fear and panic and, therefore, we need not heed to it. But, the people of the Hawazin tribe considered the justification of Malik bin Auf as wrong and, hence, they tended to comply with the suggestions of Duraid. They conceded their error of accompanying women and children in the battle.

When Malik bin Auf perceived that the people of the Hawazin tribe had realized his error and had been pondering over returning the women, children and materials, he summoned the people of his tribe. Then, he

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

clutched his sword in his hand and declared: if you don't obey me, I shall pierce my sword into my chest to commit the suicide. Upon such a declaration of Malik bin Auf, people of the Hawazin tribe surrendered him to comply with any commandments of him. When they departed from that spot of camping, they carried the materials, women and children with the army. At the time of departure, Malik bin Auf addressed the army: When you sight the army of Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him), bring out your swords from their sheath and tear apart their flag and assault on the Islamic army all of a sudden.

When Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) came to know that Malik bin Auf had set out with the people of Hawazin to fight, he instructed Hazrat Abdullah bin Abi Hadrad Aslami to enter into the army of the people of Hawazin to take stock of the situation and to estimate the strength of fighters to be reported to Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). Hence, Hazrat Abdullah bin Abi Hadrad entered into the army of Hawazin and took stock of the situation prevalent there which was later intimated to Prophet Muhammad (Peace and blessings be him).

On the occasion of the conquest of Mecca, the strength of Islamic army was ten

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

thousand which was subsequently supplemented by two thousand after the conquest of Mecca. Thus, the Islamic army stood at the strength of twelve thousand in aggregate. So, he departed from Mecca Mukarramah with the strength of twelve thousands army to confront the army of Hawazin. Hazrat Itab bin Usaid was designated in Mecca Mukarramah to supervise the administrative affairs.

Safwan bin Umayyah was one of the money lenders in Mecca. He used to lend different things to the people. He possessed an immense quantum of equipment of battle. He had a huge depot of armour. Therefore, Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) sent a companion to bring some armour from him. Since Safwan had not accepted Islam till that time, he suspected that these armours would not be returned to me, if lent to them. He came to Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) and said: O, Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him), are you borrowing these armours or being taken under compulsion from me? Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) replied: They are borrowed and we undertake to return them to you; and by chance if it is lost, we would pay for its compensation.

After departure, Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) travelled for day and

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

night and ultimately reached near the valley of Hunain. They wanted to proceed further from there, but they couldn't as a tragedy occurred to them as follows.

The valley of Hunain had safe shelters. The people of Hawazin were aware that the Islamic army would proceed through this valley. Therefore, they hid their soldiers there. But, neither Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) paid any attention to this loophole nor did the Islamic army happen to realize it and, therefore, they kept on proceeding towards this valley comfortably.

When they reached the spot of the valley where they had been hidden almost in the morning, they rushed out of their hide outs and suddenly ambushed on Islamic army. Consequently, the Islamic army got terrified due to such a sudden attack on them and fled away towards wherever they could find the ways for the sake of their lives. As soon as Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) sighted such a horrific situation, he raised the right hand to seek their attention and said: O, People! Come to me, I am a Messenger of Allah; and I am Muhammad bin Abdullah; but the shocked and terrified participants of the army didn't heed to him at all. On such a time of the test, some of the persons from 'Ansar' (Helpers) and 'Mihajirin'

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

(Migrants) exhibited their solidarity and steadfastness with him; from whom there were Hazrat Abu Bakar, Umar, Ali, Abbas, Abu Sufiyan bin Haris, Rabiah bin Haris, Usama bin Zaid (May Allah be pleased with them all). The Islamic army which consisted of twelve thousand participants turned out to be in a stampede. As the Islamic army faced the defeat, the newly reverted Muslim leaders of Quraish, who had participated with Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) in the battle of Hunain, as well as some other persons who had not accepted Islam till that time resorted to taunting and criticism to the Muslims. This was the time when Abu Sufiyan bin Harab boasted: "This is the time that the companions of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) would not find any shelter even in the sea".

The people of Hawazin had been safe and secure in the safe shelters; whereas the Islamic army had already got dispersed with the consequences that the Muslims had almost faced the debacle.

On the day of the battle of Hunain, Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) had been riding on a green horse. When Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) called back the Muslim soldiers, none could hear his voice due to stampede and, therefore, they didn't return to him even

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

upon his calling back. Then, he instructed Hazrat Abbas: Since you have a high pitch sound, call out to the members of Samurah and 'Ansar' (Helpers). Therefore, when Hazrat Abbas called out to the members of Samurah and 'Ansar' (Helpers), they rushed towards the calls declaring 'Labbaik', 'Labbaik' (Here I am, here I am). Consequently, hundred members of 'Ansar' (Helpers) gathered around Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him); and these hundred valiant joined with those dedicated people who had been with Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) from the beginning. Now, the Ansar of Madina plunged into the battlefield. Having assessed the situation of the battlefield from a small hill, Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) pronounced: 'Now the fight is at its climax degree'. One of the infidels in the tribe of Hawazin was very courageous and famous. He carried a black flag in his hand in which he concealed a spear. He was proceeding at the forefront of the army of infidels; and he used to check any Muslim by his spear who tried to come at the forefront. He caused tremendous destruction to the Muslims. When Hazrat Ali Karramallahu Vajhu realized his prudent tactics of war, he himself went forward. He toppled him down as a consequence of an assault on him by a sword and, eventually, killed him. As a repercussion

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

of the killing of that infidel, Muslims attacked them unanimously and destabilized their war position. When the infidels fled away from the spot of attack, Muslims chased after them in which some of them lost their lives and some were captured. Muslims hadn't killed and captured all the infidels yet one thousand men were captured by Muslims who were later produced in the court of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him).

As soon as the infidels were desperate due to their defeat, people of all tribes ran away indiscriminately. Malik bin Auf raw away towards the direction of Taif; therefore Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) dispatched an army to chase after him.

When the armies returned from various surrounding provinces where they were dispatched by Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) to chase after the enemy armies, they carried with them prisoners as well as booty. Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) made them stay at the place of Jea'aranah; and Hazrat Mas'ood Bin Amr Gifari was designated to monitor them while Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) returned to Mecca.

Four Muslims were martyred in the battle of Hunain. While returning from the battle of Hunain, having seen a dead body of a

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> woman, Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) asked: Who has killed her? He was informed that Khalid Bin Waleed killed her. Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) said: "Inform Khalid! It is a commandment of the Messenger of Allah not to kill the women, children and crippled persons".

Respected Readers! You have read the story of the battle of Hunain written by the historian-Ibne Ishaq-in his book 'Sirat Ibne Ishaq'. Kindly read it repeatedly and carefully and acknowledge it by yourself that the cursed Waseem Rizvi has tremendously alleged the historian-Ibne Ishaq- as he has related the matters to the historian what he has not mentioned in his book. We have narrated here the full description of the battle of Hunain so that the falsehood and craftiness of the cursed Waseem Rizvi can be adequately exposed to you.

Now, I am going to enumerate the falsehood of the cursed Waseem with the reference of the historian Ibne Ishaq. Kindly read it.

LIE No 01

> "There used to live a nomadic tribe, by name Hawazan".

In fact, this tribe was not nomadic at all; instead it was a very courageous tribe as is mentioned by Ibne Ishaq.

LIE No 02

> "Since the women of that tribe were hard working, they were health enough

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

LIE NO 03

"Muhammad projected a lie that they are infidels with the purpose of attacking them."

LIE NO 04

"Muhammad and his Companions had lascivious eyes on the women of the Hawazan tribe".

LIE NO 05

"Therefore, they attacked at night itself ".

LIE NO 06

"Consequently, the nomadic faced the defeat".

LIE NO 07

"Only after this battle, the Muslims could gain the permission to establish the sexual relations with the women captured from the battle or from any other places".

LIE NO 08

"There were twelve thousand ordinary nomadic on the opposite side comprising women, sick and children".

LIE NO 09

"According to Ibne Ishaq wealth was not achieved in this battle".

LIE NO 10

"Muhammad had twelve thousand skilled and well equipped dacoits".

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Respected Readers! Now, I am going to conduct the post mortem of the lies of the cursed Waseem Rizvi with the help of the references given in the history written by Ibne Ishaq because he has quoted the references of the historian- Ibne Ishaq.

LIE NO 01

"A nomadic tribe used to live in Hawazan".

A nomadic is a kind of person who is not expert enough in the battles and not being valiant persons. But, the cursed Waseem Rizvi stealthily intends to prove them as gullible by titling them as nomadic. Instead, Duraid bin Summah was very expert in the affairs of battles; and the leader of that tribe-Malik bin Auf- was extremely arrogant and valiant.

LIE NO 02

"Women of that tribe were healthy enough due to hard work".

In fact, the historian Ibne Ishaq hasn't written anything on the occupation, works, responsibilities of works of the women of the Hawazan tribe nor has he mentioned whether they were hard working or not. But, the cursed Waseem fabricated all these matters by himself.

LIE NO 03

"Muhammad projected an excuse that they were infidels with the target of attacking them".

You have already studied the story of the battle of Hunain which reflects that Prophet Muhammad (Peace &

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

blessings be on him) didn't create any excuse at all to attack them. In fact, when Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) came to know that Auf bin Malik had been preparing for an attack on the Muslims, Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) set out as a means of self defence. So, is it a crime to defend the self? In fact, every man has a right to secure the self defense.

LIE NO 04

> "Muhammad and his luxurious Companions had cast their eyes on the tribe of Hawazan".

In fact, this is a fabricated story by the cursed Waseem Rizvi; it is nothing but a false allegation levelled by him. The historian- Ibne Ishaq- or any other historian hasn't written any such matter at all nor have the people of the Hawazan tribe ever conceded that the Muslim army had lascivious eyes on our women. Instead, the people of Hawazan themselves had come to the forefront to initiate the attack. Readers! Now, you have to judge whether or not the cursed Waseem Rizvi is a blatant liar.

LIE NO 05

> "So, they attacked at night itself".

Respected Readers! Those who study the history and the book of history written by Ibne Ishaq will definitely incline to mourn the mentality of the cursed Waseem Rizvi after going through his book of history. It is very apparently mentioned in the historical story of the battle of Hunain that when the Islamic army was proceeding through the narrow valley of Hunain, the army of

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Hawazan suddenly ambushed the Islamic army which led to the haphazard dispersal of the Islamic army being on the verge of debacle. Notwithstanding such a clear fact, the cursed Waseem Rizvi writes that "They attacked at night". How severe falsehood this is!

LIE NO 06

"Consequently, the nomadic faced defeat".

How fierce liar the cursed Waseem Rizvi is! According to the historian- Ibne Ishaq- the nomadic didn't face any defeat in the beginning; instead they were triumphant and the Islamic army was dispersed apart from 4 fighters' martyrdom. Besides, the Islamic army began to flee from the spot but subsequently they all got together and achieved success after a united attack on them.

LIE NO 07

> "Only after this battle, Muslims gained permission to establish the sexual relations with the women captured from the battle or from any other places".

In fact, this statement is based absolutely on falsehood. I have presented here the full story of Hunain so that you can go through the same thoroughly in order to establish the lie and craftiness of the cursed Waseem Rizvi. The historian- Ibne Ishaq- hasn't mentioned it anywhere in his description that the Muslims could gain the permission to establish the sexual relations with the women captured from any places. In fact, the cursed Waseem Rizvi intends to saw the seeds of hatred between the Muslims and Non-Muslims by implementing

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

the falsehood in the story because it has nothing to do with the history at all as it is radically founded on the falsehood.

LIE NO 08

> "There were six thousand nomadic on the opposite side comprising women, sick and children".

This is also an absolute falsehood. The historian- Ibne Ishaq- hasn't anywhere mentioned its strength at all. Let's consider the falsehood of the cursed Waseem Rizvi that at one place he writes that there were six thousand nomadic comprising women, children and sick as well. It implies that this strength is inclusive of all the persons of all types. On the contrary, he writes at another place that six thousand women were captured. Hence, the audience themselves have to judge as to how severe liar the cursed Waseem Rizvi is! If six thousand women were captured, what about those being aged, children, sick, killed &those who had fled from the spot? The cursed Waseem Rizvi himself admits that there were six thousand participants in aggregate; and on the contrary he himself says that six thousand women were captured. Hence, he doesn't maintain any conscious while writing something in his book. The historian- Ibne Ishaq- says that the Muslims captured one thousand men as prisoners. Whereas the cursed Waseem Rizvi writes that a thousand women were captured. Alas! The cursed Waseem Rizvi focuses on none but the female gender. He focuses on the hard working women, healthy women and the dilated breasts of women though any historian hasn't stated any such features at all.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

LIE NO 09

> "According to Ibne Ishaq, no booty was gained from this battle"

In fact, this is also nothing but a blatant lie. The historian-Ibne Ishaq- writes that when the army returned, they carried with them prisoners as well and booty but the cursed Waseem Rizvi negates the possession of the booty of battle because he concentrates on nothing but six thousand women only. God knows what he intends to establish by presenting only women in his book. On the contrary, the historian-Ibne Ishaq- mentions about one thousand male prisoners and not any woman at all.

LIE NO 10

> "Muhammad had twelve thousand skilled and well equipped dacoits".

May the curse of Allah be on the liar. If the cursed Waseem Rizvi considers the Islamic army to be well equipped dacoits, who had set out exclusively for their self defense, what will he consider those hidden in the valley of Hunain with the sharp aim of an attack on the Muslim army? In fact, such hidden army is to be considered as the well equipped dacoits. But the cursed Waseem Rizvi can't focus on such hidden attackers because his eyes are enveloped by the curtain of falsehood.

Respected Readers are appealed to again go through the story of Hunain with a view to tearing apart the curtain of falsehood of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

Whose scheme is it?

Let's read one more phenomenon of the lie of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 146 that

> "Irrespective of the reason for the battle of Hunain presented by the Muslims, the genuine reason of the battle in question was nothing but a well knit plot of Muhammad to capture the women to commit gang rape with them".

The reason for the battle of Hunain described by the historian has no consideration to the sight of the cursed Waseem Rizvi. In fact, the reason for the battle of Hunain is that the army of Hawazan had already departed to attack; and the Muslim army had set out for self defence against them. But the cursed Waseem Rizvi penned down what stuck to his mind. Therefore, it is very apparent that a liar and crafty nature person can never write anything true, fair and just matter. Here, I appeal to the just minded readers to go through the whole story of the battle of Hunain and to find if any narration pertaining to the disgrace to any woman is found therein. If he had any such malign scheme, they must have executed it after their triumph in the battle. But they didn't act on it at all as they didn't cherish any such malign intention. In fact, it is a filthy thought of the cursed Waseem Rizvi which he has mentioned in his book ignoring even the historical narration of the historian.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI HIMSELF IS SICK.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 44 that

> "Muhammad is mentally sick" (I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH, I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH INFINITELY)

It is nothing but an allegation and accusation to the sacred body of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) charged by the cursed Waseem Rizvi. Such a matter is not stated by any historian at any place of any book. Neither the historian Ibne Ishaq, nor the historian Ibne Hisham nor Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad nor Tabri hasn't ever stated such a matter anyway that Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) was mentally sick (I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH) and, therefore, it is nothing but an allegation of the cursed Waseem Rizvi which does reflect that the cursed Waseem Rizvi himself is mentally sick who levels such an allegation.

A COCKTAIL STORY OF THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI

Respected Readers! Let's read one more cocktail story of the cursed Waseem Rizvi which makes anyone confounded to express the mourning on the mentality and ignorance of the cursed Waseem Rizvi. At the outset, let's consider his self fabricated story and, then, I shall present the genuine facts about it with the reference of history and Ahadith followed by conducting the post mortem of this cocktail story.

He writes in his book on Page No 77..

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

He writes in the context of.....

"Companionship with the sister of the uncle"

"The name of the elder daughter of Muhammad Sahab's uncle- Abu Talib- was Umme Haani binte Abu Talib whom people used to recognise by the name of Fakit and Hinda. This story dates back to 630 AD i. e. 8th Hijri. Subsequent to the defeat in the fight of Taif, Muhammad Sahab hid with his Companions in Ka'aba for their lives, but Muhammad Sahab stealthily entered into the house of Umme Haani. Consequently, people searched for him all around but he was then detected from the house of Umme Haani. To cover up this fact, Muhammad Sahab fabricated a story for people that I had gone to the trip of Jesulmer and Paradise as I was called by Allah. At that time, his first wife Khadija had already died. In fact, Mohammed Sahab went to her house with the purpose of committing incest with Umme Haani. He flattered her by reciting the verses of Surah Ahzaab No 33:50 to gain her companionship. This matter is available in Tirmizi, a book of Hadith which is considered to be an authentic book of Hadith. The entire Hadith is like: "Umme Haani told that the Messenger proposed me for marriage with him in that night but I pleaded for denial for that proposal from him. Thereupon, he said that I have received a decree of Allah very recently:

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> "O, Prophet! We have sanctified those wives for you whose 'Mehar' (A cash compliment given on the occasion of wedding) has already been given by you; and have sanctified the girl-slaves who are captured from the battles and have sanctified the daughters of uncle, daughters of the father's sisters, daughters of the material uncle, daughters of the material aunties and have sanctified the woman who has migrated with you and have sanctified the woman who herself dedicates to you." Having heard this decree, I got pleased and became a Muslim.

This is the cocktail story of the cursed Waseem Rizvi ridden with the blabbing and falsehood read by you.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi assembled four stories to fabricate a single cocktail story which all took place at different dates of time.

(1) A story of marriage proposal to Umme Haani.

(2) A story of a visit to Jerusalem and a trip to the Paradise i. e. A story of 'Mea'araaj' (Ascension)

(3) A story of a siege of Taif.

(4) A story of Umme Haani accepting Islam.

All these four stories took place all across in 9 years in different ADs. But the cursed Waseem Rizvi amalgamated all these 9 years stories as had taken place in a single night. Hazrat Umme Haani accepted Islam on the occasion of the conquest of Mecca which took place in 8 Hijri. The occasion of 'Mea'raaj'

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

(Ascension) of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) took place 18 months prior to migration. The conquest of Mecca happened in 8 Hijri; after which he departed for the battle of Hunain followed by a siege of Taif and then he came to Mecca. There is a huge gap of 9 years between the events of the siege of Taif and the story of 'Mea'raaj' (Ascension); but he has joined it as had occurred in a single night. There is a remarkable gap of 9 years between the events of proposal for marriage with Umme Haani and the story of 'Mea'raaj' (Ascension); but he has assembled it in a night of occurrence. In fact, he has fabricated a single story as had taken place in a single night which had, in fact, occurred all across in 9 years with the malign intention of levelling an allegation of fornication to the sacred position of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). But it would be very apparent to the readers as a consequence of a post mortem of his fabricated story that the cursed Waseem Rizvi is a master of all liars and his book is nothing but a compilation of lies. Now, I am going to present the real history and the references of Ahadith. At the outset, I am going to present a Hadith of Tirmizi.

Tirmizi, Volume Two, Page No 488, Abvaab Tafsirul Qur'an, Hadith No 1141.

"حَدَّثَنَا عَبْدُ بْنُ حُمَيْدٍ حَدَّثَنَا عُبَيْدُ اللهِ بْنُ مُوْسَى عَنْ إِسْرَائِيْلَ عَنِ السُّدِّيِّ عَنْ أَبِيْ صَالِحٍ عَنْ أُمِّ هَانِئٍ بِنْتِ أَبِيْ طَالِبٍ قَالَتْ خَطَبَنِيْ رَسُوْلُ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ فَاعْتَذَرْتُ إِلَيْهِ فَعَذَرَنِيْ ثُمَّ أَنْزَلَ اللهُ تَعَالَى إِنَّا

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

أَحْلَلْنَا لَكَ أَزْوَاجَكَ اللَّاتِيْ آتَيْتَ أُجُوْرَهُنَّ وَمَا مَلَكَتْ يَمِيْنُكَ مِمَّا أَفَاءَ اللهُ عَلَيْكَ وَبَنَاتِ عَمِّكَ وَبَنَاتِ عَمَّاتِكَ وَبَنَاتِ خَالِكَ وَبَنَاتِ خَالَاتِكَ اللَّاتِيْ هَاجَرْنَ مَعَكَ۔"

Translation: "Hazrat Umme Haani Binte Ali Taalib (Raziyallahu Anha) says that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) proposed to me for a wedding. When I presented a reason for the denial, he accepted my excuse for it. Thereupon, Allah, the Almighty, revealed this verse of the holy Quran. (Translation): O, Messenger! We have sanctified your wives for you to whom you have paid 'Mehar' (A cash compliment given on the occasion of wedding; your female-slaves who are given to you by the Lord; and the daughters of your uncle; and the daughters of the sisters of your father; and the daughters of your maternal uncle; and the daughters of your maternal aunt who had migrated with you".

Hazrat Umme Haani says that since I didn't migrate with him, I wasn't lawful for him; and I am from those who are 'Talaqa' (It means, from those who accepted Islam after the conquest of Mecca).

Respected Readers! Ibne Sa'ad describes the whole event of the marriage of Umme Haani in his book 'Tabqat' in detail.

He writes in Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad, Volume 8, and Page No 211 that...

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

"It is reported by Hisham bin Muhammad bin Saaib Qalbi who was reported by Abu Saleh who said that it is narrated by Hazrat Ibne Abbas that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) proposed to Umme Haani, the daughter of Abu Talib, through her father during the Era of Ignorance; and at the same time Hubairah bin Abi Talib also proposed for her. Finally, Hubairah married her. He said: Uncle! You married off Umme Haani with Hubairah and, consequently, you deprived me of it. Abu Talib replied: Nephew! Yes, we married her off with him; A 'Mohsin' (An obliging) settles down the scores of favour with his 'Mohsin' (An obliging). Thereafter, Umme Haani got blessed with Islam which led to the nullification of her marriage with him. Thereafter, when Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) proposed for marriage to Umme Haani, she said: I am a woman bearing children who will cause troubles to you which I can't afford. Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) said: The women of the Qureshi tribe love their small age children very much; and take care of the wealth of their husband very much".

Respected Readers! Now, you have already come to know thoroughly with regard to the proposal to Umme Haani for marriage in the light of the references of Ahadith and history. Let's read the story of 'Mea'raaj'

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

(Ascension) as to when it happened.

It is mentioned in Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad, Volume One, Page No 281 that

> "It is reported by Abu Bakar bin Abdullah bin Abi Subrah that the Messenger of Allah used to urge his Lord to let him see the Paradise and the Hell. Hence, the event of 'Mea'raaj' (Ascension) took place eighteen months prior to the migration".

Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) conquered Mecca in 8 Hijri. Assahihul Bukhari, Volume Two, Page No 625, Hadith No 1416, Kitabul Magani.

> ''حَدَّثَنِيْ مَحْمُوْدٌ أَخْبَرَنَا عَبْدُ الرَّزَّاقِ أَخْبَرَنَا مَعْمَرٌ قَالَ أَخْبَرَنِي الزُّهْرِيُّ عَنْ عُبَيْدِ اللهِ بْنِ عَبْدِاللهِ عَنْ ابْنِ عَبَّاسٍ رَضِيَ اللهُ عَنْهُمَا أَنَّ النَّبِيَّ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ خَرَجَ فِيْ رَمَضَانَ مِنَ الْمَدِيْنَةِ وَمَعَهُ عَشَرَةُ آلَافٍ وَذَلِكَ عَلَى رَأْسِ ثَمَانِ سِنِيْنَ وَنِصْفٍ مِنْ مَقْدَمِهِ الْمَدِيْنَةَ فَسَارَ هُوَ وَمَنْ مَعَهُ مِنَ الْمُسْلِمِيْنَ إِلَى مَكَّةَ۔
>
> Translation: Ubaidullah bin Abdullah reported to Hazrat Ibne Abbas (Raziyallahu Anhu) that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) departed from Madina Munavvar in the month of Ramadhan accompanying ten thousand Muslims. This journey took place after eight years and six months after the arrival of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) in Madina. Hence, he set out towards Macca accompanying

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Muslims".

Respected Readers! You have already come to know about the proposal to Umme Haani for marriage with her. Besides, you have already come to know in which AD the event of 'Mea'raaj' (Ascension) took place. You have already come to know about the AD in which the conquest of Mecca took place. Now, let's proceed ahead to read about the event of Taif.

Sahih Bukhari, Volume Two, Page No 643, Hadith No 1457, Kitabul Magani.

"حَدَّثَنَا عَلِيُّ بْنُ عَبْدِاللهِ حَدَّثَنَا سُفْيَانُ عَنْ عَمْرٍو عَنْ أَبِي الْعَبَّاسِ الشَّاعِرِ الْأَعْمَى عَنْ عَبْدِاللهِ بْنِ عُمَرَ قَالَ لَمَّا حَاصَرَ رَسُوْلُ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ الطَّائِفَ فَلَمْ يَنَلْ مِنْهُمْ شَيْئًا قَالَ إِنَّا قَافِلُوْنَ إِنْ شَاءَ اللهُ فَثَقُلَ عَلَيْهِمْ وَقَالُوْا نَذْهَبُ وَلَا نَفْتَحُهُ۔"

Translation: Hazrat Abdullah bin Umar (Raziyallahu Anhu) reported that the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) carried out the siege of Taif but since he couldn't gain any benefits, he said: We would return tomorrow, INSHA ALLAHU TA'ALA. People found such declarations very sad and said: How come we return without conquest!"

Subsequent to lifting away the siege of Taif, Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) came to the place of Jea'aranah which is thoroughly described by the historian, Ibne Ishaq. He writes on Page No 683 that

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

during the journey to Taif, he accompanied his two wives. One of them was Umme Salmah, the mother of believers. Individual tents were hoisted for both of them. Ibne Ishaq writes further that after departure from Taif, Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) stayed at the place of Jea'aranah on the way to Mecca instead of returning to Mecca".

Ibne Hisham writes with the reference of Ibne Ishaq in Sirat Ibne Hisham, Volume Two, Page No 603 that...

> "Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) proceeded for Umrah after leaving Jea'aranah. He performed Umrah in the month of Jil Qadah".

Respected Readers! Now, the entire scenario is very clear before you. Hence, now you must have recognised and concluded that the book of the cursed Waseem Rizvi is nothing but a compilation of lies and falsehood and the cursed Waseem Rizvi is a master of all liars.

Respected Readers! Now, I am going to present the cocktail story of the cursed Waseem Rizvi before you. Well before it, I am going to enumerate the lies of that story.

LIE NO 01

> "Muhammad Sahab faced the defeat in the fight of Taif".

Explanation: No confrontation took place at all in Taif; instead the boundary was sieged which was later lifted by the Messenger of Allah as you have already studied ahead.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

LIE NO 02

> "He hid in 'Ka'aba with his Companions to save his life".

Explanation: Mecca had already been conquered in 8 Hijri before the event of Taif. He was the conqueror of Mecca i. e. He was the emperor of Mecca. Hence, why should he hide in Ka'aba though being an emperor of Mecca?

LIE NO 03

> "Muhammad entered into the house of Umme Haani stealthily".

Explanation: The event of Taif took place in 8 Hijri. He was wearing Ehram. He accompanied his two wives. He performed Umrah. Then, how come it was possible to enter into the house of Umme Haani stealthily.

LIE NO 04

> "He declared to the people that he had gone on the trips to Jerusalem and Paradise"

Explanation: The event of Taif took place in 8 Hijri; whereas the event of 'Mea'raaj' (Ascension) i.e. his trip to Paradise had taken place 18 months before migration. Therefore, there is a notable gap of time of 9 years. Hence, how come both took place at the same time? In fact, this is a self fabricated story but the references available in and quoted from the Ahadith as well as history have set out the coffin of the whole story and its fabricator. Such references and evidence are so invincible that the pant and 'Dhoti' (An unstitched cloth

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

wrapped around the lower part of the body) of the cursed Waseem Rizvi will get wet if he tries to negate these references and evidence.

THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI HAS SET TWO FEET IN TWO BOATS.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes the story of 'Mea'raaj' (Ascension) of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) in a compressed form in his book running into 15 pages from page No 114 to 126 under the heading of "The journey of Muhammad towards Paradise". He has unnecessarily consumed and blacked 15 pages merely for his worthless blabbing.

He has quoted the references of Sirat Ibne Ishaq, Bukhari and Muslim to write the story of 'Mea'raaj' (Ascension). He writes on Page No 114 of his book that

> "Abu Bakar requested Muhammad to narrate the story of Jerusalem to him with the purpose of testing the veracity of the claims of Muhammad. When it was narrated to him, Abu Bakar said: It is the truth, I have examined that you are a Messenger of Allah". He writes further that "It is not clear whether Abu Bakar had ever seen Jerusalem".

Readers! Beware! The crafty writer has omitted the genuine text. It is mentioned on Page No 441 of Sirat Ibne Hisham with the reference of Sirat Ibne Ishaq that

> "Hazrat Siddiqe Akbar (Raziyallahu Anhu) said: O, Messenger of Allah! Did you reveal to the people that you had travelled to 'Baitul

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> Muqaddas' last night? He replied: Yes. Thereupon, Abu Bakar said: O, Prophet of Allah! Reveal me some of the features of that place because I had already visited that place".

Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) said: FARFAALI.... It was presented before me in a way that I began to witness it. Then, Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) began to reveal the features of that place to Hazrat Abu Bakar. Abu Bakar listened to it and reacted that: You have said the truth, I bear witness that you are the Messenger of Allah. Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) said to Abu Bakar: ANT YA ABA....O, Abu Bakar! You are Siddiq and from that day onward his nickname was Siddiq.

Respected Readers! The crafty and cursed Waseem Rizvi abandons the immediately next text of same references which he has quoted in his book in order that the people may not consider the event of 'Mea'raaj' (Ascension) as a true event. You have read it with the reference of Ibne Ishaq that Hazrat Siddiqe Akbar (Raziyallahu Anhu) himself said: I had already visited it. After listening to the features of Baitul Muqaddas, Hazrat Abu Bakar said that: O, Messenger of Allah! You have revealed all the features of that place very truly. Here, I have quoted the reference from the same place from where the cursed Waseem Rizvi has picked it up. In fact, he skipped the immediately next text to meet his malign intention.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 120

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> "It is a fact that today the religion exists radically on the crutch of science. The religious personalities, who blare about the spiritual or gods' miracles day and night, propagate their religions exclusively due to science itself; yet they project the religions superior to science".

The cursed Waseem Rizvi ought to know that very short time has elapsed since science has come into being. In fact, it's a matter of two to four hundred years of age whereas religions exist on the earth for thousands of years of time. Its preachers have preached for it for hundreds of thousands of years. The religious heads of all religions, be it the Hindus or Muslims or Christians or Jews, used to preach their respective religions even well prior to science came into existence. Science didn't exist at that time at all. Hence, how come it was possible that the religion survived on the crutch of science though science didn't come into existence at all at that time. Of course, we can definitely conclude that the cursed Waseem Rizvi has written all these baseless matters on the crutch of his personal ignorance.

We would like to raise a question to the cursed Waseem Rizvi if science existed during the era of Isa Massiah. Did science exist during the era of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him)? Did science exist during the era of Ram? Did it exist during the era of Ashok? No; Not at all. Yet, religions used to exist even during their eras of all those religious personalities also. In fact, the cursed Waseem Rizvi is unconscious about history. Therefore, how can he mention something about it? If

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

he were actually aware of it, he must not have stated such stupid matters.

MOSQUE, TEMPLE, CHURCH

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 120 that

> "If you look at the structures of the temples, mosques and churches all across the world, you would find nothing but science as there isn't any role of religion at all."

Alas! We condemn the psychology of the cursed Waseem Rizvi. If no religion has to play any role on the spiritual spirits of the temples, mosques and churches, then what had played a role on the structures and spiritual spirits of those places of worship at a time when science didn't exist at all?

The cursed Waseem Rizvi ought to know that

mere mass of cement, iron and stones doesn't constitute a structure to be a temple or a mosque or a church at all. Instead, a structure is known as a temple or a mosque or a church due to the religious roles being played in them; otherwise even a shopping mall and cinema theatre are also constructed by the bricks, stones, irons but we can't term them as temple or mosque or church at all. Hence, it is a final conclusion that a structure can be termed as a temple or a mosque or a church only if there prevails the religious roles and spirits also apart from its structure of construction.

NOT FOR GOD

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

120 that

> "Electricity, water, AC, fans, lights in these religious buildings is a boon of nothing but science".

Of course, all these are the boons; but these boons are meant for those coming in the temples and not for the gods. They are meant for those coming in the mosques and not for the Lord. They are meant for those coming in the churches and not for Isa Massiah. But this fact is beyond any cognitive capacity of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in the story of 'Mea'raaj' (Ascension) on Page No 123 that

> "An ambassador of the God took him to a sacred place and then inserted 'Imaan' (Believing in Allah) after splitting up his chest. If he had already possessed 'Imaan' in him, why did the ambassador need to do so?"

Respected Readers! At the outset, I am going to present the reference about it to you.

Sahih Bukhari, Volume One, Page No 215, Kitabussalaat, Hadith No 339

"حَدَّثَنَا يَحْيَى بْنُ بُكَيْرٍ قَالَ حَدَّثَنَا اللَّيْثُ عَنْ يُونُسَ عَنِ ابْنِ شِهَابٍ عَنْ أَنَسِ بْنِ مَالِكٍ قَالَ كَانَ أَبُو ذَرٍّ يُحَدِّثُ أَنَّ رَسُولَ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ قَالَ فُرِجَ عَنْ سَقْفِ بَيْتِي وَأَنَا بِمَكَّةَ فَنَزَلَ جِبْرِيلُ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

وَسَلَّمَ فَفَرَجَ صَدْرِیْ ثُمَّ غَسَلَهُ بِمَاءِ زَمْزَمَ ثُمَّ جَاءَ بِطَسْتٍ مِنْ ذَهَبٍ مُمْتَلِءٍ حِكْمَةً وَإِيْمَانًا فَأَفْرَغَهُ فِيْ صَدْرِیْ۔

Translation: Hazrat Anas (Raziyallahu Anhu) narrates that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) said: I was in Mecca, the roof of my house got split up; then Hazrat Jibraeel descended, he tore my heart and washed it with the waste of Zam Zam; and then poured Imaan and Prudence into my heart from the golden plate".

DISHONESTY OF THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI

The dishonesty of the cursed Waseem Rizvi is very astonishing. He writes the word 'Imaan' and deliberately omits the word 'Hikmat' (Prudence) from the same sentence and context. He raises an objection that 'Imaan' had already been there, why was it needed to again instil the same? In fact, the insight of the cursed Waseem Rizvi is drastically poor and unfit. If something more is supplemented with something already existing doesn't nullify the original existence of something. Hence, to instil 'Imaan' and 'Hikmat' (Prudence) into the heart doesn't mandatorily mean that he had no 'Imaan' and 'Hikmat' (Prudence) from the beginning itself. In fact, he did possess 'Imaan' and 'Hikmat' (Prudence) earlier also; and the same was supplemented further subsequently. The event of tearing his heart had occurred many times with Prophet Muhammad (Peace &

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

blessings be on him).

Sirat Ibne Hisham, Volume One, Page No 186.

> Ibne Ishaq said that Saur bin Yazid narrated it from some of the learned persons; and I think that this narration is from Khalid bin Ma'adaan Alqalaai that some of the Companions of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) asked him: O, Messenger of Allah! Narrate some of your happenings. So, he said:
>
> "واسترضعت فی بنی سعد بن بکر فبینًا انا مع اخ لی خلف بیوتنا نرعی بهالنا اذا تانی رجلان علیهما ثیاب بیض بطست من ذهب مملوءة ثلجا ثم اخذانی فشقا بطنی۔"
>
> Translation: Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) said: I got fostered out of drinking the milk in the tribe of Bani Sa'ad bin Bakar. I accompanied a brother-in-law behind our houses; while we were grazing the goats; two fellows clad in white cloths came to me carrying a golden plate filled with ice. They gripped me and tore my stomach".

In fact, any quantum of mourning on the mentality of the cursed Waseem Rizvi never suffices at all. If it was intended just to instil the 'Imaan', it would have been sufficient just for once. Why should the heart have been torn apart very often to instill the 'Imaan' and 'Hikmat' (Prudence)? Here, it doesn't imply that 'Imaan' and

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

'Hikmat' (Prudence) didn't exist in the beginning; instead it implies that it was added up further. For instance, if someone says that I have become the owner of this house, does it mean that he had been without any house in the beginning? No, it never means so at all. In fact, it implies that he had had a house earlier also. He had had a house earlier and now he has bought one more house of which he has become its owner. It implies that his house has been supplemented. Hence, it never means that he had been bereft of any house earlier. But the cursed Waseem Rizvi is incapable to comprehend this matter because he has been wearing the glasses of craftiness and dishonesty.

MIND OF THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI NEEDS TO BE SETTLED DOWN PROPERLY.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 124 that

> "Religion or 'Imaan', virtue or evil is nothing but a brainchild of mankind; which is governed by the facts and conditions as to how he/she was taught the cultures in childhood or he/she was fostered. Similarly, 'Imaan' is a phenomenon being in the mind and not in the heart. But didn't the all-knowing God at least know that the 'Imaan' is located in the mind and not in the heart; which he should have taught to his ambassador?"

It means that the cursed Waseem Rizvi wants to say that

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> "Allah, the Almighty, should have ordered Jibraeel to instil the 'Imaan' in the mind and not to instil in the heart because 'Imaan' is found in the mind and not in the heart".

Respected Readers! In fact, the volume of reading and learning of the cursed Waseem Rizvi is no more than the dimension of a palm of a hand. There are three things, one is heart, the other is chest and the third is talent. The location of heart is in the chest and the talent is also in the heart itself.

Let's consider the prevalent way and words of conversations of the people in society. For instance, if someone loves someone and if he/she wants to assure him/her for it, he/she says that I love you by heart. On the contrary, he/she doesn't say that I love you by my mind. Besides, if someone respects someone he/she says that you are senior to us, I respect you from the core of my heart. On the contrary, nobody says that I respect you from the core of my mind.

The phenomenon of affection and attachment to someone also deals with the heart. For instance, if a servant wants to assure his master for his dedicated duty and service, he says that I shall serve you very dedicatedly and heartily; instead, he doesn't say that I shall serve you by my mind. Correspondingly, goodness and badness, 'Imaan' and convictions also have a direct dealing with the heart and not with the mind at all. Furthermore, when someone in the society disseminates hatred, people say that his heart is flooded with hatred; instead it is not said by the people that his mind is flooded with hatred. Furthermore, when two fellows

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

embrace each other, they say that our hearts also should be attached with physical hugging. On the contrary, nobody in society uses the words that our minds should also be attached apart from hugging. Hence, there are numberless illustrations in our usual way of conversations in society; which flagrantly reflect that goodness and badness deals with the heart and not with the mind at all. But, the cursed Waseem Rizvi can't decipher it as he possesses neither a heart nor a mind that disseminates love and affection in society.

THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI IS UNCONSCIOUS BY MIND and HEART

Respected Readers! Here, I am going to present a little discourse related to the heart and mind so that the heart and mind of the cursed Waseem Rizvi can be set right. "Qalb" which is otherwise known as heart has its location in the breasts.

It's mentioned in the holy Qur'an in Surah No 22, Verse No 46 that

فانها لا تعمى الابصار ولكن تعمى القلوب التى فى الصدور۔

> Translation: "For indeed it is not the eyes that are blinded; it is rather the hearts in the breasts that are rendered blind"

The holy Qur'an shows that the placement of the heart is in the breasts. It is mentioned in Musnade Ahmed that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) said: While saying that 'Taqwa'

(God-consciousness) lies here; he pointed it towards the heart. It is stated in the holy Qur'an FATAKUN LAHUM

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

(Surah No 22, Verse No 46)

> Translation: "They have the hearts by which to reason"

The holy Qur'an says: LAHUM QULUB... (Surah A'araaf, Verse 179)

> Translation: "They have hearts with which they fail to understand".

Hence, the holy Qur'an has clearly mentioned that 'Imaan' lies in the heart and not in the mind.

The holy Qur'an says:

وقولوا اسلمنا ولما یدخل الایمان فی قلوبکم۔

(Surah Al-Hujraat, Verse No 14)

> Translation: "And say, 'We have submitted,' for faith has not yet entered your hearts".

The devil whispers in the heart of a man.

The holy Qur'an says: AL LADHI YUVASVISU. (Surah Naas No 114, Verse No 5).

> Translation: "Who whispers into the hearts of humankind".

The temptations of humankind and heart are also in the heart. The soul lies centrally in the heart of humankind; and not in the mind. The physical existence of humankind also directly concerns with the movement of the heart. If the heart is working, a man is alive; and if it ceases to function, a man dies. Hence, it is never possible that a man remains alive though his heart is extracted from the breast. The passions of humankind

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

lie in the heart. As an eye functions to sight something and an ear functions to listen to something, similarly a heart functions for affection and hatred, it functions for goodness and evils. A man is good or bad by heart.

Imam Baihaki writes in Shoa'bul Imaan that:

"فی الانسان مغضة اذا صلحت صلح له سائر جسده واذا سقمت سقم له سائر جسده وهی القلب۔"

Translation: Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) said: There is a piece of flesh in the body, if that flesh is edified, then all the parts of body will get edified by itself; and if it is wicked, then the evils are generated through all parts of body: and that part is a heart".

A mind functions for devilish thoughts; whereas nothing but heart functions for intellectual, moral and ethical thoughts. For instance, even if you drink milk to a snake for years altogether, it would definitely sting you as and when it would find an opportunity to bite because its way of thinking is devilish. Similarly, even if you feed and entertain a lion, it would definitely attack a man as and when it would find an opportunity to attack. On the contrary, humankind has both the elements. If a man thinks devilish by his mind, he would turn out to be more cruel than a devil; on the contrary if he thinks intellectually and morally by heart, he establishes a landmark achievement fertile for the community and society.

Respected Readers! I think, now this point of perception

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

must have got fixed in the mind of the cursed Waseem Rizvi that the 'Imaan' lies in the heart and not in the mind at all. After narrating the event of 'Mea'raaj'

(Ascension) in detail in his book, the cursed Waseem Rizvi writes that those who had professed Islam prior to the event of 'Mea'raaj' (Ascension) turned Apostate. In fact, he wants to assert his point that they turned Apostate even subsequent to accepting Islam after the event of 'Mea'raaj

(Ascension).

WHO HAS TURNED APOSTATE?

He writes in his book on Page No 113 that

> "Ibne Sa'ad says that after listening to this event, those who accompanied Muhammad and accepted Islam, majority of them turned Apostate and, consequently, abandoned Islam".

In fact, the cursed Waseem Rizvi ought to know that this event is narrated in Sirat Ibne Hisham also. It is mentioned in Sirat Ibne Hisham, Volume One, and Page No 441 that:

> "Due to it many, who had accepted Islam, turned Apostate".

In fact, the cursed Waseem Rizvi wants to press upon his point that they turned Apostate even after accepting Islam. Let me point out to the cursed Waseem Rizvi that it is not a matter of any surprise at all. Let it be known that the 'Ummat' (Nations) of the previous Apostles (May peace be on them) also adopted the path of

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Apostasy. Even during and after the era of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) also people turned Apostate; and even in the contemporary time also people have been turning to be Apostate. The current and live illustration of Apostasy is the cursed Waseem Rizvi himself as he has turned Apostate at an extreme time of his life when one of his legs has already reached the grave. However, I think it is not fair and fit to use the word 'Grave' for him; instead I should say that one of his legs has already reached 'Pyre' when he has turned Apostate. Hence, the cursed Waseem Rizvi should not express any astonishment on others turning to be the Apostates and he should not feel pained in his belly while he himself has already turned Apostate.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi ought to know that as he has failed to understand the facts and truth of Islam, similarly there are and were numerous persons in the world who fail to understand the truth of Islam and, consequently, turned to be the Apostates.

BEFORE WHOM WAS THE RAPE COMMITTED?

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 145 with the reference of Abu Dawood under the chapter of defloration in front of a husband.

> "Saeed Al Khudri reported that subsequent to the attack on the tribe of Autas in Hunain by the Messenger leading to their defeat, they held their women captive; and then the Messenger instructed his Companions to commit the rape with the women held in that

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

battle. But some of the Companions were hesitating to commit the rape in front of their husbands. Therefore, the Messenger soon read out to them the verse of the holy Quran of Surah Nisa 4: 24; in which it is stated that you can't have sexual intercourse with the women held captive, if they are in the monthly menstruation period".

The cursed Waseem Rizvi supplements one more lie to write as follows.

> "Saeed Khudri reported that you can have sexual intercourse with the women held captive of your equivalent age."

Respected Readers! Here I am going to present the Hadith of Abu Dawood of which he has quoted the reference so that you can judge by yourself as to how many matters are self fabricated by him in that Hadith. If you compare both the Hadith, numerous false matters will be apparent to you.

Abu Dawood, Volume Two, Page No 148, Kitabun Nikah, Hadith No 388

"حَدَّثَنَا عُبَيْدُاللهِ بْنُ عُمَرَ بْنِ مَيْسَرَةَ، حَدَّثَنَا يَزِيْدُ بْنُ زُرَيْعٍ، حَدَّثَنَا سَعِيْدٌ، عَنْ قَتَادَةَ، عَنْ صَالِحٍ أَبِي الْخَلِيْلِ، عَنْ أَبِيْ عَلْقَمَةَ الْهَاشِمِيِّ، عَنْ أَبِيْ سَعِيْدٍ الْخُدْرِيِّ، أَنَّ رَسُوْلَ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ بَعَثَ يَوْمَ حُنَيْنٍ بَعْثًا إِلَى أَوْطَاسَ، فَلَقُوْا عَدُوَّهُمْ فَقَاتَلُوْهُمْ فَظَهَرُوْا عَلَيْهِمْ وَأَصَابُوْا لَهُمْ سَبَايَا، فَكَأَنَّ أُنَاسًا مِنْ أَصْحَابِ رَسُوْلِ اللهِ

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ تَحَرَّجُوْا مِنْ غِشْيَانِهِنَّ مِنْ أَجْلِ أَزْوَاجِهِنَّ مِنَ الْمُشْرِكِيْنَ، فَأَنْزَلَ اللهُ تَعَالَى فِيْ ذَلِكَ وَالْمُحْصَنَاتُ مِنَ النِّسَاءِ إِلَّا مَا مَلَكَتْ أَيْمَانُكُمْ۔"

Translation: Hazrat Abu Saeed Khudri (Raziyallahu Anhu) narrates that the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) dispatched a batch of army to Autas on the day of battle of Hunain. They confronted against the enemies. They fought against them and, consequently, they dominated over them; and they brought some of their women as captives. Some of the Companions restrained themselves from committing sexual intercourse because they had already been married and their husbands were idolaters. So, Allah, the Almighty, revealed the following verse of the holy Quran at that time as follows.

(Translation) "Married women are forbidden for you except female captives i.e. they are lawful for you after the completion of 'Iddat' time (A period of seclusion)".

Respected Readers! Let's read one more Hadith related to the same context from Sahih Muslim.

Sahih Muslim, Volume Two, Page No 249, Kitaburraja'a, Hadith No 3594.

"وحَدَّثَنِيْهِ يَحْيَى بْنُ حَبِيْبٍ الْحَارِثِيُّ حَدَّثَنَا خَالِدُ بْنُ الْحَارِثِ حَدَّثَنَا شُعْبَةُ عَنْ قَتَادَةَ عَنْ أَبِي الْخَلِيْلِ عَنْ أَبِيْ

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

سَعِيْدٍ قَالَ أَصَابُوْا سَبْيًا يَوْمَ أَوْطَاسَ لَهُنَّ أَزْوَاجٌ فَتَخَوَّفُوْا فَأُنْزِلَتْ هَذِهِ الْآيَةُ وَالْمُحْصَنَاتُ مِنَ النِّسَاءِ إِلَّا مَا مَلَكَتْ أَيْمَانُكُمْ۔"

Translation: Hazrat Abu Saeed Khudri (Raziyallahu Anhu) narrates that Muslims held some of the women as captives in the battle of Autas; who were already married. So, the Companions feared for sexual intercourse with them. Hence, this verse of the holy Quran was revealed at that time. "Married women are forbidden for you except the female captives".

HABIT OF INTERPOLATION

Respected Readers! You have already gone through the Hadith mentioned by the cursed Waseem Rizvi. I have also produced the same Hadith of Abu Dawood before you. Besides, I have presented before you one more Hadith of Sahih Muslim also related to it.

Now, you can judge that the cursed Waseem Rizvi has interpolated many false and baseless things of his own. Now, I am going to enumerate his false matters as below.

LIE NO 01

"Messenger attacked the tribe of Autas of Hunain.

Explanation: Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) didn't attack them; instead he despatched the Companions for an attack. He didn't participate in it.

LIE NO 02

"Messenger ordered his Companions to

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> commit the defloration of the women held captives".

Explanation: Respected Readers! You have read the Hadith given above. The Messenger of Allah didn't pass such an order at all nor did he tell anything like it. In fact, the cursed Waseem Rizvi himself fabricated such matter in it.

LIE NO 03

> "They were hesitating to commit it in front of the husbands of the women".

Explanation: May the curse be on the liar; he writes it in such a way that their husbands were standing there and this cursed Waseem Rizvi also was present there and it is as if he had witnessed everything by his naked eyes. ASTAGFIRULLAH (I SEEK FORGIVENESS IN ALL). It is mentioned in Hadith that they were married. But the dull-minded cursed Waseem Rizvi thinks that being married implies that their husbands were present there and, therefore, he has very filthily entitled the chapter as "Defloration in front of their husbands".

Whereas he intended to speak such a huge lie and to portrait himself to be a master of liars, he should entitle the chapter as "Defloration in front of me" as it would have added one more lie in the bundles of lies. Hence, from so many lies, it is proved that his inborn psychological system is fitted with nothing but lies and no truth at all.

Readers! You have already studied that till now hundreds of lies have already been proved.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

LIE NO 04

> "You can commit the sexual intercourse with the women of your equivalent ages held captives".

Explanation: Respected Readers! You have already studied both the Ahadith of Abu Dawood as well as Sahih Muslim. It's not mentioned in any of the Hadith that you can commit the sexual intercourse with the women of your equivalent ages. (I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH; I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH INFINITELY).

It's a natural fact that when a person always speaks the lies which make him addicted to lies, he can never speak anything and write anything from his pen but the lies.

The same is the pathetic state of the cursed Waseem Rizvi. The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 78 under the heading of "Companionship with the daughter-in-law" that:

> "Zaid got married. His wife's name was "Jainab Bint Jahash". She was phenomenally beautiful with fair complexion. Therefore, Muhammad Sahab cast the lascivious sight on her. He declared that from now onwards my adopted son will not be addressed with my name; instead he should be addressed with the name of his real father. He imposed the Surah 33:5 also of the Qur'an to substantiate his declaration. Muhammad Sahab once again exploited Quran in order to gain Zainab".

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

THE REAL FACE OF THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI

Respected Readers! Such was the baseless blabbing of the cursed Waseem Rizvi that has been described here above. Here, I am going to present the discourse in detail in the light of the holy Qur'an as well as Hadith so that the real face of the cursed Waseem Rizvi can be exposed to you by removing the mask from his face. Let it be kindly known that prior to the marriage of Hazrat Jainab Binte Jahash with Jaid, she was a widow as her husband had died. Then, Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) married her with his adopted son Jaid. But Jainab Binte Jahash was not pleased with that marriage since she belonged to an elite tribe of Quraish whereas Jaid was a freed slave; and it was a humiliating practice at that particular time of era to marry with such a mis-matching pair of status. Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) wanted to marry Jaid with Jainab Binte Jahash in order to establish the equity by eradicating the inequality in the society. Hence, Jainab got pleased and ready on his exhortation. It was the third marriage of Jainab with Jaid. Their personal married life between each other was not pleasant. Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) persuaded Jaid not to divorce her when he wanted to do so as is mentioned in Surah Ahzab of the holy Quran verse No 37 that:

> "And [remember, O Muḥammad], when you said to the one on whom Allāh bestowed favour and you bestowed favour, Keep your wife and fear Allāh".

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad describes the event of marriage of Jainab Binte Jahash in detail.

Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad, Volume 8, Page No 135.

> "It is informed by Muhammad bin Umar, Hadith was narrated by Umar bin Usman Jahshi who narrates it from Usman that when Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) came to Madina, Hazrat Jainab also migrated with him to Madina. The Messenger of Allah proposed marriage for Jaid bin Harisah with her. Jainab replied: O, Messenger of Allah! I don't like him; and I am a widow of Quraish. He said: I prefer him for you; and then he married her with Jaid".

The Islamic Scholar, Dr. Rafiq Zakariya writes in his book "Muhammad and Qur'an" which is authored by him as a refutal of the book 'Saitanic Verses' written by the cursed Rushdie. He writes on Page No 114 that:

"The age of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) was 38 years when he married Hazrat Jainab. The allegation levelled against him that the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) was responsible for the divorce is based on an absolutely baseless narration. Hence, it is very difficult to find more heinous illustrations of untruthfulness than that one. Jainab was not a stranger woman for Hazrat Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him); who was a daughter of the sister of his father whom he knew very well since childhood. Since Hazrat Jainab became a widow, he desired the settlement of her life subsequently. If he had been

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

influenced by her beauty and glory, he himself could have married her instead of marrying Hazrat Jaid with her. Hazrat Jainab always used to deplore that she had been married with a slave because she used to consider her husband below her standards behaving with him accordingly. Hazrat Jaid lodged complaints to Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) against her humiliating behaviour with him many times. But, Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) always used to exhort him for keeping patience".

Respected Readers! From the above stated references, now it is very flagrant that all the matters mentioned by the cursed Waseem Rizvi are fake and false. Let's recognise his falsehood as below.

LIE NO 01

"Sexual intercourse with the daughter in law"

Explanation: Once Jaid divorced her, how could she stand to be a daughter in law at all? Every religion is governed by its individual customs, traditions& laws. According to the Islamic law, the wife of an adopted son is not unlawful like the wife of one's biological son. The wife of one's biological son is always unlawful as is mentioned in Surah Nisa, Verse No 23 VA ABNA AKUM.....

Translation: And the wives of your own sons.

Now, it is very clear that according to the Islamic law there does exist the clear difference between the wife of an adopted son and the wife of one's own son. Hence, as per the Islamic laws, the adopted son doesn't have

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

any share in the inherited properties. This is a limitation in the Islamic laws as there are the limitations in other religions also.

WHAT IS NIYOGA?

Dr. Muhammad Ahmed Naeemi writes in his book "The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions" on Page No 594 of Volume Two that:

> "Similarly, it is taught in Rugved at a place that if a husband is infertile, he should permit his wife to have sexual intercourse with another husband. This custom is called "Niyog".

Dayanand Saraswati translates a Mantra (A Sanskrit Verse) in Satya Prakash as follows.

> "O, Fortunate woman! O woman, expecting the good fortune! You expect another husband other than me".

Dr. Muhammad Ahmed Naeemi writes in his book "The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions" on Page No 595 of Volume Two that

> "In Hindu religion and culture, a woman can have more than one husband at a time. The first ever illustration of it is Queen Dropadi in Mahabharat who was a co-wife of five Pandavas brothers".

Therefore, Mahabharat writes in 'Aadi Parva' (The book of the beginning) that.

As soon as the mighty Pandavas looked at Dropadi, the

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

deity of love rendered them stupefied and, consequently, imposed its influence on them. The god created the beauty of Dropadi far more excelling than that of other women which fascinated the heart of every living being. The superior creature in the species of mankind being a son of Kuty-Yudhistgir- could decipher the internal motive of his brothers as a consequence of considering their manoeuvre; and simultaneously he could recall all the matters said by Vyas Rusi. Suspecting the mutual enmity among the brothers, Raja Yudhishthir addressed to all the brothers that Dropadi, who is endowed with the excellent attributes, is a wife of all of us".

Respected Readers! Let it be very well clear that we don't intend to criticize the customs and traditions of any religion by producing the above mentioned references; instead we solemnly intend to reflect that each and every religion has its own individual customs and traditions. But the cursed Waseem Rizvi's study is so confined that he doesn't know all these facts at all.

THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI LOST HIS COGNITION

Here, I am going to enumerate the lies of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

LIE NO 01

"Muhammad Sahab cast the lascivious eyes".

Explanation: The cursed Waseem Rizvi ought to know that the age of Hazrat Jainab was 38 years when Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him)

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

married her. Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) knew Hazrat Jainab since childhood because she was a daughter of the sister of his father. Hence, any magnitude of the mourning on the school of thoughts of the cursed Waseem Rizvi doesn't suffice at all; as it is very surprising that the Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) didn't find his intention vitiated at a time when Hazrat Jainab was young enough; instead he got it vitiated when her phase of youth declined at the age of 38 years (I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH). Will any cognitive mind of any person accept such a paradoxical matter? If the cursed Waseem Rizvi possessed any level of cognition, he must not have blabbed that way nonsense.

LIE NO 02

> "Muhammad Sahab exploited the Qur'an in order to gain Zainab".

Explanation: Respected Readers! Now, it is very obvious to everyone that the cursed Waseem Rizvi is a very monumental liar. The verse of the holy Quran that you have recited indicates that: "Keep your wife in wedlock". In fact, the verse of the holy Qur'an substantiates that the Prophet restrained Jaid from divorcing. On the contrary, the cursed Waseem Rizvi writes that he exploited the holy Quran. The cursed Waseem Rizvi mentions Verse 05 of Surah Ahzaab. So, I am going to present its interpretation to you hereunder.

It is mentioned in Tafsire Khazin that: "It is forbidden to consider the adopted son as a son of the adopter". It is ordained in this Verse that you should address the

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

children referring them to their biological father. It is more equitable in the Sight of Allah, the Almighty. But if you don't know their true fathers due to which you can't refer them to their true fathers, then they are your brethren in faith and allies. Don't call them as the son of the adopter. You will not be punished for calling the adopted son as the son of the adopter ignorantly before the revelation of the commandment of prohibition.

It is allowed in the Islamic law to adopt a son. But mind it very well that the adopter can't use his name in day to day conversation as well as in the documentation as his real father's name; instead he must use the real father's name itself.

WHAT IS D.N.A?

Even medically also the biological father is accepted. DNA (Deoxyribonucleic Acid) Test is conducted to ascertain the biological relation with someone; which is known as inherited unit which transmits a particular or many features of the parents to a child. Let it be known that this inherited unit is procured after years of molecules.

Every man and woman has his/her own distinctive DNA. Every man and woman procures 50% of the DNA proportion from his/her mother and the rest 50% from his/her father. DNA helps determine the real parents of anyone.

The holy Quran dictates that an adopted son should be addressed with his/her real father; and DNA also determines the real father of someone. Any DNA Test will depict his/her real father and not the name of the

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

adopter. It's a fact that irrespective of the person who fosters a child, he would be his/her real father through whose semen he/she is born. Therefore, Islam associates the name of the real father for the adopted son; and decrees to relate the adopted son with the real father himself.

I think, now it must have got crystallized to the cursed Waseem Rizvi who is the real father of someone and what is the commandment pertaining to the adopted boy.

THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI IS INCLINED TO SEX EDUCATION.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 84 under the heading of "Aaisha imparts sex education to the female Companions" that "The wife of the Messenger-Aaisha- told that a man went to the Messenger and informed him that when I have sexual intercourse with my wife, it doesn't get ejaculated. So, is it mandatory to get a bath even after such a type of sexual intercourse? Aaisha was also present there when he asked. The Messenger replied that whenever I and the mother of believers (Aaisha) have sex intercourse, we do get a bath".

The cursed Waseem Rizvi mentions one more Hadith apart from what is mentioned above. Now, I am going to present both the Hadith with its original text followed by exposure of the craftiness of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

Sahih Muslim, Volume One, Page No 292, Kitabul Haiz, Hadith No 784

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

"حَدَّثَنَا هَارُوْنُ بْنُ مَعْرُوْفٍ وَهَارُوْنُ بْنُ سَعِيْدٍ الْأَيْلِيُّ قَالَا حَدَّثَنَا ابْنُ وَهْبٍ أَخْبَرَنِيْ عِيَاضُ بْنُ عَبْدِاللهِ عَنْ أَبِي الزُّبَيْرِ عَنْ جَابِرِ بْنِ عَبْدِاللهِ عَنْ أُمِّ كُلْثُوْمٍ عَنْ عَائِشَةَ زَوْجِ النَّبِيِّ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ قَالَتْ إِنَّ رَجُلًا سَأَلَ رَسُوْلَ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ عَنِ الرَّجُلِ يُجَامِعُ أَهْلَهُ ثُمَّ يُكْسِلُ هَلْ عَلَيْهِمَا الْغُسْلُ وَعَائِشَةُ جَالِسَةٌ فَقَالَ رَسُوْلُ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ إِنِّيْ لَأَفْعَلُ ذٰلِكَ أَنَا وَهٰذِهِ ثُمَّ نَغْتَسِلُ۔"

Translation: Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha) says that a man asked the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him): If a man separates himself from his wife after having sexual intercourse but before the ejaculation, is a bath mandatory on him? The Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) pointed towards Hazrat Aaisha and said: I and she do like it and then we get a bath".

Let's read the second Hadith also.

Sahih Muslim, Volume One, Page No 292, Kitabul Haiz, Hadith No 783

"حَدَّثَنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ الْمُثَنّٰى حَدَّثَنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ عَبْدِاللهِ الْأَنْصَارِيُّ حَدَّثَنَا هِشَامُ بْنُ حَسَّانَ حَدَّثَنَا حُمَيْدُ بْنُ هِلَالٍ عَنْ أَبِيْ بُرْدَةَ عَنْ أَبِيْ مُوْسَى الْأَشْعَرِيِّ ح وَحَدَّثَنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ الْمُثَنّٰى حَدَّثَنَا عَبْدُ الْأَعْلٰى وَهٰذَا حَدِيْثُهُ

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

حَدَّثَنَا هِشَامٌ عَنْ حُمَيْدِ بْنِ هِلَالٍ قَالَ وَلَا أَعْلَمُهُ إِلَّا عَنْ أَبِي بُرْدَةَ عَنْ أَبِي مُوسَى قَالَ اخْتَلَفَ فِي ذَلِكَ رَهْطٌ مِنَ الْمُهَاجِرِيْنَ وَالْأَنْصَارِ فَقَالَ الْأَنْصَارِيُّوْنَ لَا يَجِبُ الْغُسْلُ إِلَّا مِنَ الدَّفْقِ أَوْ مِنَ الْمَاءِ وَقَالَ الْمُهَاجِرُوْنَ بَلْ إِذَا خَالَطَ فَقَدْ وَجَبَ الْغُسْلُ قَالَ قَالَ أَبُوْ مُوْسَى فَأَنَا أَشْفِيْكُمْ مِنْ ذَلِكَ فَقُمْتُ فَاسْتَأْذَنْتُ عَلَى عَائِشَةَ فَأُذِنَ لِيْ فَقُلْتُ لَهَا يَا أُمَّاهْ أَوْ يَا أُمَّ الْمُؤْمِنِيْنَ إِنِّيْ أُرِيْدُ أَنْ أَسْأَلَكِ عَنْ شَيْءٍ وَإِنِّيْ أَسْتَحْيِيْكِ فَقَالَتْ لَا تَسْتَحْيِيْ أَنْ تَسْأَلَنِيْ عَمَّا كُنْتَ سَائِلًا عَنْهُ أُمَّكَ الَّتِيْ وَلَدَتْكَ فَإِنَّمَا أَنَا أُمُّكَ قُلْتُ فَمَا يُوْجِبُ الْغُسْلَ قَالَتْ عَلَى الْخَبِيْرِ سَقَطْتَ قَالَ رَسُوْلُ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ إِذَا جَلَسَ بَيْنَ شُعَبِهَا الْأَرْبَعِ وَمَسَّ الْخِتَانُ الْخِتَانَ فَقَدْ وَجَبَ الْغُسْلُ"

Translation: Hazrat Abu Musa Ashari narrates that once 'Muhajirin' (Migrants) and 'Ansar' (Helpers) happened to have a difference of opinion that whether or not getting a bath is mandatory without any ejaculation. The 'Ansari Sahaba' (The Helpers Companions) opined that bathing is mandatory only on ejaculation; whereas the 'Muhajirin' (Migrants) opined that bathing is mandatory only out of sexual intercourse. Hazrat Abu Musa said: "I told them that I'll get you convinced very soon. I left from there and approached Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha). I sought her permission

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> to ask something. Upon the grant of permission, I asked: O, My mother and the mother of all believers! I want to get a 'Masalaa' (Islamic Ruling) resolved through you but I feel a shame for it. Hazrat Aaisha replied: I am like your real mother. Don't feel ashamed to ask me anything. I said, what makes bathing mandatory? She replied: You have raised the 'Masalaa' (Islamic Ruling) to a person who knows about it. The Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) said: When a man sits between four corners touching both the private parts, bathing is mandatory".

Respected Readers! You have read both the Ahadith. Do you find any sex education? Is it reflected from those Ahadith that Hazrat Aaisha- the mother of all believers - imparted any sex education to the Companions? None of the wise persons will accept that it entails the teachings of sex education. But, the element of sex is so tightly gripped in the mind and thoughts of the cursed Waseem Rizvi that he finds nothing but sex in every matter. Having read this book, you must have concluded by yourself that the dull-minded cursed Waseem Rizvi finds nothing but sex in every instance. How inclined that weak-minded cursed Waseem Rizvi is towards exaggerating the element of nothing but sex!

In fact, above mentioned both the Ahadith reflect cleanliness and purity. It is an Islamic Ruling and Jurisprudence which reflects the range of distance between a husband and a wife due to which bathing

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

becomes mandatory on them. This instance does occur with every couple and, therefore, it is very necessary to be aware of the Islamic Ruling pertaining to it. Subsequent to the sad demise of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him), the Companions used to approach the mother of believers- Hazrat Aaisha- to ask about the significant 'Masaail' (Islamic Rulings) because she was a woman to infer the Islamic Rulings from the sources. Since the differences of opinions emerged between them, they decided to approach her for its solution. She presented to them the real Islamic Ruling about it. Thus, this is a system and tradition of the world at large that every 'Masalaa' (Islamic Ruling) is referred to the person who is more learned to resolve.

In fact the cursed Waseem Rizvi needs to study the books of the other religions also as Dayanand Saraswati writes regarding the Sex Relation in his book Satyarth Prakash, Volume Four, on the chapter of "Garabhadaan Sanskaar" that:

> "Having completed the marriage ceremony, go to the place of seclusion. Both should have sexual intercourse according to the system in which a man inserts the semen and a woman pulls the semen. To the extent possible, the semen of a Bharamacharya (A monk/person who lives in celibacy) should not go in vain. At a point of time when the semen is to fall into the uterus, bodies of the husband and wife should be kept stable keeping the nose straight to nose, eyes straight to eyes i. e. they should not move.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> Besides, the husband should leave his body naturally loose, the wife should inhale upward while getting the semen inside and should shrink her vagina upward. She should pull the semen upward to let it be deposited into the uterus. Then, both of them should take a bath with the clean water".

Manu Dharm Shashtra, Volume Three, Shlok 19.

> "The compensation is not mentioned in any scripture for the sin of kissing the lips of the wife, for getting sexually excited by merging his cheeks with her breaths and for generating a birth of a child in her ".

In the book of "Satyarth Prakash, Volume Six, Dayanand Saraswati is asked a question as if a woman is pregnant and her husband is very young who can't bear without sexual intercourse, what should he do?

Dayanand Saraswati replies, "If one can't live without sexual intercourse with a pregnant woman for a year, he should perform 'Nayog' with someone to produce the child for him".

WHAT DID RAVAN SAY TO SITA?

It is mentioned in Shrimadralmikiy Ramayan, Sarg No 46, Page No 448, Matbua Geeta Press,Gorakhpur that Ravan addresses Sita as:

> "O, beautiful Muskan (Smile), bearing attractive teeth and fascinating eyes luxurious girl! You overpower my heart by your beauty and glory in the same way as a river kidnaps

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

its bank by its water.

Your waist is so slim that it can be enveloped into a fist; your hairs are silky and fascinating. Both breasts are meeting each other. O, beautiful girl! No woman is your replica from the species of Devta, Gandharv, Yax& Kinnar".

Now, here the cursed Waseem Rizvi has to admit that whether or not the religious scriptures and Dayanand Saraswati impart the sex education to his followers. The cursed Waseem Rizvi himself has to reply to this question.

For instance, if a man suffers from any sex related ailments and, consequently, consults any Sexologist who guides him for his sex problems, can anyone conclude that the doctor imparted the sex education to that patient of sex problems? Women happen to face many genital issues in the run up of pregnancy period. Hence, sometimes the doctor, after the due medical examination, advises the women not to have sexual intercourse with the husbands till the delivery of a baby. So, according to the cursed Waseem Rizvi, can it be concluded that the doctor imparts the sex education to that woman? No, it is never so at all. But a person like the cursed Waseem Rizvi who bears the sexy psychology would definitely conclude that the doctor imparts the sex education to the women.

If there is any medical emergency, we happen to talk about the genital organs and genital relations; but under no circumstances can it be termed as the Sex Education at all. Dr. Muhammad Naeemi writes in his book "The

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions", Volume Two, Page No 403 with the reference of Manusmriti that "Women don't consider the face and beauty nor do they consider the equality of age nor beauty or ugliness. Once they happen to gain companionship with a man, they have sexual intercourse with him".

Dr. Muhammad Naeemi writes in the same book on Page No 556 with the reference of Manusmriti regarding Gandhrva Marriage that "The consent and unity between a boy and a girl based on the choice and pleasure of them is known as Gandharva Marriage. It is meant for the carnal desires and it is very fertile for sexual intercourse.

Dr. Muhammad Naeemi writes in his book on Page No 691 with the reference of Manusmriti that

> "When fornication is committed out of any deception or disloyalty, the whole of the wealth is snatched away and the head is branded with an image of a woman's vagina".

It is mentioned in the same book on Page No 750 with the reference of Manusmriti that

> "Fix an image of a woman's vagina on the forehead of a person with the help of a hot object of iron who commits the fornication with the wife of his master".

Some of the above statements have been extracted from the Hindu religious books. The vagina, fornication, sexual intercourse, branding of the image of a woman's

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

vagina on the forehead, fixation of the image of a woman's vagina on the forehead with the help of a hot object are mentioned in those books.

I don't intend at all to criticize the customs, traditions& laws of any religion nor do I mean that all these matters are based on the sex education. I just raise a question to the cursed Waseem Rizvi do the matters mentioned in Manusmriti concern the sex education? In fact, he can't reply to me at all. He is not fit to answer at all. The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 85 a chapter as "Sodomy is lawful with the wife". He further writes in his book that "Ibne Umar reported that the Messenger has said that sodomy is permitted with the wife in this verse and sodomy is stated in his book as lawful. He quotes the reference from Durre Mansur, Volume One, and Page No 638.

Respected Readers! At the outset, I am going to present the reference from Durre Mansur. It is mentioned in Durre Mansur, Volume One, and Page No 638 that:

"من طریق یزید بن رومان عن عبید اللہ بن عبد اللہ بن عمر ان عبد اللہ بن عمر کان لا یری باسا ان یاتی الرجل المراۃ فی دبرھا۔"

Translation: "Yazin been Roman is reported to have been said by Ubaidullah bin Abdullah that Abdullah bin Umar wasn't aware of any objection if a man enters into the anus of a woman".

Kindly read this sentence repeatedly. Do you find any name of the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

on him) or do you find any sentence in which Umar says that I don't see any objection in this act of sodomy? In fact, he has associated this sentence with him. He mentions the sentence KAAN LAAYARI.....as had been said by him.

Respected Readers! Let's enumerate the lies of the cursed Waseem Rizvi well prior to proceeding for its interpretation.

LIE NO 01

"Sodomy is permitted with the wife".

Explanation: It is prohibited, it is prohibited, it is stringently prohibited. I'll produce before you the verses of the holy Quran as well as Ahadith to substantiate its prohibition.

LIE NO 02

"When Ibne Umar said".

Explanation: It is also a blatant lie, It is not said by Ibne Umar; instead it is narrated by Yazid bin Roman to his son Ubaidullah bin Abdullah that Ibne Umar used to say so. It proves that it is not a narration of Ibne Umar.

LIE NO 03

"Messenger permitted sexual intercourse through her anus in this verse"

Explanation: It is a lie, it is a lie and it is a very flagrant lie. It is not permitted by any verse of the holy Quran nor does any Hadith permit for it nor did any Companion consider it as lawful. Now, I am going to present the references of the holy Quran as well as the

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Hadith in order to bring out the coffin of lies of the cursed Waseem Rizvi very merrily.

ANAL INTERCOURSE IS FORBIDDEN IN ISLAM

Surah Nisa, Verse No 223

"نساءکم حرث لکم فاتوا حرثکم آنی شئتم۔"

Translation: Your wives are your tilth; go, then into your tilth as you wish".

The cause of revelation of the above verse mentioned in Tafsire Kabir is that the Jews of Arab used to believe that whoever has sexual intercourse with his wife on the front side from the back position, a squint eyes child will be born. The same was the conviction of the general Arab also. Once Hazrat Umar (Raziyallahu Anhu) appeared to the service of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) and asked: O, Messenger of Allah! I am ruined because I do sexual intercourse on the front side from the back. Then, the above verse was revealed in which it is decreed that one is allowed to do the sexual intercourse from every direction subject to the condition that it must be the front side.

It is mentioned in the above verse that your wives are your tilth; which means they are a source of the expansion of your progeny. Hence, it is very apparent that the front side itself is a tilth and not the back side. It is proved from this verse that it is not allowed to have sexual intercourse from the back side. However, it is allowed to have sexual intercourse on the front side from the back position.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi has hit a very great stroke of

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

misunderstanding that "Sodomy is allowed with the wife". In fact, he has failed to understand its implications. Now, let me produce the Hadith related to Umar.

Adurrul Mansur, Volume 1, Page No 635

"اخرج النسائی والطبرانی وابن مردویه عن ابی النضر انه قال لنافع مولی ابن عمر انه قد اکثر علیك القول انك تقول عن ابن عمر انه افتی ان یوتی النساء فی ادبارهن قال کذبوا علی۔"

Translation: Ibne Mardaviyah narrates it from Abunnajn that he had told Naafe, who is a freed slave of Ibne Umar, that it is told referring to you that you tell that this 'Fatwa' (Islamic Ruling) is passed by Umar that we can have sexual intercourse with the wife from the back. Thereupon, Naafe replied that people have levelled a false allegation to me".

Ad-durrul Mansur, Volume 1, Page No 637.

"فان الحارث بن یعقوب یروی عن ابی الحباب سعید بن یسار انه سال ابن عمر فقال یاابا عبدالرحمٰن انا نشتری الجواری افنحمض لهن قال وما التحمیض فذکر له الدبر فقال ابن عمر اف اف ایفعل ذلك مسلم۔"

Translation: "Saeed bin Yasar narrates that he asked Ibne Umar as: O, Abu

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Abdurrahman! (A nickname of Ibne Umar), we buy a female- slave to do 'Tahmiz' with her. Ibne Umar replied: What is 'Tahmiz'? He talked about sexual intercourse from back. Thereupon, Ibne Umar said: AF AF.... Very sad; very sad! Should any Muslim do it?"

Now, how can the cursed Waseem Rizvi mention such an act lawful whereas Ibne Umar says that very sad, very sad! Should any Muslim do it?"

Allama Jalaluddin Suyuti writes in Adurrul Mansur with the reference of Baihaki. Adurrul Mansur, Volume 1, Page No 634.

"عن ابن مسعود قال قال النبی صلے اللہ علیہ وسلم محاشّ النساء علیکم حرام۔"

Translation: It is reported by Ibne Masood that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) said: Anus of women is forbidden for you".

Hence, how come it is justified for the liar and cursed Waseem Rizvi to say that the Messenger permits the anal sexual intercourse.

Tafsire Adurrul Mansur, Volume One, Page No 634.

"اخرج البیہقی عن قتادہ فی الذی یاتی امراتہ فی دبرھا قال حدثنی عقبہ بن وشاح ان ابا الدرداء قال لا یفعل ذلك الا کافر۔"

Translation: This Hadith is extracted by Baihaki from Qataada that any man who

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

commits sexual intercourse into the back, then who can commit such an act other than an infidel? It is said by Qataada; to whom this Hadith was reported by Uqbaah bin Vashaah to whom it was narrated by Abu Darda.

Tirmizi, Volume One, Page No 597, Abvaburraaz'a, Hadith No 1164

"حَدَّثَنَا قُتَيْبَةُ وَغَيْرُ وَاحِدٍ قَالُوْا حَدَّثَنَا وَكِيْعٌ عَنْ عَبْدِ الْمَلِكِ بْنِ مُسْلِمٍ وَهُوَ ابْنُ سَلَّامٍ عَنْ أَبِيْهِ عَنْ عَلِيٍّ قَالَ قَالَ رَسُوْلُ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ إِذَا فَسَا أَحَدُكُمْ فَلْيَتَوَضَّأْ وَلَا تَأْتُوا النِّسَاءَ فِيْ أَعْجَازِهِنَّ۔"

Translation: "Hazrat Ali (Raziyallahu Anhu) is reported to have been said by Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) that: When any you farts, has to make 'Wudhu' (Ablution); and don't have sexual intercourse into the anus of women".

Tirmizi, Volume One, Page No 597, Abvaaburrazaa', Hadith No 1165

"حَدَّثَنَا أَبُوْ سَعِيْدٍ الْأَشَجُّ حَدَّثَنَا أَبُوْ خَالِدٍ الْأَحْمَرُ عَنِ الضَّحَّاكِ بْنِ عُثْمَانَ عَنْ مَخْرَمَةَ بْنِ سُلَيْمَانَ عَنْ كُرَيْبٍ عَنِ ابْنِ عَبَّاسٍ قَالَ قَالَ رَسُوْلُ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ لَا يَنْظُرُ اللهُ إِلَى رَجُلٍ أَتَى رَجُلًا أَوْ امْرَأَةً فِي الدُّبُرِ۔"

Translation: "Hazrat Ibne Abbas (Raziyallahu

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> Anhu) is reported to have been said by Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) that: Allah doesn't look with the Sight of Mercy at a man who commits an unnatural act with any man or woman".

Respected Readers! Now, you have to conclude justly regarding the commandment of Islam pertaining to the act of sodomy. It is substantially proved here that the Islam religion, the holy Quran and Hadith stringently forbids the act of sodomy. It is condemned. Now, I expect that all these references must be adequately convincing to the mentality of the cursed Waseem Rizvi; and he must have now understood that the act of sodomy is not lawful in Islam.

In fact, the cursed Waseem Rizvi should have studied the scripture of Manudharm also.

It is mentioned in Manudharm Scripture, Chapter 11, Shalok 174 that:

> "Anyone who commits sodomy with any animal or a woman, has sexual intercourse in the water or has sexual intercourse with a woman while being in the monthly menstruation time, then he has to perform 'Santapan Karchhar' (i.e. As a result of offering Santapan Karchhar, his sin will be compensated for; The Author Aasi).

Let's understand what is called 'Santapan Karchhar'.

URINE OF THE COW and DUNG

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

It is mentioned in Manusmriti, Chapter 11, Shalok 212.

> "Mix up and drink the urine of cow, dung, milk, ghee and water; and then keep a fast on the following day which is known as 'Santapan Karchhar'. If one takes each of the things on each day individually and then keeps the fast on the seventh day, then it is known as 'Saha Sant Panchhar."

THE FRUIT IS THE SAME AS THE TREE BEARS

Respected Readers! One's script and speech reflects one's intelligence and position. Now, here I am going to present some of the statements of the cursed Waseem Rizvi; which will reflect to you very adequately how filthy minded the person he is!

He writes in his book on Page No 137

> "As a consequence of delivery of a child, the vagina of their wives becomes so loose that her husband can insert his head and look into it".

Here, I am to say about the cursed Waseem Rizvi that a fruit of a tree is the same as the tree bears i.e. a tree of tamarind can't bear a mango fruit. Furthermore, the same thing would fall from a vessel what it contains inside. It means, if a vessel contains wine, it won't drop milk from it. Hence, what the psychology of the cursed Waseem Rizvi contains, the same is poured out from it. Besides, it's a very confidential matter as to whose vagina is loose. Then, how has the cursed Waseem Rizvi come to know about loosness of any vagina? Perhaps,

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

the cursed Waseem Rizvi might have its experience or he might have inserted his head to look into it because none knows the inside situation of a grave except the man who is inside the grave.

A MAJOR LIE OF THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI

Respected Readers! Here I am going to present before you a very major lie. In fact, it is a very giant lie. At the outset, read the story narrated by him and, then, consider the references and evidence for it. Thereafter, you have to judge by yourself the magnitude of the lie mentioned by him. He writes in his book on Page No 91 that:

> "Fatima, the daughter of Muhammad and wife of Ali, didn't live any longer as she died as a martyr. Umar, the friend of Muhammad who became the second governor of Muslims, was responsible for her martyr. Umar came to the residence of Fatima with his companions and attacked her and set the gate on fire. Consequently, the gate fell on Fatima which led to the breaking down of the ribs of Fatima. This incident occurred a few days after the death of Muhammad and, eventually, Fatima died".

Furthermore, he writes on Page No 93 that

> "Fatima lived only for 90 days after the death of her father. When the Governor of Islam- Umar- and his companions set her residence on fire, she was standing behind the gate. As

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> a consequence of pushing the gate by the enemies to storm into the house, she was stuck up between the wall which led to the breaking of her ribs. Besides, her son also died who was not born till that time of incident; who was named Mohsin from the pregnancy period itself.

He writes on Page No 84 that.

> "When Umar went to the house of Ali, where Talha, Zubair and a complete group of migrants were present, Umar pushed and said: I swear by Allah! If you don't offer your 'Bait" (A pledge of loyalty) to me, I shall get all the members of your family set on fire. Having heard it, Zubair rushed out with his sword but he fell down and lost the grip of the sword from his hand. Subsequently, the men of Umar carried him away as a captive"

Explanation: To begin with, we shall historically take into consideration when did Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) pass away? When did Fatimatuzzahra (Raziyallahu Anha) pass away? When did the 'Khilaafat' (Governance) of Sayyadna Siddiqe Akbar (Raziyallahu Anhu) come into being? When did the 'Khilaafat' (Governance) of Sayyadna Hazrat Umar (Raziyallahu Anhu) come into being? When and how did Hazrat Fatima Zahra (Raziyallahu Anha) pass away? If one comes to know all these historical facts, a curtain of falsehood of the cursed Waseem Rizvi will automatically get lifted away.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

It is mentioned in Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad, Volume Three, and Page No 30

> "Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) passed away on 11 Hijri; and Sayyadna Siddiqe Akbar (Raziyallahu Anhu) became the 'Khalifa' (The Governor) on 11 Hijri". It is mentioned in Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad, Volume Three, Page No 45 that he passed away on 22 Jamadil Ukhra, on 13 Hijri.
>
> "On 13 Hijri, Hazrat Umar (Raziyallahu Anhu) became the Second Khalifa (Governor); and Hazrat Fatimatuzzahra (Raziyallahu Anha) passed away on 11 Hijri".

It is mentioned in Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad, Volume Eight, Page No 47 that she proceeded to the life of immortality on 11 Hijri, at the age of around 29 years, on 3rd Ramadhan, on Tuesday night.

Now, let's read about the death of Hazrat Fatima. It is mentioned in Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad, Volume Eight, Page No 46 that.

> "Abu Raafe reports it from his father who narrates it from Sulami that Hazrat Fatimatuzzahra (Raziyallahu Anha) fell ill. Since Ali was out of station on the day when she passed away, she requested to get her to take a bath. So, Hazrat Salma poured water on her and she took a bath. She gave her the clothes which she wore. She requested me to lay down a cot which I did. Then, she turned towards the Qibla and said: Now, I'll

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> pass away. I have already taken a bath, so none should expose my body. Then, she passed away. I informed Ali. He performed the funeral ceremony without giving her a bath".

Respected Readers! Now, consider the lies of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

LIE NO 01

> "If you don't pledge on my hand, I'll set all your family members on fire alive".

Explanation: When Fatima Zahra passed away, it was the ruling of Hazrat Siddiqe Akbar (Raziyallahu Anhu) at that time. Consequently, how come it was possible that Hazrat Umar instructed Hazrat Ali (Raziyallahu Anhu) to take a pledge on his hand? Subsequent to the sad demise of Hazrat Fatima Zahra, the ruling of Hazrat Abu Bakar (Raziyallahu Anhu) continued for two years. Thus, this is a blatant lie of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

LIE NO 02

> "Muhammad's friend, Umar, was involved in the martyr of Fatima".

Explanation: You have just now studied the reference given in Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad that she died due to illness. Yet, the cursed Waseem Rizvi charges a false allegation on Hazrat Umar (Raziyallahu Anhu) that he was involved in the martyr of Hazrat Fatima Zahra. Hence, this blatant lie is also exposed and proved baseless.

LIE NO 03

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> "The door collapsed on Fatima which led to the breaking of her ribs and she succumbed to death".

Explanation: The door collapsed, the ribs broke down and she succumbed to death. This narration is found neither in the History of Tabri nor in Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad nor in Sirat Ibne Hisham. Instead, Sirat Ibne Hisham mentions the illness as a cause of her death.

Kindly ponder over it! If Hazra Umar (Raziyallahu Anhu) inflicted the tyranny on her, wouldn't Hazrat Ali (Raziyallahu Anhu) have retaliated against him? Was Hazrat Ali weaker than Hazrat Umar to retaliate? In fact, Hazrat Ali was so valiant and powerful that he lifted away the fort of Khaibar; his gallant was famous all across Arab and his title was 'Shere Khuda' (The lion of the Lord). It implies that the cursed Waseem Rizvi thinks Hazrat Ali (Raziyallahu Anhu) weak or coward. In fact, there didn't occur any such instance at all. Therefore, such a false narration is brainchild of the cursed Waseem Rizvi himself.

LIE NO 04

> "Her chest ribs broke down; and her son, who was not born till that time, also died who was named Mohsin well prior to his birth".

Experience: This is an extreme degree of lie. Let's think for a while, how come it was possible that a child was named while he was in the womb of the mother. Besides, how come it was possible to know that it was a

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

son in the wormb? Furthermore, the cursed Waseem Rizvi hasn't quoted any reference also to substantiate his statements.

Hence, this is nothing but a fabricated story which has nothing to do with the facts.

Let's study the biography of the offspring of Fatima Zahra (Raziyallahu Anha) with the help of historical records. Qazi Sulaiman Salman Mansurpuri writes in his book "RAHMATUL-LIL-AALAMIN" (The mercy for both worlds), Volum Two, Page No 111 that Imam Hasan, Imam Husain, Umme Kulsum and Jainab were born off the sacred body of Hazrat Sayyadna Fatima Zahra (Raziyallahu Anha). He further writes that some writers have included the names of Mohsin and Ruqayyah also as the children of Sayyadatun Nisaal Aalamin (The leader of the women of the world). However, the historians don't write these names as the children of Hazrat Fatima Zahra (Raziyallahu Anha). It is also believed that Mohsin and Ruqayyah died in their childhood and, therefore, their life sketches are not available in the books of history.

Neither Tarikhe Tabri nor Sirat Ibne Hisham nor Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad nor any other historical record records that Mohsin died in the foetus itself and he was named Mohsin before his birth.

It appears that the cursed Waseem Rizvi has sworn to insert the lies on each and every instance of his book as he has piled up the instances of lies in writing the biography of Hazrat Fatima (Raziyallahu Anha) also.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

REFERENCE OF MANUSMRITI

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 86 that "Sexual intercourse is allowed with mother". He further writes as follows:

> "Fatawa Qazi Khan mentionsthat there are no punitive provisions embodied in the Islamic Laws and Legislations for what is unlawful in Islam; these are: To marry the sister of one's wife, to marry one's mother or to marry a woman who had already been married. All these phenomena are permitted in Islam".

Respected Readers! The cursed Waseem Rizvi has extended his presentation of lies even to the extent of presenting the Islamic Laws also as wrong. At the outset, I am going to present the Arabic text of the Fatawa Qazi followed by its interpretation; which will help you understand the genuine substance of that Arabic text and, on the contrary, what the cursed Waseem Rizvi has misunderstood about it. If someone reads 'IMLAA' instead of 'IMLEE', it will render its meaning absolutely upside down because 'IMLEE' grows on a tree whereas 'IMLAA' concens with the pen and paper which means 'Dictation'. Hence, how is it possible that both mean the same? There are the experts found in the world for each individual faculty of functions who possesses the expertise and mastery over his/her concerned field of work. For instance, The Chief Justice of The Supreme Court, who possesses the highest qualification and intense concerns in the faculty of the judiciary. Hence, if we call upon him for the task of surgery, he would deny it saying it is not my faculty of

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

job. Thus, one possesses the expertise in the concerned field only. Let's consider the illustration of the cursed Waseem who served as a Chef in Saudi Arabia for some months and, then, served as a leader in India after coming here. But while trying to become a Maulvi, Mulla, Aalim and Mufti, he happened to misunderstand and confuse with the words of 'IMLAA' and 'IMLEE'. Consequently, he declared that in Islam to marry the wife of someone is allowed.

Now, I am going to present the original text of Fatawa Qazi Khan followed by its interpretation.

Fatawa Qazi Khan, Volume One, Kitabun Nikah, Baab Fil Muharramaat

(Chapter 'Prohibited (Phenomena'), Page No 169, Matbua Hafiz Kutub Khana, Masjid Road, Koita.

لو تزوج بمنكوحة الغير وهو لا يعلم انها منكوحة الغير فوطئها تجب العدة۔"

> Translation: Suppose, someone has wedded with the wife of someone without being aware of herself to have already been the wife of someone and he has had sexual intercourse also with her, then 'Iddat' (A period of seclusion) is obligatory".

Respected Readers! You have already read its translation as above. Now, I am going to interpret it so that its substance can be clear to everyone.

For example, a woman has travelled to Mumbai from Lucknow who said that I am helpless and destitute here.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Please, marry me. Consequently, if someone marries her and then enjoys the sexual intercourse also with her; after some days, if her husband comes to Mumbai from Lucknow claiming that she is my wife. Then, 'Iddat' (A period of seclusion) is obligatory for her i. e. she can't have sexual intercourse with the previous husband till the elapse of three Menstrual Cycles because the second husband has already had the sexual intercourse with her. Lest she might have conceived a child by the second husband! If she waits till the completion of three Menstrual Cycles, it would be very clear that she hasn't conceived any child. If she doesn't get the Menstrual Cycle in sequence, she would be considered as a pregnant and that child's father would be the second husband bearing the genealogy of the second husband. Such incidents may or may not take place. If it happens, such is its solution. Let it be kindly known that the original Arabic text starts with the word 'LU' which means 'If'; and, therefore, if the word 'If' is used to apply a condition to something, (IDHAA FAAT....), then that particular conditional situation will automatically lapse if that condition lapses. But, the illiterate cursed Waseem Rizvi couldn't understand the word of condition at all. Let me explain to you with the help of an illustration. If someone says that if the cursed Waseem Rizvi has committed a theft of something, its punishment would be an imprisonment for him. Here, none would conclude that the cursed Waseem Rizvi has committed a theft or an act of theft is allowed for him. But, the cursed Waseem Rizvi misunderstands it as he has already committed the theft and the act of theft is allowed for him. Similarly, Fatawa Qazi Khan mentions a

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.dtaunnabi.com/

General Law of the Land but the cursed Waseem Rizvi has utterly failed to understand. Consequently, he has fearlessly written in his book that it is allowed in Islam to marry the wife of someone. The holy Quran dictates in Surah Nisa VAL MOHSANAT.....

Married women are forbidden for you. Then, how is it allowed in Islam to marry the wife of someone? Can the cursed Waseem Rizvi answer to this question? Hence, we can conclude that the cursed Waseem Rizvi has either misunderstood the Islamic Rulings about marrying the wife of someone or has deliberately hatched out a conspiracy to malign the Islam religion, in which he has already utterly failed.

The Islam religion has already stipulated the punishments for various crimes. Hence, if it is a heinous crime, its punishment would be correspondingly serious enough. Similarly, if the crime is minor enough, its punishment would be suitably minor enough. Besides, the stipulated punishments are indiscriminately equal for all of the civilians, be it rich or poor or younger or elderly man or woman. However, such an equality of punishments is not found in other religions. The cursed Waseem Rizvi needs to study the books of other religions also. He should read the Manu Dharm Shashtra, Chapter 8, Shalok 275 very meticulously in which it is mentioned that...

> "If anyone spits on a person of elite class, chop off both lips; if he urinates, chop off his genital organ; if he farts to him, chop off his buttocks".

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Let's read about one more punishment.

Manu Dharm Shashtra, Chapter 8, Shalok 362

> "If a woman commits a sexual affair with another woman, its punishment is to tonsure her head".

It is mentioned in Manu Smruti, Chapter 8, Shalok 370

> "The head of the woman should be tonsured who inserts her finger into the vagina of a small age girl to render it perverted ".

(NOTE: Let's just think that it's a very negligible punishment just to tonsure the head for such a heinous sin (crime)

LAWFUL OR UNLAWFUL

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 86 with the reference of Fatawa Qazi Khan that..

> "To marry the sister of one's wife is lawful in Islam".

Respected Readers! Let me at the outset produce the original Arabic text of Fatawa Qazi Khan with a view to proving his lies so that the realities can be exposed to all.

Fatawa Qazi Khan, Volume One, Kitabun Nikah, Baab Fil Muharramaat, Page No 169, Matbua Hafiz Kutub Khana, Masjid Road, Queita.

"لو تزوج امراۃ ثم نکح اختھا جاز نکاح الاولیٰ وبطل نکاح الثانیہ۔"

Translation: "If someone marries a woman

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

and then marries her sister, then the first marriage is lawful and the marriage with the second one is not appropriate".

Let me explain it. If someone marries a woman and thereafter marries her sister, then the marriage with the first wife is lawful; whereas the subsequent marriage with the sister-in-law is not to be considered even marriage at all.

SCAMS IN WRITING THE ARTICLE

Respected Readers! Here, the audiences have to conclude that whatever is written by Fatawa Qazi Khan is absolutely appropriate and correct. But the cursed Waseem Rizvi has deliberately breached the trust and loyalty in writing the sentences. Now, let me expose to you as to where he has committed the scams in writing the entire sentence. He has extracted the first part of the sentence while the second part of the sentence is dropped because if he had written both the parts of the sentence in order, it would have conveyed the meaning and substance of the sentence correctly. Instead, if he takes the first part of the sentence and quits the second part of it, then it will trigger a huge variation in the meaning and message of the sentence. For instance, LAV TAZUA ....." but he writes it up to LAV TAZUA.....". It means, if someone marries a woman and then marries her sister, it is lawful. In fact, he has deliberately quit the portion of sentence NIKAH ALAA.... which is a heinous scam. In fact, if he writes NIKAAH ALAA after JAAZ, then it would be a correct and complete meaning of the sentence which conveys the meaning that marriage solemnized with any one of the

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

sisters at first is lawful; and marriage with her sister is not lawful. Such is a huge scam of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

Respected Readers! Let me explain to you with the help of one more illustration. If one says that it is not allowed to offer Namaz in the holy Quran with the quotation of the reference of Verse No 43 of Surah Nisa. YA AYYUHALLADHIN AAMANU.....

Translation: O, those who believe! Don't approach prayer. But if one drops the adjoining part of verse being VA ANTUM SUKARA, then there would be a huge corruption in the meaning of the verse. In fact, the real meaning of the verse is "O, those who believe! Don't approach prayer when you are intoxicated". Such is a type of breach of loyalty and scam perpetrated by the cursed Waseem Rizvi. Now, it must have been very apparent how scandalous he is in his writing of the Article!

It is forbidden to maintain two sisters at a time in wedlock. It is mentioned in the holy Quran in Surah Nisa, Verse No 23 VA ANTAJ....It is forbidden to keep two sisters at the same time. Hence, how can one say that it is lawful in Islam to marry the sister of one's wife at the same time altogether with wife? Therefore, whoever says it, he is a liar and alleger.

SHAMELESSNESS OF THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 86 very shamelessly that..

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

"It is allowed to have sexual intercourse with mother".

He further writes that there aren't any penal provisions in Islamic Code of Laws for such acts. He quotes the reference of Fatawa Qazi Khan to support his writing. Let's read at the outset the text of Fatawa Qazi Khan which will help you gauge the ignorance of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

Fatawa Qazi Khan, Volume One, Kitabun Nikah, Baab Fil Muharramaat, Page No 170, Matbua Hafiz Kutub Khana, Masjid Road, Koita.

"لو رجل وطئ امراة ابيه حرمت على ابيه فان قال الابن علمت انها على حرام كان عليه الحد وان لم يعلم الابن بذالك ووطئها عن شبهة لاحد عليه۔"

Translation: If someone commits sexual intercourse with the wife of his father, then that woman stands forbidden for his father. And if his son declares that I had already known it to have been forbidden for me then the Islamic stipulated provisions of punishment would be invoked on him. However, if the son wasn't aware that that woman is the wife of his father and, consequently, married and had sexual intercourse with her inadvertently, then the Islamic stipulated provisions of punishment would not be invoked on him".

Respected Readers! You have already read the translation. Now, I am going to present its interpretation to you. If someone knowingly married the wife of his

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

father and had sexual intercourse also, then the Islamic stipulated provisions of punishment would be applicable to him. Its punishment is to hit him hundred whips subject to the condition that he is not already married. Besides, if he is already married, then he will be killed by stone pelting. Furthermore, if he didn't know that she is the wife of my father and, consequently, he married her and had sexual intercourse with her inadvertently, then the said punishment would not be imposed on him. Let's try to understand this matter with an illustration. For instance, someone's father went to another town and married a woman there. Then, he returned to his home town. After some time, his son went to the same town and that woman declared to him that I am unmarried to conceal her marriage. Consequently, his son married her without any knowledge that that woman is already the wife of his father. Hence, under such circumstances, the Islamic stipulated provisions of punishments will not be imposed on him.

ONE WOMAN WITH FIVE HUSBANDS

Let's read the blatant lie of the cursed Waseem Rizvi. He claims that there isn't any punitive mechanism in the Islam religion though there prevails the penal provisions of hundreds whips or stoning to death punishment. Let it be clear that such is an Islamic mechanism of Code of Rulings as each and every religion has its own personal code of laws and customs. There is a religion in which many men marry a single woman; which is a custom of that particular religion. It is mentioned by Doctor Muhammad Ahmed Naeemi in his book "The Comparative Study of the Hindu and Islam religion",

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Volume Two, Page No 594 that the translation of a Mantra in Rig Ved by Dayanand Saraswati is as follows.

> "O, fortunate woman! O, woman desiring a glad fortune! You desire another husband apart from me".

Dr. Muhammad Ahmed Naeemi writes in his book "The Comparative Study of the Hindu and Islam religion", Volume Two, Page No 595 that

"So, it is written in Mahabharat, the Book of the Beginning:

> "As soon as the majestic Pandavas looked at Dropadi, the deity of love rendered them stupefied and consequently left her influence on them. The god created the beauty of Dropadi par excellent when compared with the beauty of other women which fascinated the heart of every living being. The super creature among mankind and the son of Kunti- Yudhishthir - could decipher the internal motive of his brothers by considering their manoeuvre and simultaneously he could recall all the matters of Vyas Rusi. Hence, suspecting the mutual enmity among the brothers, Yudhishthir addressed to all brothers that Dropadi who is endowed with the best attributes is the wife of all of us".

Here, can the cursed Waseem respond as to which punitive mechanism is there for getting the second husband though a woman has already married a husband? Or which punitive provisions would be invoked

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

on them if five persons have sexual intercourse with a single wife? Dr. Muhammad Ahmed Naeemi Sahab writes in the same book on Page No 554 that generally on a well known basis, there are eight types of marriages in the Hindu religion. One of them is 'Rakshsh' marriage. In the case of 'Rakshsh'marriage; "the deterrents are beaten up and injured, the gates of the house are broken down and the girl is forcefully taken up from the house while she is crying". Here, can the cursed Waseem Rizvi mention as to which punitive provisions are applicable for such a custom of the Hindu religion? No, he can't reply to this question even till his last breath of life.

MOTHERS ARE FORBIDDEN.

In Islam religion, mothers are forbidden for their sons. The holy Quran, Surah Nisa, Verse No 23

"حرمت علیکم امھتکم"

Translation: "Forbidden are your mothers for you".

It further dictates as VAMTAHAT.... Translation: "And forbidden are your foster mothers". It further says: VAAMHAT.... Translation: "And the mothers of your wives". i.e. mother-in-law is forbidden.

Now, the falsehood of the cursed Waseem Rizvi is very flagrantly exposed and proved. He claims that "in Islam marriage with the mother is permitted". In fact, it is nothing but an absolute lie.

MARRIAGE OF THE MOTHER OF BELIEVERS, HAZRAT AAISHA:

The cursed Waseem Rizvi mentions in his book on Page

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

64 with regard to the marriage of Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha)-The mother of the believers-with Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) which has spoiled and darkened many pages unnecessarily. The cursed Waseem Rizvi has drained out his entire strength after writing about the age of Hazrat Aaisha at the time of marriage and at the time of 'Rukhsati' (Departure after marriage for consummation). He has mentioned the references of 'Mustashriqeen' (A Western group of persons engaged in detecting the weakness and defects in the Islam religion), references of Sahi Bukhari, references of Tabqat ibne Sa'ad, views of a British author namely William Montgomery, viewpoints of Denis Spill Burg and the guessing of a cleric of Ahmadiya Agitation- Muhammad Ali very cheerfully; whereby he has attempted to prove that her age was 5 years at the time of marriage and 9 years at the time of 'Rukhsati' (Departure after marriage for consummation). Hence, the cursed Waseem Rizvi resorted to beating the drums of propaganda on the issue of her age. Consequently, he has alleged Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) of paedophilia in his book on Page No 70 (I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH). He writes in his book on Page No 73 that...

> "Human physiology hasn't been changed for the last two lakh years. The human fetus reaches its maturity in the 9 months itself irrespective of his/her species. All girls reach the age of puberty at the age of 13 years. All these physiological data haven't seen any

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

updates in the last two lakh years. Hence, a girl of 9 years of age is no more than a girl itself either in Africa or Alaska or Arab".

Thus, he has already spoiled numerous pages in writing such stupid matters.

Let's discuss this topic very concretely. Now, let me retort to the cursed Waseem Rizvi in the light of Ahadith, History, Medicines, Fiqah and the current scenarios so that no scope shall be left to point the finger on the issue of the marriage of Hazrat Aaisha (Raziyallahu Anha)- Mother of all believers.

Let's read about the age of Hazrat Aaisha-The Mother of all Believers- at the time of her marriage and 'Rukhsati' (Departure after marriage for consummation).

Sahih Bukhari, Volume Three, Page No 82, Kitabun Nikah, Hadith No 144.

"حَدَّثَنَا قَبِيْصَةُ بْنُ عُقْبَةَ حَدَّثَنَا سُفْيَانُ عَنْ هِشَامِ بْنِ عُرْوَةَ عَنْ عُرْوَةَ تَزَوَّجَ النَّبِيُّ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ عَائِشَةَ وَهِيَ بِنْتُ سِتِّ سِنِيْنَ وَبَنَى بِهَا وَهِيَ بِنْتُ تِسْعٍ وَمَكَثَتْ عِنْدَهُ تِسْعًا ۔"

Translation: Urva narrates that when Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) married Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha), her age was 6 years; her age was 9 years at the time of sexual intercourse with her; and she lived with him for 9 years".

Let's read the Hadith related to her 'Rukhsati' (Departure

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

after marriage for consummation) also to the place of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him).

Sahih Bukhari, Volume Three, Page No 83, Kitabun Nikah, Hadith No 146

"حَدَّثَنِي فَرْوَةُ بْنُ أَبِي الْمَغْرَاءِ حَدَّثَنَا عَلِيُّ بْنُ مُسْهِرٍ عَنْ هِشَامٍ عَنْ أَبِيهِ عَنْ عَائِشَةَ رَضِيَ اللهُ عَنْهَا قَالَتْ تَزَوَّجَنِي النَّبِيُّ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ فَأَتَتْنِي أُمِّي فَأَدْخَلَتْنِي الدَّارَ فَلَمْ يَرُعْنِي إِلَّا رَسُوْلُ اللهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ ضُحًى۔"

Translation: "The Mother of Believers- Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa says that when I was privileged to be the wife of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him), my respected mother took me to a small hut and made me enter into it. I was worried only because the Messenger of Allah (Peace & blessings be on him) arrived at the time of Chast Namaz".

Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad, Volume 8, Page No 82

"The mother of all believers-Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha) says that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) married me 10 years after the proclamation of his Prophethood and 3 years before the migration. I was six years old at that time. He migrated to Madeena Munavvar; and I went through the 'Rukhsati' (Departure after marriage for consummation) in the eighth

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

month of migration when I was 9 years old."

Accepting the age of 9 years of the mother of all believers- Hazrat Aaisha (Raziyallahu Anha) at the time of 'Rukhsati' (Departure after marriage for consummation), I am going to present my inquiry and study about it here. It is mentioned in a renowned book of Fiqah (Islamic Jurisprudence), Fatawa Qazi Khan, Volume One, Kitabun Nikah, Baab Fil Muharramaat, Page No 167 that at the age of 9 years, the girls attain adulthood being inclined to sex. It is stated as follows..

"ابنة خمس سنين لم تبلغ واما ابنة ست او سبع او ثمانی ان کانت عبلته ضخمة فقد بلغت حد الشهوة۔"

Translation: "Girls of five years of age don't attain the level of sexual inclination; they reach the level of sexual inclination at the age of six or seven or eight or beyond that age".

WHAT DOES MEDICAL SCIENCE DICTATE?

Let's study about the age of adulthood from the Medical Science perspective as to what the doctors opine about it.

A gynaecologist, Dr. Sayyad Muhammad Abbasi Rizvi writes in his book "Nisaaiyaat" (Feminism) on Page No 31 that puberty is a stage of life of a girl when she enters into adulthood from childhood. This is a very significant stage of life when enormous biological and psychological changes occur.

The maiden stage known as Menstruation occurs in the

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

same period of life. Besides, at less than 8 years of age of a girl, the characteristics of adolescent begin to reflect and, consequently, Menstruation starts which is known as Precocious Puberty. Menstruation occurs even at less than that age for some girls. With the occurrence of Menstruation, one comes to know about the onset of adulthood. Such girls find the existence of biological characteristic changes also.

Hence, now it is very apparent from the Medical Science perspective that the girls attain adulthood at the age of 8 years.

We find the stunning similarities between the statements of Fatawa Qazi Khan and the Medical Science Researches. It makes unanimously clear that the girls of 8 years of age reach the adulthood. Hence, if a girl gets married at the age of 9 years, we can unanimously conclude that she has reached the stage of adulthood being inclined to sex. To conclude, we can flagrantly say that it is medically and religiously wrong to level an allegation of Paedophile to someone if he marries a 9 years adult and sexually inclined girl.

WHAT IS PEDOPHILIA?

Paedophilia is to establish the sexual relations with sexually disinclined children. But the cursed Waseem Rizvi is not aware of all these findings. Let's read a BBC Report telecast on 16th May, 2005 with regard to the adulthood of girls.

The age of adulthood of the children has decreased in the developed countries even to the extent that some girls become adult even at seven years of age also. In

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

1990, the initial characteristics of adulthood of the girls were found emerging from the age of eight years. According to the experts, some girls become adult at the age of seven years. The experts in Sweden have been trying their best to assess the current situations from various angles of consideration. Today, twelve teams have been working on a three years project to comprehend this phenomenon.

Hence, now it is beyond any scintilla of doubt that Hazrat Aaisha (Raziyallahu Anha) was already an adult at the time of 'Rukhsati' (Departure after marriage for consummation). So, one demonstrates his stupidity if he raises any objection to her wedding at that age.

Besides, the differences of age between the couples was not considered any element of demerit in that era of time, otherwise the infidels of Quraish must have ridiculed it. Besides, we don't find any evidence in any book of history at all.

The second 'Khalifa' (Governor)

Hazrat Umar Farooq (Raziyallahu Anhu) married the daughter of Hazrat Fatima Zahra (Raziyallahu Anha).

An element of age isn't considered at all, if the couple is agreed for wedding. According to the Guinness Book of World Record, an American resident- Grubb Janeway- who was 18 years old, married John Janeway who was 81 years old. There was a difference of 63 years between the ages of the couple. But none had pointed a finger of criticism towards that wedding at all.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

73 that...

> "Human physiology hasn't seen any changes for the last two lakh years. A human foetus attains its development at the age of 9 years itself irrespective of any species of any creation. Besides, all the girls attain the level of youth at the age of 13 years. This data hasn't seen any changes in the last two lakh years. A girl of 9 years of age is to be considered a girl itself whether she is in Africa or Alaska or Arab country".

The above mentioned references blatantly substantiate the stupidity and irrelevance of the statements of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

It is very wrong that the girls attain adulthood at the age of 13 years only; in fact they reach adulthood even before the said age as well. In fact, the claim of the cursed Waseem Rizvi is radically wrong and baseless because he is ignorant as he doesn't have any insight on the current scenarios of the current times.

The entire Cosmo system is subjected to the natural mechanism. Hence, the medical and scientific perspectives stand failed and helpless against the natural mechanism. Let me present certain illustrations to you which will set the mentality of the cursed Waseem Rizvi right on the track as to whether or not the genital education can be changed; whether or not a girl of 13 years of age can attain the level of adulthood and whether or not the diverse characteristics can occur in the diverse countries.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

GIRLS BECOMING MOTHERS AT A SMALL AGE

(1) Guinness World Record

According to The Small Age Mothers in Guinness Record, a girl resident of Peru- Lina Marcela Medina- gave birth to a child on 14 May, 1939 at the age of 5 years, 7 months and 21 days.

(2) According to the Guinness World Records, the wife of Feodor Vassilyev, a resident of Russia gave birth to 69 children at the age of 27 years in between the period of 1725 AD to 1765 AD.

(3) According to the Guinness World Records, Nadya Suleman, a resident of California in America, who is an offspring of Edward Davad, gave birth to 8 children at a time on 26th January, 2009 AD.

(4) According to a Record, a girl resident of Griseldina Acuna, Columbia became a mother at the age of just 8 years and 2 months on 13th September, 1936 AD.

(5) Zulma Guadalupe, resident of Mexico became a mother at the age of eight years on 12th January, 1993.

(6) Mum-zi, a resident of Nigeria became a mother at the age of eight years and 4 months in December, 1884.

(7) Maria Eulalia Allende, a resident of Argentina became a mother at the age of 9 years on 18th October, 1967.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

(8) Estelle. P, a resident of the United States became a mother at the age of 9 years on 16th March, 1908

(9) Venesia Xoagus, a resident of South West Africa became a mother at the age of 9 years on 10th July, 1980.

(10) Maria Elian Jesus Mascarenhas, a resident of Brazil became a mother at the age of 9 years and 5 months on 25th March, 1986.

Respected Readers! Hence, there are hundreds of thousands of instances available as stated above. Yet, if the cursed Waseem Rizvi claims that the girls don't reach the age of adulthood before 13 years of age, it is nothing but the reflection of his ignorance. It is nothing but the unawareness of the historical events and contemporary scenarios of the world.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 72 that..

> "In all European countries, the legal age for marriage is 18 years except Albania and Malta where the minimum age of marriage is 16 years".

Such is the ignorance and unawareness of the cursed Waseem Rizvi. In fact, there are numerous other countries where there isn't any minimum age limit stipulated at all for marriage viz. California, New Mexico, Washington, Oklahoma apart from many other nations.

If the cursed Waseem Rizvi isn't aware of it, the third party cannot be held liable for it. For instance, if a bat is unable to sight the sun light, the sun is not to be held

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

responsible at all.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi needed to study the books of other religions also prior to raising his finger of objection at the small age of marriage of the Mother of all believers- Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha) so that the facts about it could have been exposed to him.

SITA'S AGE WAS 6 YEARS AT THE TIME OF MARRIAGE

Shrimadralmikiy Ramayan, Sarg No 47, Page No 449, Matbua Geeta Press, Gorakhpur.

Sita introduced herself to Ravan saying...

> "Brahman! May you get benovalance. I am a daughter of Mithilanaresh Mahatma Janak and a beloved princess of Avadhnaresh Shri Ramchandraji. My name is Sita.
>
> After marriage, I have relished all humanly vanities for twelve years with my husband while living in the palace of Ishvakuvanshi Maharaj Dashrath. I always used to be laced with all humanly luxuries there.
>
> At the inception of the thirteenth year, the powerful Maharaj Dashrath held a consultative meeting with the Ministers and eventually finalized to perform the rites and rituals of adorning the crown of prince-hood on the head of Shriramchandraji.
>
> At that time, my very spirited husband's age was more than twenty five years; and my age stood at eighteen years when counted from my birth till the last stage of life".

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Readers! It is very clear from the above text that Sita's age was six years when she wedded with Ram; and she came to In-laws' house at the age of six years itself living with her husband.

Now, the cursed Waseem Rizvi is summoned to answer that is Ram Paedophilic as a consequence of his wedding with Sita at the age of six years? Hence, it's a challenge for the cursed Waseem Rizvi to write or declare Ram as a Pedophilic; if he has in need got the suckling of his mother! In fact, he can never dare write or declare him Paedophilic at all nor should one even write about it for anyone because each one lives according to one's individual religious customs and traditions. Yet, the cursed Waseem Rizvi charges Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) as a Paedophilic whom the entire universe considers a great personality. Hence, isn't the cursed Waseem Rizvi an offender as a consequence of charging the Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) a Pedophilic? Shouldn't the cursed Waseem Rizvi and the like-minded persons be brought to book for the offence of disrespect to the status and stations of the religious heads? Any just and straightforward person would advocate the punishment to such fellows in the society.

Dr. Muhammad Ahmed Naeemi writes in his book "The Comparative Study of the Islam and Hindu Religions", Volume Two, on Page No 544 with the reference from Vishisht Smruti. (Vishisht Smruti, Chapter 17, Shalok 6, 12).

> "If the Menstrual Cycle of a girl starts before her marriage due to the carelessness of

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> parents, then one incurs a sin if he looks at the person who married such a girl who destroys one just by casting the sight. Therefore, the parents need to marry her off before the onset of the Menstrual Cycle because parents incur sins if they don't do so".

The Dr. further writes with the reference of Smruti that .

> "The marriage of a girl at eight years of age is a best one, the parents and brothers go to Hell if they don't marry their daughter before ten years of her age".

It is mentioned in a Verse of Gautam Dharm:

> "A girl should be married off before the onset of the Menstrual Cycle itself; and he is a sinner who doesn't do it accordingly".

Swami Dayanand Saraswati writes in his book "Satyarath Parakash", Samlas Four, Shalok No 14, Page No 105 that...

> "It means, a girl is named 'Gauri' at the eighth year, 'Rohini' at the ninth year, 'Kanya' at the tenth year and 'Rasula' (A girl bearing Menstruation) afterwards. If the parents and her elder brother don't marry the 'Rasula' girl off till the tenth year, then they all three plunge into Hell".

Manu Dharm Shashtra, Chapter 9, Page No 215, Shalok 88, Matbua Nigarishta Publishers Majang Road, Lahore.

> "Though the daughter hasn't reached the age

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> of puberty, her father should accept the proposal in the case where an excellent, beautiful and the same cast of pair is offered".

Manu Dharm Shashtra, Chapter 9, Page No 216, Shalok 94, Matbua Nigarishta Publishers, Majang Road, Lahore.

> "A man of thirty years should marry a girl of twelve years who can keep her happy or a man of twenty four years should marry a girl of eight years subject to the condition that the other relevant necessities are fulfilled without any hindrance".

From the above stated references as well as Shlokas, it is very apparently clear that religiously a girl should be married off at a small age".

Respected Readers! Hence, now it is very clear that marriage at a small age is not a matter of disgrace.

Therefore, anyone who points a finger of criticism towards the marriage of The Mother of all believers -Hazrat Aaisha Siddiqa (Raziyallahu Anha), be it the Western Critics or the cursed Waseem Rizvi, is the consequences of nothing but ignorance and lack of adequate knowledge. In brief, anyone who criticizes her marriage is utterly ignorant.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 60 that..

> "Muhammad got twelve marriages".

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 140 pertaining to the said marriages as follows..

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> "Even to the extent that Muhammad is dubbed as "Superman of Sex" in the matter of sex also; but, the learned persons of Europe know him as "Sex Demon" due to his indomitable and inexhaustive sexual passions".

Such is a stupid blabbing of the cursed Waseem Rizvi that he expresses very intolerable and indecent statements against Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) due to his 12 marriages. The cursed Waseem Rizvi is a direct derivation of the Western Critics and Jews which is nothing but a filthy conspiracy to malign the chaste and sacred status of none but Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). Let's go through the excessive marital historical events so that we can lock down the tongues of the enemies and the cursed face of the cursed Waseem Rizvi can be exposed before all.

Let's study about the excessive marital events of Hazrat Sulaiman (Alaihis Salam) who is an Apostle of the Jews; and all the believers have a conviction that he is a truthful Prophet of Allah.

Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad, Volume 8, Page No 283

> "Hazrat Sulaiman (Alaihis Salam) had one thousand wives, he had seven hundred children and three hundred female-slaves. Hazrat Dawood (Alaihis Salam) is also an Apostle of the Jews and all the believers have a conviction that he is a truthful Prophet of Allah. Let's read about his excessive

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

marriages."

Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad, Volume 8, Page No 283

> "Hazrat Dawood (Alaihis Salam) had hundred wives including the wife of Umme Sulaim Auriya as well. He married her after facing troubles and trials."

Qazi Sulaiman Salman Mansurpuri writes in his book 'Rahmatul Lil Aalamin', Volume Two, Page No 129 that Hazrat Musa (Alaihis Salam) has four wives.

Not only the Islam religion but also the Hindu religion permits to marry more than one wife and to keep more than one wife at a time all altogether. Its illustrations are available in the Hindu religious books also. Dr. Muhammad Ahmed Naeemi writes in his book 'The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions", Volume Two, Page No 587 that Manu Maharaj presents the rules and regulations that...

> "One should marry a second wife very urgently if the wife is infertile, if the child doesn't remain alive in the eighth year, if there is only a daughter in the tenth year and if there is no child in the eleventh year".

The Dr further writes:

> "To keep many wives is not a sin; instead it is a sin incurred by the women if they don't fulfill the rights of the first husband".

Similarly, a Hindu analyst and learned man Chandeshwar writes in his Grahasth Ratnakar with the reference of Deval Rishi that Shukr can marry one woman, Vesh can

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

marry two women, Chhatri can marry three women, Brahman can marry four women and Raja can marry as many women as he desires.

PLENTY OF WIVES

Dr. Sahab writes in the same book on Page No 590 that..

"Three wives of the father of Shri Ram namely Kaushalya, Sumitr and Kekai are already well known to everyone. But apart from them, Valmikiy Ramayan mentions 353 wives of Raja Dashrath whose permission was sought by Shri Ram at the time of exile. Its description is stated in Valmikiy Ramayan as follows.

When Ram looked at his three hundred fifty mothers, they looked sad like the first three mothers. According to the version of Valmikiy, Ramayan Hanumanji also had 16 wives who were gifted to him by Shri Bharat. It is mentioned in Valmikiy Ramayan that...

Shri Bharat gifted one lakh cows, hundred good villages and 16 girls in the form of wives.

Thus, it is revealed that Hanumanji also had 16 wives though he is called Bharamachari

i. e. abstinent and living a life of hermitage.

It is mentioned in Mahabharat and Shrimad Bhagvat that the strength of special wives of Shri Krishna was 8; and he had countless lovers.

Shri Krishna married 16 thousand wives at a time at a single holy moment of time at different places assuming the different faces.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Kasheb Rishi was his disciple who was married with 13 girls of Daksh Prajapati; from whom Aditi, Dati and Vanu were his special wives.

According to Rigveda Saurabhi Rishi married 50 daughters of Raja Madhanta. He was an aged Rishi. He gave birth to 100 children from each of his wives.

King Harshchandra was a son of the king Trishanko who had hundred wives. He was the father of Vasudev Shri Krishna. According to Bhagvat Puran, he had seven wives from whom Devki Bhadra and Rohini were his special queens.

It is proved and revealed in the light of the statements of religious scriptures, religious books as well as historical references that it is allowed even in the Hindu religion also to marry more than one wife and to keep more than one wife at a time all altogether. Such instances are found existing right from the ancient times till now. It is proved from the historical records of the Jews and Christians also that the Apostles of the Children of Israel also used to maintain many wives at a time.

Now, the cursed Waseem Rizvi, his companions and the European scholars are questioned here that ....

I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH, I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH INFINITELY, If Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) becomes a Superman of Sex or a Sex Demon as a consequence of 12 marriages, then...

How and what can be dubbed to Raja Dashrath-the father of Ram- who married three wives and maintained

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

353 queens?

How can Hanumanji be known who had 16 wives?

How can Shri Krishna be known who had 8 wives and numberless lovers?

How can Kasheb Rishi be known who had 13 wives?

Saurabhi Rishi had 50 wives; he gave birth to hundred children from each of the wives. How can he be known as?

Raja Harshchandra had hundred wives; how can he be known as?

Vasudev had seven wives; how can he be known as?

A CHALLENGE TO THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI; IF HE HAS INDEED SUCKLED HIS MOTHER:

It is a very blatant challenge to the cursed Waseem Rizvi that if he has the guts and if he has indeed suckled his own mother he should dare say that..

Raja Dashrath-the father of Ram- was a Superman of Sex or a Sex Demon.

If the cursed Waseem Rizvi has indeed suckled his own mother, he should dare say that...

Shri Krishna was a Superman of Sex or a Sex Demon.

If the cursed Waseem Rizvi has indeed suckled his own mother, he should dare say that...

Hanuman was a Superman of Sex or a Sex Demon.

If the cursed Waseem Rizvi has indeed suckled his own mother, he should dare say that...

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Saubhri Rishi was a Superman of Sex or a Sex Demon.

Hence, does the cursed Waseem Rizvi have any invincible reply in respect of those who had fifty wives and each of the wives gave birth to hundred children.

THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI IS PSYCHOLOGICALLY SICK

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 5 that..

> "Mohammed used to suffer from a sort of psychological illness that generates the hormones in the mind of mankind in excessive numbers. This illness enlarges the bones of mankind; and it renders the hands and feet dry. Those suffering from the said illness find the excessive capacity and desires of sex in them.

Such is a baseless and fabricated blabbing of the cursed Waseem Rizvi. In fact, the said illness is not found in any of the historical books at all.

Such a disease is not mentioned in any of the books at all viz. Sirat Ibne Ishaq, Sirat Ibne Hisham, Tarikhe Tabri, Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad, Tarikhe Bagdad or in any of the Hadith. However, this disease, mentioned by the cursed Waseem Rizvi, is definitely found in the psychology of him. The said books reveal the headache and fever. If Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) had indeed suffered from such a disease, then the infidels of Quraish must have commented and castigated him without fail but we find no such instance of any castigations at all. The authors of the biographies

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

of the aged pious persons reflect his sacred face that none used to be so handsome and beautiful as he was. You had already read the preceding pages of this book but we don't find any such description that the hands and feet of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) were dry and his bones were enlarged. The audience is asked here by the author of this book that don't not such disrespectful and humiliating matters claimed by the cursed Waseem Rizvi severely hurt any Muslim?

WHAT IS HORMONE?

Let's read about hormones with a view to exposing and proving the lies of the cursed Waseem Rizvi. According to the Wikipedia Report, the Hormones were very first of all identified in 1902 AD by the British Physiologist W. Bayliss and E Starling and its terminology was also very first of all used by

E Starling himself in the year 1905. His words are: These chemical messengers, however, or hormones, as we might call them, have to be carried from the organ which they are produced to the organ with the effect by means of the blood steam.

Respected Readers! Let's think for a while about the bizarre lies of the cursed Waseem Rizvi that he has alleged Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) that the hormones increased in his mind; I SEEK PROTECTION FROM ALLAH. But the ignorant cursed Waseem Rizvi ought to know that the hormone was identified in the year 1902. Hence, how was it known fourteen hundred years ago that the quantum of

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

hormones increased in his mind and through which test was it identified? Therefore, any ordinary intellectual can very well conclude that all these matters and description is based on nothing but lies and humiliation only. The cursed Waseem Rizvi mentions the research conducted just a hundred years ago as if it had been conducted fourteen hundred years ago. If the cursed Waseem Rizvi progresses further in the area of telling a lie, he would definitely say that in the era of Ram people used to talk very excessively on mobile phones.

The cursed and liar Waseem Rizvi says that the sexual power has spiked up due to the increase in the quantum of hormones.

Whereas it was a time when there had not been any technical device to identify the hormones at all, how is it fair to claim that his sexual power was enhanced due to the increase in the quantum of hormones? In fact, it is nothing but the cascade of lies.

Who, how much and which faculty of power one has is determined and governed by the natural mechanism. Sexual power, physical power, immunity power varies from person to person and, therefore, we can't think all equal in these phenomena. Don't we happen to experience that a person living in a city area gets content only by single bread as his refreshment; whereas a person living in a village and working in the farm can easily eat ten breads because he has a stronger digestive power. Similarly, the sexual powers as well as physical power also varies from person to person as you have already read in the preceding pages that a Russian inhabitant was a father of 69 children. So, isn't

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

it a natural mechanism? Nadia Sulaiman of America gave birth to 8 children at a time. Isn't it a natural mechanism?

Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) was bestowed with sexual power.

Tabqat Ibne Sa'ad, Volume 8, Page No 273

> "Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) said: Hazrat Jibraeel (Alaihis Salam) came to me with a pot; I ate from it; and I was bestowed the sexual power equivalent to 40 men".

As against the above authentic statement, if someone says that his Sexual Power increased due to the increase in Hormones, isn't he a severe ignorant? Such narrations are found even in religious books of other religions also in relation to their religious personalities viz. Shri Krishna, Hanumanji, Raja Dashrath, Shaubhari Rishi who had a deluge of wives. Hence, can the cursed Waseem Rizvi dare say what he has uttered against Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) pertaining to the sex power and wives?

MARRIAGE and FORNICATION

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 86 under the heading of "Prostitution is Lawful" that it is mentioned in Durre Mukhtar that....

> "If someone informs I enjoy sexual intercourse with a woman on remuneration, then such an act of him cannot be a punishable act"

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

In fact, here the cursed Waseem Rizvi tried to become a Mufti (An Islamic Religious Expert) simultaneously to be a leader but he has fallen down with face down.

Respected Readers! At the outset I am going to present before you the original Arabic text of Adurrul Mukhtar.

Adurrul Mukhtar, Volume Four, Kitabun Nikah, Babul Mahar, Page No 239.

لو تزوج على ان يهب لابيها الف درهم لها مهر المثل وهب له اولا۔

Translation: "If someone marries a woman on the condition that he will give one thousand rupees to her father, then it is a 'Mehre Misl' for that particular woman, irrespective of whether he gives it or not".

Explanation: Respected Readers! Here, I am going to elucidate the religious implication. It is about 'Mehar'. The cursed Waseem Rizvi needs to understand that it is Kitabun Nikah and Babul Mahar. If someone marries a woman with the commitment to her of giving one thousand Dirham to her father, then it will stand to be 'Mehre Misl' for that woman regardless of the fact he gives it or not. Let it be very clear that here it pertains to giving one thousand Dirham and not pertaining to having sexual intercourse on the remuneration of one thousand Dirham. Besides, it is a narration about one thousand rupees of 'Mehar' as it is obligatory in the Islamic Code of Laws to pay 'Mehre' to solemnise the marriage ceremony. LAV TAZAVAAVZ means 'if someone marries'. But the cursed Waseem Rizvi mistakes its

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

meaning as 'if someone has sexual intercourse'. It implies that if someone solemnises the wedding on the payment of one thousands Dirham. Here, in this statement the word 'Mehar' is silent because the chapter itself is 'Mehar' and, therefore, the word 'Mehar' is understood from the preceding and succeeding context of the sentence. But the cursed Waseem Rizvi has misunderstood it as 'if someone has enjoyed sexual intercourse on the payment of one thousands Dirham'.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes boasting himself as...

> "As per the Islamic Code of Laws, such an act of him can't be a punishable act".

Readers! How can there be any element of punishment while marriage is solemnized on the payment of 'Mehar'? Is there any religion wherein the punishment for marriage has been stipulated? In fact, if someone doesn't solemnize the marriage on the payment of 'Mehar', yet if someone has sexual intercourse, then it would be an act of fornication. The punishment for such an act of fornication is either to whip him hundred whips or to kill him by pelting the stones on him. In fact, the cursed Waseem Rizvi has failed to understand the meaning of LAV TAZAVAAVZ. He has failed to understand even the meaning of 'IBNE' and 'BINTE' let alone the meaning of LAV TAZAVAAVZ. Besides, how can he understand the meaning of LAV TAZAVAAVZ who has failed to understand the meaning of even "AKAL" and "SHARB" as you have already studied in the preceding pages of this book?

Beyond any scintilla of doubt, the Islam religion has

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

fixed a very severe punishment for an act of fornication. Let's study about the punishments for an act of fornication prescribed by the other religions.

Manuji describes in Hindu Dharm Shashtra, Chapter 11, Shalok 172:

> "The compensation for sexual intercourse with the daughter of the father's sister, daughter of maternal aunty or daughter of maternal uncle is 'Chaandraain Brat'. (It is a type of compensation in which one has to reduce one morsel of food daily with the reduction of crescent of the moon; and then one has to increase one morsel daily with the enhancement of the moonlight").

('Chaandraain Brat' is a type of compensation for committing the sexual intercourse with the above stated women which eliminates their sin).

Manu Dharm, Shashtra, Chapter 11, Shalok 175.

> "He will take a bath wearing his clothes who has committed sexual intercourse with a man contrary to nature and with a woman in a running bullock cart".

(In this religion, the punishment for this sin is only to get a bath with the same cloth with which he has committed the sin. His sin will be forgiven. "Author Aasi").

It is mentioned in Dhamnu Dharm Shashtra, Shlok 356 that

> "He deserves the urgent physical punishment

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> who commits the sin though the young girl resists it; but there isn't any provision of punishment for those who gains the benefits from the body of a girl belonging to the same cast and creed with her consent".

Hence, the cursed Waseem Rizvi needed to study the books of other religions also prior to maligning the fabric of the Islam religion. Is it the only punishment that one should get a bath with the same cloth for the sin of committing sodomy? Does the cursed Waseem Rizvi have any convincing reply to this question?

■■■

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

THE FIRE OF PREJUDICE

The cursed Waseem Rizvi has tried his best to tag a label of terrorism with the Muslims out of his raging fire of prejudice and biases. Besides, he has declared the holy Quran as a book of spreading hatred.

Respected Readers! If you study history, you would hardly find a religion in the world in which the conception of battle and war is not found. In fact, it is a flagrant fact that each and every religion dictates the phenomenon of battle though with the differences of doctrines and principles of fights in every religion. Hence, every religion is endowed with a religious right to protect the concerned religion. If and when we study the ancient Hindu religion from the perspective of the chapter of battles, we come to know that their religions also dictate the doctrines and principles of war even more than the Islam religion. The ancient Hindu religious books and scriptures also mandated the war and battles as mandatory to defend against the injustice, tyranny, bloodsheds and to protect the life and property, dignity and respect of mankind. 'Jihaad' (Fight) is a religious term and the religious fight is a 'Jihaad' (Fight). Hence, every religion entails the religious fight which implies that every religion has involved 'Jihaad' (Fight).

RELIGIOUS WAR OR JIHAAD

If we contemplate over the background of the religious war or Jihaad, it is very crystal clear that such war or Jihaad eliminates the oppression and injustice; it delivers

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

justice to the victims which, eventually, helps sustain the life of humanity at large. Therefore, to refer and consider the religious war or Jihaad as terrorism is an utter stupidity and insufficient grasp of its real conception. There exists no resemblance between terrorism and religious war or Jihaad at all. Terrorism is perilous to mankind whereas the religious war or Jihaad is a cause of safeguard for mankind. Hence, the religious war or Jihaad can never be resembled with terrorism at all.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi discusses 24 sacred verses of the holy Quran in his book from page No 39 to 43; out of which, some of the verses of the holy Quran speak about Jihaad, some verses speak about the hypocrites, some verses speak about the retributions in the Hellfire, some verses mention the luxuries and comforts in the Paradise. Let's read the translation of some of the verses of the holy Quran as below.

(1) Surely, Allah will not guide the unbelievers.

(2) O those who believe! Don't make them and the infidels your friends, fear Allah if you are the true believers.

(3) So, whatever wealth you have gained as booty, consider it lawful and clean to eat.

(4) This is the reward for the enemies of Allah (The Hellfire); the Hellfire wherein they would abode for ever. It is in return for defying Our verses.

(5) Then, We flared up the fire of enmity and evil intention between them till the Day of Resurrection;

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Allah will show them very soon what they commit.

(6) Verily, We shall make the infidels taste the torments; and verily We shall give them the most major rewards for what they used to commit.

(7) Allah has promised you many bounties which you will get.

(8) O Messenger! Encourage the believers for a fight; if twenty persons from you are steadfast then they would overcome two hundred; and if there are hundred from you then they would overcome thousand infidels because they are such who don't understand.

(9) And who does more wrong than the one who is remineded of Allah's revelations then turns away from them? We will surely inflict punishment upon the wicked.

(10) SSurely, Allah has purchased of the believers their lives and their belongings and in return has promised that they shall have Paradise. They fight in the Way of Allah and slay and are slain.

Respected Readers! The commandments as described above resemble with that of the religious books of other religions like Yajurved, Manu Smruti, Manu Dharm Shashtra, Atherved, Saty Prakash, Rigved etc. Hence, kindly read about the same and, then, draw a conclusion by yourself as to whether or not the commandments for the religious fights and Jihad are mentioned in those religious books also.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

WAR and JIHAAD IN THE HINDU RELIGION

Dr. Muhammad Ahmed Naeemi writes in his book "The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions", Volume One, on Page No 803 under the heading of "The War and Jihaad in the Hindu Religion."

> (1) O, God of Fire! Our impressive, intelligent King should attack our enemies for our country fuming out his full enmity. The King who knows the subjects or who is very wealthy should embarrass the soldiers of enemies to render the enemies bereft of all. (Atharvaved, Reference from The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religion, Volume One, Page No 803).
>
> (2) O King! Eliminate all the enemies numbering either thousand or five hundred or whatever who are like the pointed thorns in the country. (Rigved, Reference from The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religion, Volume One, Page No 804).
>
> (3) Drink the pure water that makes our body healthy and strong; utilize our inner destroying tendency against the enemies and rebellions of the country which we dislike. (Atharvaved, Reference from The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religion, Volume One, Page No 804).
>
> (4) The hard working and respectable men ought to follow the right path that had been adopted by the forefathers; they should burn down the defiant enemies of the country to ashes in a way the fire burns down the flesh

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

to ashes so that these defiant enemies may not cause any hindrance in adopting the path of the god or the path of intellectuals or the path of pious forefathers. (Atharvaved, Reference from The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religion, Volume One, Page No 804).

(5) It is the occasion of war when the religion is apprehended to be ruined, law and order is disrupted in the country due to troubles so that the self defence can be established or for the protection of the wealth, cows etc. Similarly, when the protection of women and Brahman is a need of hour, Brahmani, Chhatri and Vaishy should take up the weapons. It is not tantamount to any sin to kill or to wage the war amidst such circumstances. (M a n u Smruti, Reference The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions, Volume One, Page No 805).

(6) Kill the master, child, aged or the Brahmin experts of many religious scriptures without any hesitation if they become tyrants and come to attack. (Manu Smruti, Chapter 8, Shalok 350).

(7) It is not an act of any sin to kill the one who hastes to attack someone openly or secretly. (Manu Smruti, Chapter 8, Shalok 351).

(8) He, who gets killed in the war by the weapons, gains the success and the fruit of the period instantly.

(9) The king who desires to kill each other in

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

the war very valiantly without showing the back goes to the Paradise outright.

(10) Prepare the Army and make them aware of the benefits of triumph to be secured by the army, attainment of the Paradise as a consequence of getting killed to the face in the war and getting disgraced in the Hellfire as a consequence of running away from the war. Make an inquiry and consider the efforts of our soldiers while fighting against the army of the enemy. (Manu Smruti, Reference The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions, Volume One, Page No 806).

(11) O those men going forth! Run and race ahead of the enemies, kill the enemies by the order of Idr, crush down the enemies like a pig killing another pig. Your enemy should not happen to run away, so fasten his life also. (Atharvaved, Reference The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions, Volume One, Page No 807).

(12) O those possessing the death! Ruin the one with the help of the most dangerous 'Mantra' who renders the losses and causes troubles to the civilians; and don't spare anyone and kill those who attack very severely. (Atharvaved, Reference The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions, Volume One, Page No 807).

(13) O weapon, which is sharpened with the

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

help of Mantra! Go far off when thrown from here. You go and reach the enemies. Don't spare any of the enemies. (Rigved, Reference The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions, Volume One, Page No 807).

(14) Don't spare the enemy when gripped. If the enemy is powerful, serve him politely and if the enemy is weak, kill him. The spared enemy triggers the danger very soon. (Vedranti, Reference The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions, Volume One, Page No 808).

(15) Cordon the town of the enemy from all sides, trigger destruction to his town from all sides and keep on causing the destruction to the vegetables, food grains, water and fuel relentlessly. (Manu Smruti, Chapter 7, Shalok 195)

(16) Throw him in the mouth of the lion with whom we wage the enmity or who wages the enmity with us; and the king also should throw him in the mouth of the lion. (Yajurveda, Reference The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions, Volume One, Page No 809)

(17) We throw him into the mouth of a dreaded animal with whom we wage the enmity or who wages the enmity with us. (Yajurveda, Reference The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions, Volume One, Page No 809)

(18) We throw them into the air whom we hate or who causes troubles to us and, thereby, trigger predicaments to them like a

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

mouse into the mouth of a cat. (Yajurveda, Reference The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions, Volume One, Page No 806).

(19) O Man! Pass your life permanently comfortably by destroying the enemies in any way you are able to destroy. (Yajurveda, Reference The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions, Volume One, Page 809)

(20) O Man being a king! You burn down the enemies in the fire who are against the religion. O affluent man! You hang them upside down and burn them like a dry stick to those who encourage our enemies. (Yajurveda, Reference The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions, Volume One, Page No 809)

(21) O sharp learned man! You blow off the places of food and drinks or the places of other affairs of the rapid speed enemies very thoroughly. (Yajurveda, Reference The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions, Volume One, Page No 809)

(22) O King! As a sacred disciple of a protective 'Aalim' (A learned man) gains the objects of happiness and peace like fire etc being the learned of the Vedas kills the enemies and destroys the towns of the enemies and, thereby, multiply your richness and prosperity, similarly the other 'Aalim' (Learned men) should also do like him (Yajurveda, Reference The Comparative Study of

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Islam and Hindu Religions, Volume One, Page No 810)

(23) Burn down the opponents of religion in the fire alive. (Rugved, Reference The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions, Volume One, Page No 810)

(24) Chop off each of the joints and organs of the body of the opponents. (Yajurveda, Reference The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions, Volume One, Page No 810)

(25) O gallantry, Brave Soldier! You are the granter of comfort of heart. You take up the sword, cannon, gun for the sake of our protection. You come forward to protect us while being equipped with the bow and wrapped up in the skin of male deer and gain the triumph by cutting down the powerful Army of enemies like a tree. (Yajurveda, Reference The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions, Volume One, Page No 810)

(26) Whosoever wins and brings any of the items like the chariots, horses, elephants, umbrellas, wealth, food grains, animals, servants, jaggery, salt etc and the vessels like copper, brass etc. belong to himself. Present all the things like the elephants, horses, chariots etc won from the war to the king. This is a dictate of the Veds. The king has to distribute the wealth among all the soldiers which is won through the collective efforts of all the soldiers at a time. (Manu Smruti, Chapter

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

7, Shalok 96, Manu Dharm Shashtra, Chapter 7, Shalok 97)

(27) Crave to gain the thing which cannot be gained by force; protect the goods and wealth carefully which is won and brought. (Manu Smruti, Chapter 7, Shalok 101, Manu Dharm Shashtra, Chapter 7, Shalok 99)

(28) Be always ready for the attack; specially demonstrate the bravery; always detect the weakness of the enemies (Manu Dharm Shashtra, Chapter 7, Shalok 102)

(29) The whole world remains scared by those who are always ready to attack; he should control all the created beings even if it can be accomplished by force (Manu Dharm Shashtra, Chapter 7, Shalok 103)

(30) The enemies must never be allowed to come to know our weakness; but he should be aware of the weakness of the enemies. (Manu Dharm Shashtra, Chapter 7, Shalok 105)

(31) Don't turn away the face while facing the challenge of the enemy though the enemy is either equal or weak or powerful. He should remember the obligation of an umbrella (Manu Dharm Shashtra, Chapter 7, Shalok 87)

(32) To comprehend something, we have to take into consideration the two opposite objectives. Give away our daughters in marriage and save the sons. (Manu Dharm

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Shashtra, Chapter 7, Shalok 152).

(33) Six things are worth considering behind the kingly prudence. Unity, war, to be at the forefront, camping, distribution into the hands of the army and the search for the place of shelter (Manu Dharm Shashtra, Chapter 7, Shalok 160)

(34) Be cautious when we are vulnerable in terms of the strength of the chariots and loading animals and gain the understanding of the enemies gradually. (Manu Dharm Shashtra, Chapter 7, Shalok 172)

(35) When we find that the enemy is overpowering from all perspectives, break up its army in order to secure our objective. (Manu Dharm Shashtra, Chapter 7, Shalok 173)

(36) If we find that the evils spread in an act of protection, then adopt the path of war without any hesitation. (Manu Dharm Shashtra, Chapter 7, Shalok 176)

(37) When the enemies are besieged, stay in the camps erected outside, destroy their regions from the base, and keep on destroying the grass, food, fuel, water. Similarly, destroy the lakes, harvests and tunnels. Attack the enemies at night suddenly and render them frightened. (Manu Dharm Shashtra, Chapter 7, Shalok 196)

(38) Be aware of the activities of the enemies

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

and fight fearlessly in an attempt to secure the success. (Manu Dharm Shashtra, Chapter 7, Shalok 197)

(39) We should exert the strength appropriately and we should fight in a way that leads to complete success over the enemies (Manu Dharm Shashtra).

(40) Worship the goddesses after gaining victory in the fights; respect the true Brahmin. (Manu Dharm Shashtra, Chapter 7, Shalok 201)

(41) When you are warranted for war, don't hesitate to plunge into the battlefield recalling the religion of 'Chhatriya'; instead fight against them cleverly so that we can secure the success. (Satyarth Prakash, Volume Six)

(42) Sometimes it is obligatory to hide from in front of the enemies with the purpose of overpowering over the enemies because we must do whatever leads us to success. (Satyarth Prakash, Volume Six).

(43) The servant who runs away out of fear and then gets killed by the enemies incurs a sin. He deserves the punishment. Even his master lifts away the prestige from him due to which he was destined to get happiness and pleasure in the hereafter. (Satyarth Prakash, Volume Six).

(44) He who runs away from the war doesn't get any happiness and pleasure; instead the

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

fruit of his virtues deeds go in waste. (Satyarth Prakash, Volume Six)

(45) He attains the fame who fights sincerely keeping in mind the religion. (Satyarth Prakash, Volume Six).

(46) He will take the vehicle, horse, wealth, ration, animals, women, ghee, oil etc who won them in the war. (Satyarth Prakash, Volume Six)

(47) The army men should hand over the sixteenth proportion of the things to the king from what is won; and the king should also give the sixteenth proportion of the wealth which is secured by them all unitedly to the army men. (Satyarth Prakash, Volume Six).

(48) If anyone dies while fighting, his share should be handed over to his wife and children. (Satyarth Prakash, Volume Six)

(49) After securing the triumph, get a Bond of Agreement executed to the effect that you have to abide by our commandments i.e. in accordance with the religiously associated governing system of the nation and, thereby, you have to take care of the subjects of the country. (Satyarth Prakash, Volume Six)

(50) Don't fight without the alignment. (Satyarath Parakash, Volume Six).

The general ideas of the war, Jihaad or religious fights mentioned in the Atharvaved, Yajurveda, Manu Shashtra, Manu Smruti and the other religions books are

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

presented hereunder. Kindly read it.

(1) Attack the enemies. (Atharvaved)

(2) Rende the enemies empty handed.
(Atharvaved)

(3) Eliminate all the enemies numbering a thousand or five hundreds or whatever.
(Rigved)

(4) Burn down the enemies to ashes as the fire burns down the flesh to ashes.
(Atharvaved)

(5) At a time when the religion is apprehended to be ruined, there isn't any sin in killing or waging the war for the sake of religion.
(Manu Smruti)

(6) Kill the master, child, aged or the learned man of the religious books without any hesitation if they become tyrants and come forward to attack (Manu Smruti).

(7) It is not a sin at all to kill someone who hastens to kill someone (Manu Smruti).

(8) He, who gets killed in the war by weapons, attains the fruit of the time and success. (Manu Smruti)

(9) He who fights in the war and doesn't turn back goes to the Paradise outright
(Manu Smruti)

(10) A soldier who gets killed in the war attains the Paradise; and he gets disgraced in the Hellfire who runs away (Manu Smruti).

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

(11) Beat the enemies by the order of Idr! Crush the enemies; your enemy must not escape (Atharvaved).

(12) Don't spare anyone; kill those who attack dangerously (Atharvaved).

(13) He incus the sin who runs away from the war (Satyarath Parakash).

(14) His virtues deeds go in waste who runs away from the war (Satyarath Parakash).

(15) He achieves the fame who fights sincerely keeping in mind the religion. (Satyarth Prakash)

(16) The horse, woman, wealth, food grains belong to those who secure them in the war. (Satyarath Parakash).

(17) The army men should hand over the sixteenth share to the king from the things won (Satyarth Prakash).

(18) The king should give the sixteenth share to the soldiers of the army from what is won unitedly by them (Satyarath Parakash).

(19) If someone died in the war, his share should be handed over to his wife and children. (Satyaprakash)

(20) After securing the triumph, get a Bond of Agreement executed from them to the effect that they would look after the civilians by doing the jobs according to our commandments i.e. by doing the jobs

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

associated with the religion (Satyarth Prakash).

(21) Don't fight without alignment
(Satyarth Prakash).

(22) You go and reach the enemies; don't spare any of the enemies (Rigved).

(23) You should not let the enemy escape once he is in your control (Vedraniti)

(24) Kill the enemy if he is vulnerable because the escaped enemy triggers a danger.
(Vedraniti).

(25) Cordon the enemies from all four sides and trigger destruction to their regions from every side (Manu Smruti).

(26) Keep on destroying the foodgrains, vegetables, water, fuel (Manu Smruti).

(27) O Man! Act in whatever way the enemies can be eliminated (Yajurveda).

(28) O Kingly Man! You burn down the enemies who are against the religion.
(Yajurveda)

(29) O man of vanity and prosperity! Burn them down like a dried stick who encourage our enemies (Yajurveda).

(30) If you find that the rebellion is spreading, adopt the path of war without any hesitation (Manu Dharm Shashtra).

(31) Attack the enemies at night suddenly and frighten them at night. (Manu Dharm

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Shashtra).

(32) Ruin the regions of the enemies, destroy the lakes and harvests.

(Manu Dharm Shashtra).

(33) You should fight in a way that you secure the complete success over the enemies.

(Manu Dharm Shashtra).

(34) When you are called for war, you should plunge into the war without any fear recalling the religion of Chhatriya (Satyarth Prakash).

(35) Wage the war against the enemies very cleverly that leads to our success

(Satyarth Prakash).

(36) You should do only what can help bring the enemies under control (Satyarth Prakash).

(37) O sharp learned man! Destroy the places of foods and drinks and the places where the enemies work very thoroughly (Yajurveda).

(38) Kill the enemy with full vigor (Yajurveda).

(39) Those who know the Vedas escalate his status by destroying the village of your enemies. Similarly, the rest of 'Aalim' (A learned man) should also do it accordingly.

(Yajurveda)

(40) Burn the opponents of religion alive.

(Rigved).

(41) Chop off each joint of the body and each organ of the body of the opponents.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

(Yajurveda)

(42) O Mighty Commander of an army! Take up the sword, cannon and gun for the sake of protection (Yajurveda).

(43) Secure the triumph by cutting down the armies of the enemies like a tree (Yajurveda).

(44) It is a dictate of the Vedas that the king should distribute the wealth among all soldiers which is won by the united efforts of all the soldiers at a time (Manu Smruti)

(45) Desire to achieve the thing by force which is not achieved (Manu Smruti).

(46) Be always prepared for an attack.
(Manu Dharm Shashtra).

(47) Always be in search of detecting the vulnerabilities of the enemies.
(Manu Dharm Shashtra).

(48) Take all the created beings under control even if it can be done through the strength.
(Manu Dharm Shashtra).

(49) Don't turn away while facing the challenge of the enemy.
(Many Dharm Shashtra).

(50) He should remember the obligation of an umbrella while fighting against the enemies.
(Manu Dharm Shashtra).

(51) We throw him into the mouth of the lion who wages the enmity with us (Yajurveda).

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

Respected Readers! Now it is left to your understanding and conclusion that isn't it tantamount to violence and oppression what is mentioned very explicitly in the above stated religious books, Shalok, Mantr viz. war, 'Jihaad', religious fights, procurement of the booty, killing the enemies, to obtain the women from the wars won, to capture the wealth, to destroy the lakes, harvests, trees and plants, to burn down the opponents in the fire, to attack at night under surprise, to frighten at night, to burn them down handing them upside down, to adopt the path of war, to take the enemies under control, to take up the swords, canons, guns, to chop off each joint of the body of the opponents? Then, why doesn't the cursed Waseem Rizvi cherish any objection against such aggressive statements? Why doesn't he file any petition in the Supreme Court to get such statements removed from the books? Does the cursed Waseem Rizvi have any prejudiced school of thoughts? In fact, a man of justice and fairness would never maintain prejudiced thoughts; instead he would have unprejudiced school of thoughts.

ISLAMIC JIHAAD and WAR IN THE HINDU RELIGION

Dr. Muhammad Ahmed Naeemi writes in his book on "The Comparative Study of Islam and Hindu Religions", Volume One, Page No 803:

So long as the significance and virtues of the Islamic Jihaad and the Wars in the Hindu Religions concern, there prevails a remarkable resemblance between what the Islam religion and the ancient Hindu religion dictate. But, one finds a huge difference in a matter of kind and

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

humble behaviour as well as the generous heartedness with the enemies on the battlefield. It is because the chief objectives of waging the war from the Islamic perspectives is never to destroy the enemy community at all; instead it aims at protecting and rendering the immunity to mankind in the world against injustice, tyranny, violence. Therefore, the Islam religion dictates to exert only as much strength against the enemies as is sufficient to destroy the elements of injustice, oppression, violence etc. On the contrary, the Hindu religion cherishes the idea of never to allow any opportunity to the enemies; and to annihilate them by applying the maximum possible strength against them. To the sight of the Islamic war, it is an ordinance for the Muslims to kill only those enemies or infidels who kill the Muslims i.e. the Muslims can exert only as much oppression as they have exerted against them and never to trespass that limit because Allah, the Almighty, Commands on the holy Quran as follows.

> "So whoever has assaulted you, then assault him in the same way that he has assaulted you" (Surah Baqarah, Verse 194)

> "Verily, Allah does not like transgressors".
>
> (Surah Baqarah, Verse 190)

> "Indeed, He does not like wrongdoers".
>
> (Surah Shura, Verse 40)

> "Verily, Allah does not like those who cause mischief" (Surah Qisas, Verse: 87)

But, it is not dictated as a condition in the ancient Hindu religion or Vedas the Vedists or the ancient Hindu

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

religion believers should only kill those who kill the Vedists or the Hindus without any further exaggeration in tyranny; instead the dictate of the ancient Hindu religion or the Vedas is: "Even if he is just an opponent of the religion", irrespective of he kills or not, irrespective of he exerts oppression or not, kill him and impart the various severest punishments for death which you have already read in the above stated Shalok. Hence, the above stated Mantra of the Vedas teaches to behave very extremely mercilessly against the enemies and opponents. So, the commandments of the Vedas as its objectives are to kill, to burn down in the fire, to throw into the mouth of the lions, to destroy their farms and cultivations and towns, to cut down absolutely like the trees to those who don't believe in the ancient Hindu religion or Vedas or cherish any animosity against their followers. Besides, it is worth noting a point in these Mantra that it dictates to deliver the severest death punishment merely for animosity or hatred by someone that too by throwing him into the mouth of lion and the other dreadful animals. Furthermore, it is a very astonishing statement in these books that they dictate to deliver the same above stated severe punishments to those who cherish the animosity against us and against whom we cherish the animosity and hatred. What a shocking and surprising justice it is! For what crime is this punishment prescribed for a person against whom you hate and cherish the animosity?

In brief, the Islam religion doesn't impart any such teaching at any place to behave so severely, mercilessly and virulently against the enemies and antagonists as

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

has been prescribed by the ancient Hindu religions books. Hence, the Islam religion never teaches to kill or to throw into the mouth of lion and dreadful animals or to burn down to ashes or to cut down like a tree to the person who doesn't accept the Islam religion or keeps the animosity against the Muslims or the Muslims cherish the animosity against him or who is immoral, tyrant and misbehaving. On the contrary, the Lord Almighty ehxorts as follows

"There is no compulsion in the religion".

(Sura-e-Baqarah, Verse 256.

It is mentioned in Tabrani, Volume Two, Page No 65 that ..

Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) said:

الخلق كله عيال الله فاحبهم الى الله انفعهم لعياله۔"

Translation: All the created beings are a family of Allah; and Allah loves him the most from all the creatures who renders maximum benefits to His family.

Mishkatul Masabih, Volume Two, Page No 423.

الراحمون يرحمهم الرحمن ارحموا من فى الارض يرحمكم من فى السماء۔

Translation: "The merciful shows mercy to those who show mercy. You show mercy to those on the earth, then those on the Heavens will show mercy to you".

The above reflected statements of the holy Quran and Hadith vouch for the fact that we must not compel

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

anyone in the matters of religion and to the extent possible we should behave humbly and kindly to the creatures of Allah irrespective of him/her our enemy or friend. This is the way of behaviour which Allah loves. However, if an issue doesn't get resolved out of kindness and humbleness, then you can exert only as much punishment as is exerted on you. The Lord Almighty says.

> "If you take retribution, then do so in proportion to the wrong done to you"
>
> (Surah Nahal, Verse No 126)

The Lord Almighty says at another place

> "A life for a life, and an eye for an eye, and a nose for a nose, and an ear for an ear, and a tooth for a tooth, and for all wounds"
>
> (Surah Maida: Verse No 45)

It implies that one should retaliate and punish in proportion to the injustice and oppression done. This is the genuine justice and fairness of the Islam religion. Thus and this way, the difference between the Ialam religion and the ancient Hindu religion in respect of behaviour with the enemies and opponents is apparently reflected here.

■■■

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

THE MUSLIMS DON'T HIDE THE ROLE OF THE MESSENGER; INSTEAD THEY PRINT and PUBLISH IT

It's beyond any scintilla of doubt that hundreds of thousands persons came to the world who wished for the wellbeing, benevolence and prosperity of mankind at large and worked towards that aim and direction. But, none other than the sacred personality of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) has influenced mankind at large in the world in respect of the benefits and benevolence for mankind. We find the statements of respect for his characters and vouching for the chastity of his manners and behaviour in our books as well as in the books of the other religions also. Numberless big, bigger and biggest books have already been written in various languages in all the corners of the world in every era depicting the extraordinary personality, code of conduct and the messages of the Great Messenger (Peace & blessings be on him). These books on Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) have been written and published in all the forms viz. educative, solemn and investigative also to meet the needs and interest of children, youngsters, and adult. Let it be kindly known that hundreds of thousands of books are available on his each and every sphere of personality.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 127 that ...

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

> "Why are the Muslims afraid of talking on the characters of Muhammad? The Hindus consider the deeds of Ram as ideal for them keeping in mind the good deeds of Ram performed by him so that they can keep in mind the good deeds of Ram. In fact, it is a reality that Muhammad had no any good character at all. The Muslims hide Muhammad".

It's absolutely beyond any scintilla of doubt that the cursed Waseem Rizvi is thoroughly ignorant and unknown. He does not know the history of the past time nor does he have any sight on the history of the contemporary time. The Muslims are never afraid of talking about the biography of Prophet Muhammad) Peace and blessings be on him) in any era nor they hide Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). In fact, the Muslims don't hide the biography and characters of their Prophet instead they write and publish the books on it. Let it be kindly known that on every Friday, Ulema (Clerics) deliver the sermon in the mosques on the biography of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) itself. As soon as the moon of Rabiul Awwal is sighted, they deliver the sermons in every town in front of thousands of audience till the 12th of the month. As soon as the moon of Muharram is sighted, they deliver the sermons in front of thousands of people on the biography of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) and his children till the 10th of every month. Hence, if the Muslims are afraid of delivering the sermons on

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

biography of him, how is it possible that they deliver the sermons in front of the deluge of people on an open platform? So, here at this point also, the falsehood of the cursed Waseem Rizvi is proved.

PROPHET OF ISLAM TO THE SIGHT OF NON-MUSLIMS

So long as it pertains to hiding the biography of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him), I throw a challenge that on no other religious leader as many books have been written and published in the world in various languages on the topic of the biography and characters as have been written and published on the biography and characters of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). Now I mention here very explicitly that the Indian and European

Non-Muslim writers have exhibited the Tributary Admiration to him by writing plenty of books on the biography of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him).

Dr. Muhammad Raziul Islam Nadvi quotes many references of numerous non-Muslim scholars under Mazamin.Com in the magazine of July 2016 under the heading of "The Prophet of Islam to the sight of Non-Muslims" He writes that..

Professor Rama Krishna Rao was the president of the Philosophy Department in Women's Marathi Arts College, Mysore. He wrote a book on "Muhammad, The Prophet of Islam". He appreciated the element of Democracy and Equality in the teachings of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). As a consequence of his

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

teachings, the principles of international unity and brotherhood reached at the highest horizon. To the sight of the society of the Prophet, he used to possess the most decent and noblest characters and, therefore, even the Jews also used to acknowledge his truthfulness.

Dr. N. K. SINGH

Dr. N. K. Singh was the Director of The International for Religious Studies, Delhi. He deliberated and researched on the topic of Islamism and wrote a substantial book on the title of Prophet Muhammad and his Companions. He writes in the Introduction of the book: The name of Prophet Muhammad is well known for the development of a new era. The characters of this extraordinary personality are extremely chaste and decent among the religious groups.

Muhammad as a human being is now no more with us but as a Prophet, he has left behind him the assets of the Quran and Sunnat (His way of life). If we practise solemnly on whatever teachings he has left behind him, we can gain the happiest life in the world.

An Article of a famous Indian writer by name Munshi Premchand was published in December 1925 in "Fatah Roza Pratap". He writes in that Article that: The life-giving messages rained through the holy tongue of Hazrat Muhammad from the summit of Arafat Mountain will sustain serving as a Water of Life forever to live life on Islam. No other religion has so much strength and fascination for humanity at large as the Islam religion entails. For instance, when we offer Namaz, even an ordinary sweeper stands very beside the richest man of

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

the city in the same row which triggers a sense of cascade of pride in his heart. On the contrary, those people in the Hindu religion who are categorised as underprivileged are not allowed even to rise on the platform of a well nor are they allowed to enter the temples. These are the signs of not uniting someone but to estrange someone.

RAJENDRA NARAYAN LAL:

Rajendra Narayan Lal did his MA from the Kashi Hindu University in 1940 on The Current History of Hindustan and Sanskrit. He wrote a book on "Islam as a self-proven way of life" He mentions the event of the conquest of Mecca on the page No 32 of his book that: On the occasion of the conquest of Mecca not a single person lost his life under the governance of Hazrat Muhammad. Prophet and his Companions freed their respective enemies without securing any retaliation for their oppression against them. We find no such illustration of mass forgiveness in the history of the world granted by the conqueror to the losers after winning the battle. On the contrary, we find the stories of horrible massacres to the rivals by the gods mentioned in the rest of the other religious mythologies.

He further writes that Hazrat Muhammad Sahab prayed even in favour of the tyrants too while tolerating the oppressions from them. He used to wage the defensive war only under the extremely desperate circumstances that too under the commandments of the Lord. And even after gaining the sweeping victory, he used to forgive all the tyrants collectively who had inflicted the extreme oppression on his Companions. His mission of

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

life was Islam as a true religion. If scientifically "Struggle for Existence" and "Survival of The Reformation" is right, which is in fact a scientist principle, then Hazrat Muhammad Sahab had indeed turned out to be a perfect one as personality as well as in a capacity of a Messenger of the Lord. Besides, Islam is the only religion as a Deen (Religion) which stands to the tests of these principles.

SWAMI LAXMI SHANKAR AACHARYA

Swami Laxmi Shankar Aacharya was born in 1953 in Kanpur. He studied in Alhabad. Then, he abandoned the materialism and switched over to spirituality. As a consequence of getting influenced by the anti-Islamic propaganda, he wrote a book "The History of Islamic Tolerance". His school of thoughts changed as a result of studying Islamism. He authored a book in Hindi "Islamic Terrorism or Ideal". He refuted all his previous books and begged forgiveness from the Muslims. He strongly refuted all the allegations of terrorism being charged against the Islam religion. He appreciated the elements of Justice and Fairness in Islam, Equality and the rest of Quranic teachings on good behaviours and spirituality. The hate mongers against the Islam religion extracted twenty four verses of the holy Quran without any preceding and succeeding context and, thereby, projected the Muslims as violent people to the sight of the people of other religions which is nothing but absolutely a wrong act of spreading the terrorism committed by them. These verses in question carry a particular background which had a special relevance at the time of their revelation. A general commandment to

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

deal with the people believing in other religions of the later eras was not issued in those verses of the holy Quran. Swamiji further writes: The sacred biography of Prophet Hazrat Muhammad Sahab proves that the real mission of the Islam religion is to establish truth and peace in the world and to oppose the terrorism.

An Islamic Scholar Muhammad Yahya Khan wrote a book "The Messenger of Islam to the sight of Non-Muslims". He writes the responses of Indian and European Non-Muslims in that book which speaks about the Islam religion and the biography of the Messenger of Islam. Hence, you can read and think that the Non-Muslims of India and foreign countries also exhibited their conviction in the Messenger of Islam.

The author writes on Page No 21 of his book "The Messenger of Islam to the sight of Non-Muslims".

THOMAS CARLYLE

Thomas Carlyle was born in Scotland in 1795. He was a very outstanding scholar and philosopher in Britain. He wrote a very elucidative book on "Revolution of France" in 1873. He wrote the letters, sermons and biography of Cromwell in Britain who believed in the Economical Revolution. In 1865, he was selected as an Honorary Director of Anambra University. He died in 1881.

He wrote an essay on "The Hero as Prophet Mohammed and Islam". He writes in that essay that at a time when the ignorance, indecency, idol-worshipping, irreligiousness were prevalent in the Northern world viz. Sweden's, Denmark and Norway; consequently mankind was living life aimlessly and in an uncontrolled way, at

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

the same time a light of religion emerged in the Arab countries which means, according to me, the sending of the Messenger of Muslims. Let it be kindly known that it was not an ordinary event. In fact, it was an inception of a new era which rendered an unprecedented revolution in the conditions and thoughts of mankind at large.

The personality to trigger such an unprecedented revolution was none other than Hazrat Muhammad who appeared in the form of a religious hero. He had never claimed to be the Lord nor did his followers ever grant him the status of Lordship.

I have chosen the title of my essay as a Great Personality Muhammad born on the land of Arab not because that he is considered to be best Messenger but because we can express our views very blatantly on him though we are Non-Muslims. Besides, there isn't any potential risk for anyone from us entering into the fold of Islam as a consequence of accepting his excellent attributes. Therefore, I desire to describe all those good qualities of him which can be presented keeping in mind the conditions of facts and justice. I do believe him to be a true Prophet with full passion. We must ponder over him neutrally without any prejudice in order to know about the secret of his success and honour.

What were the teachings of Hazrat Muhammad and what conceptions did he present about this world? We can judge his teachings justly only when we consider him to be a true man.

But very unfortunately we have got a school of thoughts

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

hardwired in our mind that the Islam was sorcery and the Prophet was its sorcerer. So, we have to get rid of such a pattern of school of thoughts and futile convictions about it. Whatever falsehood and fallacies we have disseminated regarding the personality of Muhammad is a cause of the third degree shamelessness for us. One of the illustrations for it is that when a learned man of Bible being a prominent Orientalist namely Edward Deepocok asked the other Orientalist namely Grotius as to what evidence did he have pertaining to a pigeon domesticated by Muhammad which picked up and ate the beans of near his ears and that pigeon was known as an Angel. Grotius replied that we have no evidence for it. Hence, now it is a ripe time that we should refrain from such futile, baseless and fallacious gossips and allegations.

Eighteen thousand people have been heartily attached to this "Deen" (Islam Religion)) for the past twelve hundred years. These eighteen thousand people also are the offspring of Aadam like us. Every year the number of people believing in Muhammad outnumbers the people in the whole world who believe in the rest of the religious personalities. Hence, how can we conclude that such a huge number of people are the victims of spiritual sorcery? These crores of the devotees of the Lord bear an indomitable conviction in the truthfulness of the words articulated through his tongue. These words expressed by him have been serving as a flame of guidance to them. Hence, how can we admit that all these words and convictions were nothing but merely a spiritual magic? Therefore, at least I can never even

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

think of such allegations and surmises as true at all.

(NOTE: According to a genuine record, the number of Muslim population till 1996 was one hundred ten crore in the world)

THE EMPEROR OF FRANCE, NEPOLIAN

The emperor of France, Napoleon Bonaparte, says that the personality of Muhammad was a central position; towards whom people used to throng in fascination. His teachings made the people obedient and heartily dedicated to him which generated a particular group of persons who elevated the flag of Islam in just a few years.

Hazrat Musa delivered the lesson of the existence of the Lord to his nation in Rome. Hence, Hazrat Isa and Hazrat Muhammad also delivered the same lesson; but the people of Arab were the staunch idol-worshippers. When people forget the teachings of Hazrat Ibrahim, Hazrat Ismail, Hazrat Musa then Hazrat Muhammad made them recall the elevated place of God.

The people had created a severe tumult out of the western thoughts to the effect that the Lord does exist, Massiha does exist and Ruhul Qudus does exist but Hazrat Muhammad pronounced that none exists but Lord. He is neither begotten by anyone, nor is there any son of him nor is there anyone who is worthy of worship. He says that the belief in Trinity itself gives birth to idol worship and, therefore, be it known that none but God is the Lord.

Napoleon further says that the day is not too far from

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

now when I shall get all the learned and intellectuals united in order to establish a new era which will be of the same colour resembling one another and the principle of the holy Quran would be its basis. I do find that nothing but the principle of the holy Quran is final.

SWAMI BHAWANI DAYAL SANYASI

Swamiji was a well known leader of India from South Africa. He says:

> "Muhammad Sahab didn't quit the perseverance and courage. He continued preaching the Islam religion persistently. He never hesitated from telling the truth. Eventually the words of Muhammad Sahab gained success and fame. The entire Arab turned out to be clean and sacred from all evils during his lifetime itself. Each and every idol was shattered down and thrown away from the Ka'aba. Consequently, this ancient place of worship again became a central place of worship of the Lord."

THE FOREIGN MINISTER OF ROMANIA, KOSTAN WARJEL:

Kostan Varjel Giorgio presents his element of conviction in the Court of the Messenger as follows.

> "The slave, black complexion, estranged and boycotted from the community from whom majority of the people were destitute who used to imagine before the Islam religion that there would come a day when we too would

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

be called to be the companions of others. When Muhammad appeared, he said: All mankind are equal and there isn't any difference".

GANDHIJI

Mohandas Karamchand Gandhi was born in 1869 and he was assassinated in 1948. He writes that:

> "I have got more confident than the earlier time that the Islam religion hasn't founded its establishment through the sword. In fact, its reason is the complete sacrifice of the Prophet himself, his extreme simplicity, to stand by his promises very responsibly, an extreme degree of alliance with his friends, generosity, fearlessness, a staunch confidence in his mission and the strongest faith in his Lord."

MICHAEL HART

Michael Hart authored a book on "The 100 Most Influential Persons of the World in History". He ranked the sacred Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) as the first and foremost Influential person from among all these persons. Let it be known that the author believes in the Christianity. He considered the element of justice and fairness and, consequently, ranked Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) on the topmost position among all followed by Hazrat Isa (Alaihis Salam). Kindly read what the writer says about it as stated below.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

My choice of Muhammad to lead the list of the world's most influential persons may surprise some readers and may be questioned by others, but he was the only man in history who was supremely successful on, both, the religious and secular levels.

Michael Hart further writes:

> "Today, thirteen centuries after his death, his Influence is still powerful and pervasive.
>
> Muhammad played a far more important role in the development of Islam than Jesus did in the development of Christianity."

THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI; OPEN YOUR EYES

If the cursed Waseem Rizvi had possessed the genuine eyes of realization, he must not have claimed that the Muslims hide the characters of Muhammad. All the above stated statements are expressed by the non-Muslims; whereon I can write thousands of hundreds pages, but what I have written may be perhaps sufficient to open his eyes of realization.

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 127 that: "The Hindu organize the plays on the life of Ram considering the good deeds of him in order to keep his good deeds in mind". The cursed Waseem Rizvi ought to be aware that the Exhibition, Dramas, Plays have no significance at all. The Islam religion does not recognise to organise any Dramas, Plays etc to demonstrate the biography and characters of any personality even if he is a leader of any religion.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

The cursed Waseem Rizvi says that the characters of Ram are presented to the people at large by a vehicle of holding the Drama and Plays on the life of Ram. Therefore, I would like to ask the cursed Waseem Rizvi why did Michael Hart not accord any position to Ram in his book on The 100 Most Influential Persons of the World though his life is presented with the help of such Drama and Plays? There exists no Drama or Play on the life and characters of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) at all; yet why did he find the top ranking position among all 100 persons in his book? Here, the cursed Waseem Rizvi needs to keep in mind the fact that the writer of the said book is not a Muslim; he is a non-Muslim. In fact, he is a very just and upright writer and, therefore, he had accorded the top ranking status to the biography and characters of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) acknowledging the elements of Justice and fairness.

Now, here I am going to write about some other non-Muslim authors in general who had authored various books on the biography of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) in the diverse languages so that the curtain of prejudice can be lifted away from the psychology of the cursed Waseem Rizvi.

ACCORDING TO THE REPORT OF WIKIPEDIA

According to the report of the Wikipedia, 74 writers wrote the books on Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) in just fifty years of time from 1900 to 1950. Kindly read some of them in brief.

(1) Claude L. Pickens

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

He is a Professor of Harvard University, China. He has written a book with the title "Biography of literature on Islam in China.

(2) Geo Widengren, a resident of Sweden, has written a book with the title "Muhammad, The Apostate of God and his Ascension".

(3) Francis E Peters has written a book with the title "Muhammad and The Origins of Islam".

(4) Wilfred Madelung, a resident of Germany, has written a book with the title "The Success to Muhammad".

(5) Gerald de Gaury, a resident of New York, has written a book with the title "Rulers of Mecca".

(6) Giulio Basetti-sani, a resident of Italy, has written a book with the title "Muhammad as Saint Francois"

I have mentioned just a few names of the books with their authors as an illustration. If you want to study more in detail about the authors, kindly search on the Wikimedia

THE CURSED WASEEM RIZVI IS A VERY BIG BULL

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 127

> "Respect and disrespect is given to the people who are alive".

In fact, I haven't come across any bigger bull than the cursed Waseem Rizvi. I have accorded him the epithet of 'A Bull' because in the communicative phrase 'A Bull '

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

implies to be a Foolish and there isn't any bigger foolish than him.

Respected Readers! Let it be known that even a layman can also recognise the fact that the phenomenon of respect for the respectable persons has relevance while one is alive and even after the death. The respectable persons are respected even after their death also. Those who believe in Isa Masih (Alaihis Salam) respect him even till today as they don't tolerate his disgrace at all. Similarly, the believers of Ram who used to respect him while he was alive do respect him in the same way even till today and they don't tolerate any insult to him at all. Very similarly, the believers in Islam do respect their Prophet even after his death also; and they don't tolerate any humiliation to him at all. The believers of Ram call him Shri Ram and not only Ram when they mention him. So, it is nothing but the respect being accorded him after his death. But the cursed Waseem Rizvi fails to understand this fact. Besides, the cursed Waseem Rizvi's memory power also is very weak. Let it be kindly known that very recently, Arvind Shrivastav, an Advocate, from Haridwar, Uttar Pradesh filed a petition in March-2006 against the well known Artist of India namely M. F. Hussain (Maqbul Fida Hussain) as he says that M. F. Hussain has humiliated a Hindu goddess in his paintings. Here, the cursed Waseem Rizvi is asked: Was that goddess alive today? Then, how was it tantamount to her disgrace? Hence, now it is very apparent that the respectable personalities are honoured in every time. But the cursed Waseem Rizvi is a Bull and therefore, it is impossible for him to decipher this fact.

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

THE MERCIFUL VERSES

The cursed Waseem Rizvi writes in his book on Page No 26

> "Ibne Sahab writes that once the Messenger of Allah was reading out Surah An-Najm (Surah 53) near Qaba amongst the people. When he reached the verse No 19-20 and recited "Have you ever thought about Al-Lat and Al-Uzza", Satan (Devil) made him read these two verses. All these three goddesses are comfortable. Salvation is expected through their intercession.
>
> The Influential Quraish of Mecca became very happy due to these words. They discarded the boycott and animosity against Muhammad".

Respected Readers! This is the objection of the cursed Waseem Rizvi. These are the same verses on the basis of which the cursed Salman Rushdi of the current times wrote a book "The Satanic Verses" which rendered him dishonoured all across the world. The cursed Waseem Rizvi will get more dishonoured than the cursed Salman Rushdi, Insha Allah. An immense literature has already been written down to the reply of the book of Salman Rushdi. The famous Islamic Scholar Dr. Rafiq Zakariya has authored the book "Muhammad and the Quran" in repudiation of the said book of him in which he has very severely rebuked him. Besides, Maulana Abul Aala Maududi has presented very powerful, substantial and

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

exhaustive replies against the Satanic Verses in his book "Tafsire Quran" by the name of "Tafhimul Quran" in Surah Hajj. He has presented very thorough discourse running into ten pages. I'm going to present the gist of the content below.

Let's read the story at the outset. It says that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) wished in his heart that a particular verse of the holy Quran should be sent which removes the hatred of the Quraish triggering their intimacy. Once, when he was sitting in a gathering of the Quraish , Surah Najm was revealed to him which he started to recite. When he reached to recite AFRAAYAT.....all of a sudden he happened to express the words like TILK AL GARABAKT.....(These are the highly stationed goddesses, their intercession can be expected). Thereafter, he continued reciting the verse of Surah Najm. Finally, when he performed the "Sajda" (Prostration), all the Muslims and Infidels also proceeded to the "Sajda" (Prostration).

As soon as the migrants of Habsha heard about this happening, they returned to Mecca thinking that Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) and the Infidels of Mecca have reached reconciliation between them.

Let it be kindly known that this story is mentioned by Ibne Shihaab Zohri, Tabraani, Vaaqidi but it is considered wrong by Ibne Kasir, Baihaqi, Qazi Ayaaz, Imam Razi, Kartabi, Badruddin Aini, Shaukaani, Allama Aalusi etc. Ibne Kasir says that: I haven't come across the seamless chained pattern of the narration of this story from among all the links of narrations. Baihaki says

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

that this story is not proved from the perspective of its narrations.

Ibne Khuzaimah said that this story is fabricated by the atheists. Qazi Ayaz says that the vulnerability of this story is proved from the fact that none of the writers of "Sihaahe Sitta" (A set of six authentic books) has ever mentioned this story at all. Imam Razi, Qazi Abu Bakar and Aalusi have thoroughly deliberated on it and, consequently, dismissed this story.

The inherent ingredients of this story itself prove it to be wrong. It is written that this story happened at a time when the migration of Habsha had already taken place and having heard about this story, one of the groups of the migrants of Habsha returned to Mecca. Now, read about the historical variations pertaining to it.

According to reliable history, the migration of Habsha took place in the 5th year after the Prophethood in the month of Rajab and the migrants of Habsha returned in the same year in the month of Shawwal. Hence, it proves that, for sure, this incident took place in the 5th year after the Prophethood. It is said that Sura-e-Bani-Israil was revealed as a reprimand for the act of Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him). It was revealed after the event of 'Mea'raaj' (Ascension) and according to the reliable narrations, the event of 'Mea'raaj'

(Ascension) took place in the 11th year after the Prophethood. It implies that this verse of reprimand was revealed after the elapse of six years after his act. Hence, will any intellectual fellow admit it?

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

It is mentioned in this story that such manipulation has occurred in Surah Najm. Now, consider the verses of Surah Najm and the fabricated verses of it. You will find that there isn't any such relevance between them. The translation of Surah Najm is: "And have you ever thought of Al-Lat and Al-Uzza and the third one about Al-Manat?" The translation of the fabricated verse is: "These are the highly stationed goddesses; their intercession is, for sure, expected". The translation of the verses of Surah Najm is: "Shall you have the male issues; and He the female issues? Now, do you think there is any pertinence between the fabricated verses and the preceding and succeeding substance of verses? Was the entire group of the people of Quraish, who were listening to the said verses of the Quran, insane to comprehend that the subsequent verses repudiate the goddesses after their appreciation? Would they have declared that the dissention with the Prophet had got eliminated? Thus, the internal substance of the said story itself reflects that it is a self-fabricated story. In fact, it can be presumed for sure that it might happen that once Prophet Muhammad (Peace & blessings be on him) all of a sudden stood up to deliver the sermon in Harame Paak where a huge gathering of the people of Quraish was present. At that time, the sermon emerged from his blessed tongue from Allah, the Almighty, which is today available before us in the form of Surah Najm. The impression of that sermon was such that the opponents, who used to deter the people from listening to the holy Quran by creating the chaos, couldn't find any scope at that time to create the chaos when he began to deliver it. When he proceeded to perform the

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari

https://www.ataunnabi.com/

"Sajda"(Prostration) at the end of Surah, all performed the "Sajda" (Prostration). Subsequently, they realized their mistake. People resorted to taunting them that though you deter the people from listening to the Quran, today yourselves have listened to it along with the performance of "Sajda" (Prostration). Hence, to get rid of such humiliation, they fabricated the statement by themselves as "SHAFAAAT....".

And they said that we performed the "Sajda" (Prostration) on hearing Muhammad reciting it because we thought that Muhammad was appreciating our goddesses. This is the story of the Satanic Verses which is presented by Satan (Devil) like the cursed Waseem Rizvi making them as Satanic Verses. In fact, Surah Najm contains all the merciful verses without a single Satanic Verse.

This is the real story to which people point their fingers; even so much so that they have formulated a novel also which has, in fact, nothing to do with the realities.

O, LORD! I WANT THE PUNISHMENT TO THOSE WHO HUMILIATE THE STATUS OF PROPHET

I, AASI, REMEMBER YOU DAY and NIGHT

AS I CRAVE FOR REWARDS IN BOTH THE WORLDS

https://archive.org/details/@zohaibhasanattari